

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
<b>Objective #1: Capacity building</b>		
<b>Outcome 1.1. Country NDAs or focal points and the network/ systems that enable them to fulfil their roles, responsibilities and policy requirements are operational and effective.</b>		
Output 1.1.1. NDA or FP staff trained in areas relevant to the GCF objectives of the GCF and oversight of GCF activities	1.1.1a. Has the NDA or FP staff been trained on the operations of the Country Coordination Mechanism? Yes No	NDA trained in their role and how to effectively coordinate with accredited entities, Readiness delivery partners, executing entities, CSOs, academia, private sector, national and subnational institutions, international institutions and donor, including national designated entities (NDE), and focal points for other climate funds.
	1.1.1b. Has the NDA or FP staff acquired technical training in GCF modalities for concept or project design [the definition then goes into investment criteria, feasibility analysis, CBA, Gender, IP, ESS] Yes No	NDA or FP trained in concept or project design, including investment criteria, feasibility analysis, CBA, Gender, IP, ESS, ToC
	1.1.1c. Has the NDA or FP staff acquire technical training in GCF modalities for project management [The definition then goes into M&E, Fiduciary mgmt., Gender, IP, ESS etc.] Yes No	NDA or FP trained in GCF modalities for project management, including M&E, Fiduciary management, Gender, IP, ESS.
Output 1.1.2. NDA mechanisms established or strengthened for interinstitutional coordination, including engagement with the GCF and other climate funds.	1.2.1a. Has the NDA established or strengthened a country mechanisms for interinstitutional coordination? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Different types of NDA coordination mechanisms established or strengthened with accredited entities, DAEs, focal points for other climate funds and multilateral environment agreements and other stakeholders such as the private sector actors or GCF. Additional box to provide narrative description of coordination mechanism and the targeted relevant stakeholders involved (list all stakeholders involved)
	1.2.1b. Has the country been supported with readiness grant to achieve a P&I legal agreement with the GCF? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Any type of support provided with readiness funding with the goal of achieving the signature of a P&I legal agreement between the GCF and the recipient country. Support provided might include technical assistance, legal agreement assessment or regulation analysis, among others. Additional box for a narrative description.

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
Output 1.1.3. Decision making processes defined and operationalized at the NDA level for No-Objection Letters and consideration/facilitation of cli.	1.1.3a. Has the NDA established a decision-making process for the non objection letter? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	This includes the definition of a non-objection procedure to grant the Non Objection Letter. The purpose of the no-objection procedure is to ensure consistency with national climate strategies and plans and country-driven approaches, and to provide for effective direct and indirect public and private sector financing by the Fund. Additional box for a narrative description.
	1.1.3b. Has the NDAs developed any processes, tools or systems for the consideration and facilitation of climate change projects Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Any mechanism, tool or process developed for the technical conceptualization, consideration of project ideas, concept notes or funding proposals, as well as facilitation and complementarity of FPs. Additional box for a narrative description of the type of tool, system or process developed for the consideration, review and facilitation of climate change projects.
<b>Outcome 1.2. Direct access applicants and accredited entities (DAEs) have established capacity to meet and maintain the GCF's accreditation standards; and accredited DAEs have the capacity to develop a pipeline of projects and effectively implement GCF-funded activities.</b>		
Output 1.2.1. Candidate entities identified and nominated for direct access.	1.2.1a. Has one or more candidate entities been nominated for direct access with the support of Readiness grant, as a result of prioritization process, with a nomination letter? Yes (If yes, name of the entity) No	Quantity and name of nominated entities that have been identified through readiness support. Additional box to provide narrative of the prioritization process duly followed and assessment conducted.
Output 1.2.2. Direct Access Applicants supported with training, capacity development, or improved systems to close gaps.	1.2.2a. Has one or more <u>nominated</u> Direct Access Entities been supported with training, capacity development, or improved systems to close gaps for accreditation? Yes No If yes, how many? Include the name(s) of the entity(ies) and the description of the support provided	Name and quantity of nominated entities that have subsequently been supported with readiness grants with any type of capacity building activity that contributes to further assess and close the gaps to work towards accreditation. Additional box for a narrative description of the type of support provided and the gaps that are intended to be closed.

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
	<p>1.2.2b. Has one or more direct access applicants been supported to strengthen institutional capacities in alignment with GCF accreditation standards (<u>applicants that have not been nominated by the NDA</u>)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>If yes, how many?</p> <p>Include the name(s) of the entity(ies) and a description of the support provided</p>	<p>This indicator focusses on direct access applications of entities which have not been nominated by the NDA. Additional box for a narrative description of the type of support provided and the gaps that are intended to be closed.</p>
<p>Output 1.2.3. Accredited direct access entities institutional capacities strengthened to improve accreditation status and effectively implement GCF funded activities.</p>	<p>1.2.3a. Has one or more accredited Direct Access Entities been supported to strengthen institutional capacities to improve accreditation status or/and effectively implement GCF funded activities?</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>If yes, how many?</p> <p>Include the name(s) of the entity(ies) and the description of the support provided</p>	<p>This indicator focusses on existing DAE that are being supported with any type of capacity building activities either to upgrade their accreditation status or to effectively implement GCF funded activities. The capacity building might include: information management systems, strengthening of the outreach work to convene and engage stakeholders in different regions, develop stronger ESS systems, GCF gender policy and action plan, and monitoring, reporting and evaluation processes and related systems. Additional box for a narrative description of the type of support provided and describe whether the objective is to improve the accreditation status, if applicable.</p>
<p><b>Outcome 1.3. Relevant country stakeholders (which may include executing entities, civil society organisations and private sector) have established adequate capacity, systems and networks to support the planning, programming and implementation of GCF funded activities.</b></p>		
<p>Output 1.3.1. Relevant stakeholders engaged and trained to support planning, programming and implementation of GCF funded activities.</p>	<p>1.3.1a. Have relevant stakeholders been engaged and trained to support planning, programming and implementation of GCF funded Activities?</p> <p>Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence)</p> <p>No</p>	<p>Additional box with a narrative narrative description describing the type of stakeholders trained (Government, Private, CSO/NGO, Academia, Other. Please list all types of stakeholders that have been trained. Include information on percentage of women engaged and trained.</p>
<p>Output 1.3.2. Stakeholder engagement mechanisms established to support planning, programming and implementation of GCF funded activities</p>	<p>1.3.2a. Multiple stakeholder mechanisms established to support planning, programming and implementation of GCF funded activities.</p> <p>Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence)</p> <p>No</p>	<p>Additional box with a narrative description identifying whether the mechanism is part of the 1) Overall Country Coordination Mechanism, 2) NAP Coordination Group, 3) Private Sector Coordination Group 4) Sub-National govts. NGO consultation. Also include a description of women participation in the stakeholder mechanism.</p>

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
Output 1.3.3. Strengthened Information sharing	1.3.3a. Information Sharing mechanism established to provide access and flow of information among climate change stakeholders  Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Information sharing mechanism to inform stakeholders on climate change related activities / information.
<b>Objective #2: Strategic Frameworks</b>		
<b>Outcome 2.1. GCF recipient countries have developed initial country programmes to guide GCF investment and programming of GCF Readiness and Preparatory Support resources.</b>		
Output 2.1.1. Country programmes endorsed by GCF recipient country processes.	2.1.1a. Has the Country Programme been...? 1) updated 2) endorsed by the country 3) Initial CP developed with validation workshop report submitted to GCF 4) CP not fully developed.  If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence	A document developed by the NDA/focal point that presents a country's climate change priorities to GCF, including a pipeline of projects that the country would like to develop with GCF for each replenishment period. It provides an action plan for projects and programmes to be developed, the accredited or prospective entity with which to partner, and the readiness and project preparation support that requires funding from GCF. For CP developed condition: validation workshop must be met.
<b>Outcome 2.2. GCF recipient countries have developed or enhanced strategic frameworks to address policy gaps, improve sectoral expertise, and enhance enabling environments for GCF Programming.</b>		
Output 2.2.1. Readiness needs assessment to develop an action plan with strategies for Readiness support	2.2.1a. Has a Readiness needs assessment been developed with an associated action plan with strategies for Readiness support? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	Readiness needs assessment resulting in action plans for strategies and/or multi-year proposals.
Output 2.2.2. Long-term, Low Emission Development Strategy (LT-LEDs / LTS) developed	2.2.2a. Has a LTS-long-term Strategy been developed with the support of Readiness grants? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Mid-century long-term low GHG emissions development strategies or long-term strategies provide a pathway to a whole-of-society transformation and a vital link between shorter-term NDCs and the long-term objectives of the Paris Agreement.
	2.2.3a. Have the NDCs been updated or revised with the support of the Readiness grant? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	National Determined Contributions (NDCs) updated or revised with readiness support programme funding.

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
Output 2.2.3. NDC updated or revised and/or financing strategy or related policies developed.	2.2.3b. NDC financing strategy developed Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	NDC financing strategy developed, inclusive of investment plan.
	2.2.3c. Have policies been developed or strengthened with consideration of NDCs? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Policies developed or strengthened with consideration of NDCs
Output 2.2.4. MRV systems developed and operational for tracking internal and external climate finance flows	2.2.4a. Has a MRV system been developed for tracking internal and external climate finance flows with the support of Readiness grants? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Monitoring Reporting and Verification systems to track internal and external climate finance flows developed and operational.
Output 2.2.5. Studies, action plans, modelling efforts and other research efforts conducted/developed	2.2.5a. Have any type of studies, modelling efforts and other research efforts been conducted/developed with the support of Readiness grants? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Studies developed for evidence-base climate change impacts, vulnerability assessments, carbon reduction potential, monitoring reporting and verification (MRV) systems for GHG emissions. All the studies are researched focused. Additional box with a narrative description on the type of research, study or modelling effort conducted.
Output 2.2.6. Sectorial strategic frameworks or associated plans developed	2.2.6a. Have sector related strategic framework or action plans been developed? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Supported frameworks, which are strategy focused. Additional box with a narrative description on the type of strategy or action plan developed to implement strategic frameworks.
Output 2.2.7. Appropriate climate technologies/ solutions identified and	2.2.7a. Have appropriate climate technologies/ solutions been identified and prioritized? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	This definition includes Technology Needs Assessment (TNA) identification and prioritization.

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
technologies/ solutions identified and prioritized	2.2.7b. Have appropriate climate technologies/ solutions been assessed and or associated action plans developed? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	The definition includes Feasibility study, Technology Action Plan (TAP) developed.
<b>Outcome 2.3. Entity Work Programmes of accredited direct access entities developed, that are aligned with the priorities of the countries, including country programmes and the GCF result areas.</b>		
Output 2.3.1. Entity Work Programmes aligned to Country Programmes developed and submitted to GCF	2.3.1a. Has an Entity work programme been developed and submitted to the GCF, which is aligned to the Country Programme? Yes No	EWPs are intended to foster a proactive, strategic and country-owned approach to pipeline development and programming with GCF. They play an important role as a strategic tool in providing insights on project ideas and programmes being developed by regional DAEs and IAEs which will eventually contribute towards high-quality, climate-focused funding proposals at entry.
<b>Outcome 2.4. Strategies for transforming and attracting private sector investment for low emissions and resilience developed and being used.</b>		
Output 2.4.1: New business models incubated and/or innovative financial mechanisms and schemes created to increase low -emission and climate resilient investment	2.4.1a. Has a business model or financial mechanism or scheme developed to increase low-emission and climate resilient investment? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Business models, financial mechanisms, public-private schemes developed to increase low-emission and climate resilient investments
Output 2.4.2: Strategies, road-maps, studies and policy incentives completed to foster private financing for Country Programme implementation and/or low-emissions climate resilient development	2.4.2a. Have strategies been developed to foster private financing for Country Programme implementation and/or low-emissions climate resilient development? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Strategies for engaging the private sector in the financing of climate projects. Policies and regulations or plans designed to remove barriers to public and private sector investment and scale-up climate finance developed
	2.4.2b. Has a roadmap been developed to foster private financing for Country Programme implementation and/or low-emissions climate resilient development? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Roadmaps or action plans for engaging the private sector in the financing of climate projects.

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
<b>Objective #3: NAP/NAP Processes</b>		
<b>Outcome 3.1. Adaptation planning governance and institutional coordination strengthened.</b>		
Output 3.1.1. National, sub-national and/or sectoral adaptation plans developed or updated.	3.1.1a. Has a National Adaptation Plan been developed or updated? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	Dedveloped or updated adaptation plans. These adaptation plans may have been developed or updated at different levels: national, sub-national (provinces, municipalities) and/or sectoral (e.g., coastal areas, cities, agriculture sector, etc.).
	3.1.1b. Have Sub-national adaptation plans been developed or updated? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	
	3.1.1c. Have sectoral adaptation plans been developed or updated? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	
Output 3.1.2. Adaptation policy and/or regulations developed or strengthened for integrating adaptation actions/measures in sectoral, subnational and national development strategies and plans.	3.1.2a. Have existing policies and regulations been strengthened to incentivize adaptation actions/measures? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	Policy guidelines and/or regulations to incentivize adaptation investment that promote adaptation actions.
	3.1.2b. Have new policies and regulations been developed to incentivize adaptation actions/measures? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	
Output 3.1.3. Inter and intra institutional coordination and decision making mechanisms established or strengthened.	3.1.3a. Have inter and intra institutional coordination and decision making mechanisms been established or strengthened? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	Governance framework to effectively coordinate, implement, monitor, evaluate and report on adaptation planning and investments.
Output 3.1.4. Stakeholder engagement frameworks, agreements and awareness raising conducted or strengthened.	3.1.4a. Have stakeholder engagement frameworks and agreements been developed or strengthened? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
	3.1.4b. Have capacity building and technical assistance initiatives been provided in the area of climate change adaptation? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	This includes awareness raising campaign/workshops/outreach program and technical assistance. This includes the indicator suggested by AAE
<b>Outcome 3.2. Evidence produced to design adaptation solutions for maximum impact.</b>		
Output 3.2.1: Adaptation impact monitoring, evaluation and learning systems established or strengthened for strategic planning and investment	3.2.1a. Have adaptation impact monitoring, evaluation and learning systems been established or strengthened? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	
Output 3.2.2: Studies on climate vulnerability, and identification of adaptation solutions conducted (and used) for strengthening adaptation investment	3.2.2a. Have climate vulnerability studies been conducted to strengthen adaptation planning? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	Climate vulnerability study should encompass barrier analysis, socioeconomic and and environmental information/assessments
	3.2.2b. Have Information sharing mechanisms [platforms or forums] been established to provide access to evidenced based information for adaptation planning? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	Have platforms and forums can be included in this indicator
<b>Outcome 3.3. Private sector engagement in adaptation catalyzed.</b>		
Output 3.3.1. Strategies, policies, and incentives developed to foster private investment in adaptation solutions	3.3.1a. Have strategies to foster private investment in adaptation solutions been developed? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	dedicated for private sector
Output 3.3.2. Assessments and knowledge products to inform the private sector on adaptation options and GCF finance developed	3.3.2a. Have knowledge products to inform the private sector on adaptation options and GCF finance been developed? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	Knowledge Products

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
Output 3.3.3. Capacity building provided to the Private sector on adaptation options	3.3.3a. Have capacity building initiatives been provided to private sector in the area of climate change adaptation? Yes (If yes, please include a narrative description) No	This includes awareness raising campaign/workshops/outreach program and technical assistance.
<b>Outcome 3.4. Adaptation finance increased.</b>		
Output 3.4.1. Mechanisms established to prioritize adaptation options based on objective criteria	3.4.1a. Have mechanisms been established to identify and prioritize adaptation options based on objective criteria? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	This includes the systems for prioritizing adaptation project/programme ideas based on objective criteria as indicated in the RPSP. These should be inclusive of public, private and civil society actors.
Output 3.4.2. Concept notes developed for adaptation priority actions	3.4.2a. Number of concept notes developed for adaptation priority actions, disaggregated by public and private financing and sector	CN submitted as per GCF template Sectors (adaptation) Water security Ecosystem and ecosystem services Agriculture and food security Forest and land use Health and wellbeing
	3.4.2b. Number of funding proposals submitted to the GCF for adaptation priority actions	FP submitted as per GCF template
	3.4.2c. Have additional studies or assessment been developed to support a FP for adaptation priority actions? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Additional box for a narrative description on the type of study or assessment conducted to support the development of a FP for adaptation priority actions
	3.4.2d. Has the DP or NDA informed of the submission of a PPF application together with the CN that has been supported with NAP grants? Yes No	N/A

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
Output 3.4.3. National systems developed for tracking adaptation national and international finance flows	3.4.3a. Have national systems been developed to track adaptation national and international finance flows targeted to adaptation? Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	This indicator aims to capture whether the country has developed a system that tracks national and international finance flows targeted to adaptation. It would include the tracking of flows that are usually not captured, like private sector adaptation finance and tracking of public domestic adaptation finance.
	3.4.3b. Strategies to foster financial strategies in adaptation solutions developed Yes (If yes, provide a narrative description and evidence) No	Adaptation financing strategies outside of private sector. This should be inclusive of investment plans.
<b>Objective #4. Pipeline development</b>		
<b>Outcome 4.1. Increase in the number of quality project concept notes developed and submitted.</b>		
Output 4.1.1. Concept notes for priority sectors developed with the support of the RPSP for submission to the GCF.	4.1.1a. Number of CN developed [disaggregated by sector]	<b>Sectors:</b> Energy efficiency Low emission transport Energy generation and access Cities, buildings and urban systems Water security Ecosystem and ecosystem services Agriculture and food security Forest and land use Health and wellbeing
Output 4.1.2. Pipeline identified and prioritization assessments.	4.1.2a. Number of pipeline identification and prioritization assessments  Include a narrative description	
Output 4.1.3. Mitigation potential assessed.	4.1.3a. Number of mitigation potential assessments  Include a narrative description explaining the methodology used and the sector	

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
Output 4.1.4. Prefeasibility studies conducted.	4.1.4a. Number of prefeasibility studies produced	The prefeasibility in reference is specific for concept note development. There must be indication for if this prefeasibility study is for CN development
<b>Outcome 4.2. Increase in the quality of funding proposals developed and submitted from accredited DAEs.</b>		
Output 4.2.1. FP developed and submitted by DAEs.	4.2.1a. Number of funding proposals with the support of the RPSP developed and submitted by DAEs	This indicator is a sub-set of 4.5.5. The indicators shall not be summed-up.
Output 4.2.2. Assessments and studies conducted for the development of quality FP [submitted from accredited DAEs].	4.2.2a. Number of assessments and studies conducted for the development of quality FP [submitted from accredited DAEs] Include a narrative description	This indicator is a sub-set of 4.5.3. The indicators shall not be summed-up.
<b>Outcome 4.3. An increase in the number of quality concept notes developed and submitted that target SIDS, LDCs and African states.</b>		
Output 4.3.1. CN developed targeting SIDS, LDCs and African states.	4.3.1a. Number of CN targeting SIDS, LDCs and African states [disaggregated by sector] Include a narrative description including the title of the CN	This indicator is a sub-set of 4.1.1 The indicators shall not be summed-up.
Output 4.3.2. Pipeline identified and prioritization assessments targeting SIDS, LDCs and African states.	4.3.2a. Number of Pipeline identification and prioritization assessments Include a narrative description	This indicator is a sub-set of 4.1.2 The indicators shall not be summed-up.
Output 4.3.3. Mitigation potential assessed targeting SIDS, LDCs and African states.	4.3.3a. Number of mitigation potential assessments targeting SIDS, LDCs and African states Include a narrative description including the methodology and sector	This indicator is a sub-set of 4.1.3 The indicators shall not be summed-up.
Output 4.3.4. Prefeasibility studies conducted targeting SIDS, LDCs and African states.	4.3.4a. Number of prefeasibility studies produced targeting SIDS, LDCs and African states	This indicator is a sub-set of 4.1.4 The indicators shall not be summed-up.

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
<b>Outcome 4.4. An increase in the number of quality funding proposals developed and submitted that target SIDS, LDCs and African states.</b>		
Output 4.4.1. FP developed and submitted that target SIDS, LDCs and African states.	4.4.1a. Number of funding proposals developed and submitted to the GCF with the support of the RPSP that target SIDS, LDCs and African states	This indicator is a sub-set of 4.5.2. The indicators shall not be summed-up.
Output 4.4.2. Assessments and studies conducted for the development of quality FP that target SIDS, LDCs, African States.	4.4.2a. Number of assessments and studies conducted for the development of quality FP that target SIDS, LDCs, African States	This indicator is a sub-set of 4.5.3. The indicators shall not be summed-up.
<b>Outcome 4.5. An increase in the proportion of PPF requests and funding proposals approved as a result of Readiness and Preparatory Support.</b>		
Output 4.5.1. PPF assistance requested linked to a CN developed with support of the Readiness grant.	4.5.1a. Number of PPF requests linked to CNs that were developed with the support of a Readiness grant	
Output 4.5.2. Funding proposals submitted to the GCF developed with the support of the RPSP, disaggregated by sector.	4.5.2a. Number of funding proposals submitted to the GCF developed with the support of the PPF disaggregated by Sector	<b>Sectors:</b> Energy efficiency Low emission transport Energy generation and access Cities, buildings and urban systems Water security Ecosystem and ecosystem services Agriculture and food security Forest and land use Health and wellbeing
Output 4.5.3. Assessments and studies conducted for the development of quality FP.	4.5.3a. Number of assessments and studies conducted for the development of quality FP	Additional box for narrative description on the type of assessment or study developed with the support of Readiness

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
<b>Objective #5: Knowledge sharing and learning</b>		
<b>Outcome 5.1. Best practices with respect to institutional capacity building, direct access, and pipeline development are developed and disseminated to strengthen engagement by NDAs, DAEs, and delivery partners with the GCF.</b>		
Output 5.1.1. NDAs, DAEs, DPs have developed knowledge products containing information on LECRD, methodologies, lessons learned or best practices extracted from within the country and from other countries (South-South cooperation).	5.1.1a. Has the NDA/DAE/DP developed knowledge products containing information on LECRD solutions, climate finance, methodologies, lessons learned or best practices extracted from within the country and from other countries (South-South cooperation)? Yes (If yes, continue to the next indicators) No	<p>LECRD - low emissions climate resilient development.</p> <p>Best practices refer to positive activities or systems that are recommended to others for use in similar situations or for similar purposes.</p> <p>Lessons learned refer to experience gained during the project by solving real problems.</p> <p>South-south cooperation exchange refers to any type of field visit or virtual exchange.</p> <p>A knowledge product is something that enables effective action by an intended user or stakeholder.</p>
	5.1.1b. If yes, number of Knowledge products developed by the NDA and DP, containing information on LERC solutions, climate finance, methodologies, lessons learned or best practices extracted from within the country and from other countries (South-South cooperation)	
	5.1.1c. Number of knowledge products (including methodologies) developed by the NDA and DP in local languages	
	5.1.1d. Number of knowledge products (Including methodologies) developed by the DAE in local languages	
	5.1.1e. Extent to which outreach and dissemination of knowledge is generated To a very large extent To a large extent To a moderate extent To a limited extent  Provide justification for the selected ranking and evidence	

## Customized RRMF for NDAs/DPs

Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
Output 5.1.2. NDAs/DAEs/ DPs who have established process, systems and/or platforms for identification of best practices, lessons learned and Knowledge Management	5.1.2a. Has the NDA or DP has established a strategy or process to identify best practices, lessons learned and/ or promote knowledge management? Yes No Provide a narrative description and evidence	Best practices refer to positive activities or systems that are recommended to others for use in similar situations or for similar purposes. Lessons learned refer to experience gained during the project by solving real problems. South-south cooperation exchange refers to any type of field visit or virtual exchange among grantees from different countries. Additional box for narrative information describing the strategy or process developed.
	5.1.2b. Has the DAE established a strategy or process to identify best practices, lessons learned and/ or promote knowledge management? Yes No Provide a narrative description and evidence	
	5.1.2c. Has the NDA or DP established a platform for Knowledge Management and dissemination? Yes No Provide a narrative description and evidence	
	5.1.2d. Has the DAE established a platform for Knowledge Management and dissemination? Yes No Provide a narrative description and evidence	
<b>Outcome 5.2. Partnerships established and operational to foster development and dissemination of methods, frameworks, and information systems for enhanced climate finance programming at subnational, national and regional levels.</b>		
Output 5.2.1. Collaborations at subnational, national, or regional levels to foster development and dissemination of methods, frameworks, and information systems for enhanced climate finance programming.	5.2.1a. Have partnerships, or MOUs or collaboration mechanisms been established to foster development and dissemination of methods, frameworks, and information systems for enhanced climate finance programming? Yes No Provide a narrative description and evidence	