


# RRMF IMPLICATIONS TO LOG FRAME DEVELOPMENT. A CASE STUDY



GREEN  
CLIMATE  
FUND

Elizabeth Njoki Mwangi

Monitoring and Evaluation Specialist – Readiness

Division of Portfolio Management

GCF RRMF Webinar, 6 July 2022

1

## Key changes to the Proposal Template



### What will change?

- Section 3: Log Frame  
Updated readiness grants proposals template to integrate output reporting requirements in the logical framework
- Section 4: ToC  
Updated guidance on the theory of change (ToC) narrative and inclusion of a sample ToC diagram template for readiness grants

2

RRMF Structure: Output level		
RRMF Grant level Output Indicators		
Result description	Indicators	Indicator definition
<b>Objective 1: Capacity building for climate finance coordination</b>		
<b>Outcome 1.1. Country NDAs or focal points and the network/ systems that enable them to fulfil their roles, responsibilities and policy requirements are operational and effective.</b>		
Output 1.1.1. NDA or FP staff trained in areas relevant to the GCF objectives of the GCF and oversight of GCF activities	Has the NDA or FP staff been trained on the operations of the Country Coordination Mechanism? Yes No	NDA trained in their role and how to effectively coordinate with accredited entities, Readiness delivery partners, executing entities, CSOs, academia, private sector, national and subnational institutions, international institutions and donor, including national designated entities (NDE), and focal points for other climate funds.
	Has the NDA or FP staff acquired technical training in GCF modalities for concept or project design [the definition then goes into investment criteria, feasibility analysis, CBA, Gender, IP, ESS] Yes No	NDA or FP trained in concept or project design, including investment criteria, feasibility analysis, CBA, Gender, IP, ESS, ToC
	Has the NDA or FP staff acquire technical training in GCF modalities for project management [The definition then goes into M&E, Fiduciary mgmt., Gender, IP, ESS etc.] Yes No	NDA or FP trained in GCF modalities for project management, including M&E, Fiduciary management, Gender, IP, ESS.
**Objectives, outcomes, outputs, and indicators statements in logframe to be identical as in RRMF		

3

Updated Log - Frame							
Objective 1: Capacity building for climate finance coordination							
Outcomes	Baseline	Targets	Outputs	Output Indicator	Means of verification (MoV)	Activities	Deliverables
Outcome 1.1: [Example] Country NDAs or focal points and the network/ systems that enable them to fulfil their roles, responsibilities and policy requirements are operational and effective	[Example] The NDA established but lacking necessary procedures and institutional structures to be effective.	[Example] The NDA is Effective and Operational through the Development and Implementation of 1 NoL-process; 1 NDA operational manual  [Target will be provided for each indicator chosen] A description of the target to be included.  NB [the target column to be moved to follow the Output Indicator Column	Output 1.1.1- [Example] NDA operational manual developed and validated  Output 1.1.1. NDA or FP staff trained in areas relevant to the GCF objectives of the GCF and oversight of GCF activities  Output 1.1.2 [example] No objection process established and implemented  Output 1.1.2. NDA mechanisms established or strengthened for interinstitutional coordination, including engagement with the GCF and other climate funds.	1.1.1a Has the NDA or FP staff been trained on the operations of the Country Coordination Mechanism?  1.1.1b Has the NDA or FP staff acquired technical training in GCF modalities for concept or project design [the definition then goes into investment criteria, feasibility analysis, CBA, Gender, IP, ESS]  1.1.2a Has the NDA established or strengthened a country mechanisms for interinstitutional coordination?  1.1.2b Has the country been supported with readiness grant to achieve a P&I legal agreement with the GCF?	(For all outcome level indicators chosen, there must be an accompanying MoV) [example]	(List of relevant activities to be listed here)  [NB; List of activities retained but re-arranged]	(All corresponding deliverable to the be produced from the proposed activities OUTPUT INDICATORS to be listed here)
Outcome 1.2:						Activity 1.2.1:	
Outcome 1.3:						Activity 1.3.1:	
Outcome 1.4:-						Activity 1.4.1:	
Outcome 1.5:-						Activity 1.5.1:	

4

## Case Study- Integrating RRMF in the Log-frame



Readiness Grant for Country X

Goal: Paradigm Shifting Pipeline Development in line with the Country Priorities

Anticipated key deliverables;

- 2 Concept Notes
- Pre- feasibility assessment

5

## Case Study- Integrating RRMF in the Log-frame- Continued



### Step 1; Consult the RRMF

Objective #4. Paradigm Shifting Pipeline development		
Outcome 4.1. Increase in the number of quality project concept notes developed and submitted.		
Output 4.1.1. Concept notes for priority sectors developed with the support of the RPSP for submission to the GCF.	Number of CN developed [disaggregated by sector]	<b>Sectors:</b> Energy efficiency Low emission transport Energy access and power generation Cities, buildings and urban systems Water security Ecosystem and ecosystem services Agriculture and food security Forest and land use Health and wellbeing Climate information and Earling Warning Systems
Output 4.1.2. Pipeline identified and prioritization assessments.	Number of pipeline identification and prioritization assessments Include a narrative description	
Output 4.1.3. Mitigation potential assessed.	Number of mitigation potential assessments Include a narrative description explaining the methodology used and the sector	
Output 4.1.4. Prefeasibility studies conducted.	Number of prefeasibility studies produced	The prefeasibility in reference is specific for concept note development. There must be indication for if this prefeasibility study is for CN development

6

## Case Study- Integrating RRMF in the Log-frame, Continued



### Step 2; Develop the Log-frame

Objective 4: Paradigm Shifting Pipeline development					
Outcomes	Outputs	Output Indicator	Target (include target description)	Deliverables	Means of verification (MoV)
Outcome 4.1. Increase in the number of quality project concept notes developed and submitted.	Output 4.1.1. Concept notes for priority sectors developed with the support of the RPSP for submission to the GCF.	4.1.1a. Number of CN developed [disaggregated by sector]	2 – [the grant target to develop 2 CN focused on the Forest and land use sector]	D 4.1.1a; Two concept note developed Workshops reports	-[Evidence] Record of the developed CN - [source of data] -DP
	<b>Activities (Brief description)</b> A 4.1.1.1; Conduct six participatory workshops involving stakeholders from public and local private sector actors to prioritize project ideas based the investment priorities for climate actions as per the country CP (views of men & women, indigenous Peoples, will be differentiate). A 4.1.1.2; Develop two CNs in the GCF template integrating the stakeholders' input and the pre-feasibility analysis				
	Output 4.1.4. Prefeasibility studies conducted.	4.1.1a. Number of prefeasibility studies produced	1 - [one pre-feasibility study will be commissioned to analyze the feasibility of the prioritized project ideas in Forest and land use sector to be further developed to CN]	D4.1.4a One pre-feasibility report developed	-[Evidence] Record/report produced on the pre-feasibility study - [source of data] -DP
	<b>Activities (Brief description)</b> A 4.1.4.1 Undertake a pre-feasibility analysis for two identified priority project concept notes to be developed identifying the potential financial windows				

7

## Theory of Change



### 4. THEORY OF CHANGE

Please explain how the activities proposed in the Logical Framework will help deliver on the country's readiness needs and build on institutions, processes or existing work already underway in the country

Please provide an explanation of how the proposal will advance national climate priorities, especially those identified in nationally determined contributions (NDCs), national adaptation plans (NAPs), and other relevant national climate change strategies. Please describe how the various Outcomes, Outputs, activities and deliverables from the Logical Framework in Section 3 address the core Readiness challenges identified in the Situation Analysis in Section 2.

Please include a theory of change (ToC) diagram, which helps articulate, understand and track the multiple pathways and linkages between outcomes, outputs, and activities by identifying the desired long-term goals with preconditions and/or interventions that are necessary for change to occur in achieving the desired goal.

Please see Part III, Section 4 in the Readiness Guidebook for further instructions on how to complete this section depending on the type of Readiness support being requested.


In a narrative format, please explain how the proposed activities in the Logframe will help deliver on the country's readiness needs and build on institutions, processes, or existing work already underway in the country.

Please provide an explanation of how the proposal will advance national climate change priorities, especially those identified in nationally determined contributions (NDCs), national adaptation plans (NAPs), and other relevant national climate change strategies. Please describe how the various outcomes, outputs, and activities and deliverables from the log frame address the core readiness barriers/challenges identified in the situation analysis in section 2.

Please also include a theory (ToC) diagram, which helps articulate, understand and track the multiple pathways and linkages between and among outcomes, outputs, and activities vertically and horizontally, as well as the identified barriers together with preconditions and/or interventions (assumptions) that are necessary for change to occur in achieving the desired goal statement, as well as the identified risks that the proposed project proposal will be treating in an effective and efficient way.

The below diagram is a preferred sample template for the readiness proposal's ToC.

8



9



10