
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title: Upscaling "Naatangue" integrated family and village farms for a resilient agriculture in Senegal (SAP043)

Accredited Entity: Centre de Suivi Ecologique (CSE)

Date of Report submission: 2 September 2026

Version number V2.0



GREEN
CLIMATE
FUND

Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs). Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)														
Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.														
A.1. Date of report submission		2 September 2026												
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Public											
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>GCF Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 10.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. EUR 9.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Co-Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 0.306</td> </tr> <tr> <td>InKind</td> <td>Mill. EUR 0.265</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 10.8</td> </tr> </table>				GCF Financing	Mill. USD 10.4	Grants	Mill. EUR 9.1	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 0.306	InKind	Mill. EUR 0.265	Total Financing	Mill. USD 10.8
	GCF Financing	Mill. USD 10.4												
	Grants	Mill. EUR 9.1												
	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 0.306												
	InKind	Mill. EUR 0.265												
Total Financing	Mill. USD 10.8													
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	NA (draft)													
A.6. Implementation period	From NA to NA													
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to GCF revised <i>Environmental and Social Policy</i> and GCF ESS Standards to assess your FP category.)			Category C											
A.8. Executing Entity Information														
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)										
Agence Nationale d'Insertion et de Développement Agricole	Senegal	Public	Implement project activities	papandene.diouf@anida.sn										
Centre de Suivi Ecologique	Senegal	Public	Undertake procurement activities	coumba.keita@cse.sn										
A.9. Co-Financier information														
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)										
Agence Nationale d'Insertion et de Développement Agricole	Senegal	papandene.diouf@anida.sn	public	Public										

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP <i>(NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)</i>				
Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project. Beneficial owner(s)
Senegal	1 September 2015	Senegal aims to contribute to global climate action while ensuring sustainable development, poverty reduction, and resilience of vulnerable populations.	) the change of agricultural production systems (including among other elements the development of new climate resilient crop varieties, the adoption of more efficient irrigation and water conservation systems, and the promotion of family and village farms); and (ii) the strengthening of institutional capacities through training, awareness raising and direct support to existing institutions.	0%

A.11. Estimated duration of impact	
A.12 Report to be shared with NDA	Yes ☒ No ☐
4 May 2026	

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

During the first year of implementation, the project successfully transitioned from the planning phase to structured operational implementation, laying the necessary foundations for generating measurable impacts in terms of climate adaptation and agricultural resilience. While the ultimate effects on household incomes and agricultural productivity are still materializing, key results have already been achieved that directly contribute to and enable the attainment of the project’s climate objectives.

A comprehensive monitoring and evaluation system has been operationalized, supported by a baseline study covering adaptation, mitigation, and socioeconomic co-benefit indicators. This baseline now provides a robust basis for objectively measuring future progress in sustainable agricultural productivity, water-use efficiency, and reduced vulnerability to climate-related hazards.

Concrete progress has been achieved across eight municipalities toward the establishment of the Naatangué farm models. The project generated strong interest, receiving more than 355 applications. A transparent and structured selection process was implemented, helping ensure the credibility and integrity of the planned investments. Of the applications received, 23% were submitted by women, indicating a positive inclusion trend that should be further strengthened during subsequent phases of implementation.

Contractual procedures for the establishment of low-emission family farms have been finalized, enabling the launch of the planned investments. In parallel, a technical assessment of the 40 village farms targeted for consolidation was completed to identify constraints related to soil salinization and water management. This will enable interventions to be better targeted and maximize their future adaptation and resilience benefits.

Some challenges related to administrative timelines and transparency requirements were encountered during the reporting period. These were addressed through strengthened coordination with local authorities and relevant stakeholders.

Overall, the project is progressing in line with its implementation trajectory and is now well positioned to generate measurable climate benefits in terms of enhanced resilience, improved food security, and greater sustainability of agricultural systems.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. 1,500 words)

During the reporting period, corresponding to the first year of implementation and the project's inception phase, progress toward achieving the Logical Framework indicators has primarily focused on establishing the necessary foundations for effective delivery. As such, no significant progress is reported yet against outcome and impact indicators, as these are expected to materialize in subsequent implementation phases once field activities are fully rolled out.

Key milestones achieved during this period include the operationalization of project governance and implementation structures, the development of core technical, operational, and procurement tools, and the establishment of a functional monitoring and evaluation system. Baseline data collection has also been initiated to ensure robust tracking of indicators moving forward.

In terms of early measurable outputs, the project engaged 8 communes, received over 355 applications, including 23% from women, and initiated beneficiary identification and site selection processes. Initial stakeholder engagement and capacity-building activities have further contributed to strengthening institutional readiness and community ownership.

These achievements are critical enablers for future progress and will support the acceleration of activities in the next reporting periods. It should be noted that the data presented reflects implementation progress during the inception phase and does not contribute to the overall impact assessment. Detailed reporting on Logical Framework indicators will be provided in the "Progress Update on the Logical Framework Indicators" section as tangible results begin to emerge.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The "cumulative value" will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:

- *Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation.*
- *Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or programme implementation.*
- *Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or programme.*

Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.

Should the project be Multicounty, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.

Should any prefilled information/data in the Logical Framework be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

GCF Outcome Level: Standardized Indicators

PMF/IRMF Results Management Framework

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) M1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO ₂ eq) reduced as a result of GCF-funded projects/programmes (IRMF) Core 1 Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered						
Provide the total GHG emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered (tCO ₂ eq) as a result of GCF support.						
Unit						Tonnes of CO ₂ -equivalent
Baseline	Target			Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target	Lifetime			
0	5,000	9,220	18,314	0	0	0

(PMF) M1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO ₂ eq) reduced as a result of GCF-funded projects/programmes (IRMF) Core 1 Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered							
Provide the total GHG emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered (tCO ₂ eq) as a result of GCF support, disaggregated by result area.							
Unit							Tonnes of CO ₂ -equivalent
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Mitigation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Lifetime	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Energy Generation and Access	0	5,000	9,220	18,314	0	0	0

(PMF) M1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO ₂ eq) reduced as a result of GCF-funded projects/programmes (IRMF) Core 1 Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered							
Provide the total GHG emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered (tCO ₂ eq), disaggregated by Country.							
Unit							Tonnes of CO ₂ -equivalent
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Lifetime	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Senegal	0	5,000	9,220	18,314	0	0	0

Forestry and land use

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) M9.1 Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO ₂ emission reductions (IRMF) Core 4: Hectares of natural resource areas brought under improved low emission and/or climate resilient management practices						
Provide the total degraded or converted ecosystems under restoration (ha).						
Unit					Hectares	
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value		Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target				
0	100	500	0	0	0	0

(PMF) M9.1 Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO ₂ emission reductions (IRMF) Core 4: Hectares of natural resource areas brought under improved low emission and/or climate resilient management practices						
Provide the total degraded or converted ecosystems under restoration (ha), disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Senegal	0	100	500	0	0	0

(PMF) M9.1 Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO ₂ emission reductions (IRMF) Core 4: Hectares of natural resource areas brought under improved low emission and/or climate resilient management practices						
Provide the total degraded or converted ecosystems under restoration (ha), disaggregated by land type.						
Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Land Type	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Cropland	0	100	500	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Livelihoods of people and communities	0	13,800	46,940	0	0	0
Health and well-being, and food and water security	0	13,800	46,940	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	8,300	28,163	0	0	0
Female	0	5,500	18,776	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Senegal	0	13,800	46,940	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Livelihoods of people and communities	0	630,720	1,480,320	0	0	0
Health and well-being, and food and water security	0	630,720	1,480,320	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	315,360	740,160	0	0	0

Female	0	315,360	740,160	0	0	0
--------	---	---------	---------	---	---	---

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Senegal	0	630,720	1,480,320	0	0	0

Livelihoods of people and communities

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.) (IRMF) Supplementary 2.1 Beneficiaries (female/male) adopting improved and/or new climate-resilient livelihood options						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) adopting diversified or strengthened climate-resilient livelihood strategies (including agriculture, fisheries, natural resource-based, and informal livelihoods), disaggregated by gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	4,980	16,898	0	0	0
Female	0	3,300	11,265	0	0	0

(PMF) A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.) (IRMF) Supplementary 2.1 Beneficiaries (female/male) adopting improved and/or new climate-resilient livelihood options						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) adopting diversified or strengthened climate-resilient livelihood strategies (including agriculture, fisheries, natural resource-based, and informal livelihoods), disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Enter unit
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Senegal	0	8,280	28,163	0	0	0

Optional Co-Benefit Indicators

No indicators/questions defined.

Total number of direct and indirect jobs (measured in full-time equivalents, female/male) created or maintained					
Provide the total number of direct jobs (measured in full-time equivalents, female/male) created or maintained.					
Unit					No. of Full-time equivalent jobs
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	20	300	0	0	0

Total number of direct and indirect jobs (measured in full-time equivalents, female/male) created or maintained					
Provide the total number of indirect jobs (measured in full-time equivalents, female/male) created or maintained.					
Unit					No. of Full-time equivalent jobs
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	20	300	0	0	0

Project Specific Indicators							
Indicator	Unit	Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
			Mid-term	Final target			
Climate smart technology packages and climate smart agroforestry practices developed	Technologies	0	1	1	0	0	0
Number of agricultural advisors trained on climate smart technological packages, domestication of forest fruit trees and agroforestry resilient practices	No. of Persons	0	20	40	0	0	0
Number of agricultural cooperatives established and operational	No. of Coordination Mechanisms	0	2	5	0	0	0
Number of agroforestry practices disseminated	No. of Items	0	20	40	0	0	0
Number of producers adopting agroforestry practices	No. of Persons	0	40	120	0	0	0
Number of communities saving funds operational	No. of Entities	0	2	5	0	0	0
Number of farming cooperatives established and leveraging private financing, in support to producers' entrepreneurship activities	No. of Coordination Mechanisms	0	2	5	0	0	0
Percentage change in farms' volume of marketed crops (disaggregate per type of crop)	Percentage	0	15	30	0	0	0
Percentage of farms financing adequately and timely their next agricultural campaign after benefiting for at least 1 year from the project activities	Percentage	0	40	60	0	0	0
Percentage of farms with increased production diversity	Percentage	0	50	70	0	0	0
Number of producers trained in utilizing climate smart tools and technology packages	No. of Persons	0	50	140	0	0	0
Number of family farms developed and fully operational	No. of Farmers	0	40	100	0	0	0

Percentage of beneficiaries contributing funds to the community based contribution scheme through agreements with ANIDA	Percentage	0	50	100	0	0	0
Number of producers receiving marketing support from ANIDA and agricultural advisors	No. of Persons	0	50	100	0	0	0
Number of agricultural advisors trained on value chains, organizational dynamics, and marketing	No. of Persons	0	20	40	0	0	0
Number of producers trained in entrepreneurship and organizational development	No. of Persons	0	30	80	0	0	0
Percentage of producers from village and family farms adopting climate smart technology packages and climatesmart agroforestry practices	Percentage	0	35	80	0	0	0
Number of milk processing units and poultry houses functional	No. of Items	0	2	6	0	0	0
Number of village farms improved and operational	No. of Farmers	0	0	40	0	0	0
Number of jobs created	No. of Full-time equivalent jobs	0	20	300	0	0	0
Area under sustainable water management and climate smart agricultural practices	Hectares	0	30	90	0	0	0

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
Selection of beneficiaries for Naatangué family farms	80	12,369	32,648	37
Create low-carbon and climate-resilient family farms	0	0	3,415,829	0
Monitoring/control of productive works	0	0	30,096	0
Acquisition and installation of solar equipment	0	0	2,683,165	0
Establishment and integration of poultry farming	0	0	171,887	0
Establishing of chicken processing units	0	0	98,891	0

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
Establishment of milk processing units (3)	0	0	134,724	0
Establishment of compost pits for the management and recovery of waste and sale of organic fertilizer	0	0	105,769	0
Introduction of resilient climate-smart crop-livestock agroforestry practices technologies.	0	0	61,550	0
Domestication of priority forest fruit trees	0	0	471,569	0
Implementation of the communication strategy	10	13,402	165,951	8

C.2.1. Subprojects							
Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).							
Title	Currency	GCF Funds	Co-Financing	Country	GHG Emissions Reduced	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
f	EUR	0	0	Senegal	0	0	0
C.3. Compliance with FAA covenants				Yes ☒ No ☐			
N/A							
C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year				Yes ☐ No ☒			

No changes envisaged

D. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF's policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

Risk 1	No respect of technological itinerary		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	The main risk is that producers will not respect the farms' technological itinerary which can lead to a decrease of production. For each agricultural activity, technological itineraries are clearly defined to ensure the productivity of the investments put in place. They are a logical and orderly combination of techniques to control the environment and derive a given output. On ANIDA farms, the agricultural advisor assigned to the farm is responsible for assisting the beneficiaries in the follow-up of the technical itineraries designed. However, beneficiaries may not respect these technical itineraries for some reason (misunderstanding, laziness, etc.).		
Consequences	In these cases, this can lead to a significant drop in yield which will in turn affect the maintenance of farm equipment and the purchase of seeds and other phytosanitary products during the next crop year.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	3 - Medium	6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	Several measures have been proposed to help mitigate and manage this risk. First, the beneficiaries will be well trained on agricultural management techniques. Secondly, a procedure named « procedure of agricultural advisor » has been developed. Addition to the presence of agricultural advisor, a coordinator will make sure that the procedure is respected. These mitigation measures are expected to lower the risk from affecting programme outcomes and reduce the probability of occurrence.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity

	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 2	Conflicts		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Environmental and Social		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	At the time of identification and selection of beneficiaries, there may be conflicts between the selected beneficiaries and unsuccessful ones.		
Consequences	This risk may lead to social tensions, formal complaints, reputational damage, and potential delays in project implementation due to perceived unfairness in the beneficiary selection process.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	To mitigate this risk, the procedure of selection of beneficiaries will be use. Criterias of selection will be respected with transparency.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 3	Poor management of infrastructures and equipment		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	The second risk identified is the poor management of infrastructures and equipment. It is seen in many project that after the project implementation period, the infrastructures and the equipment purchased by the project are degraded. It can be due to a lack of techniques to ensure the maintenance or lack of financial resources.		
Consequences	This risk may result in premature deterioration of infrastructures and equipment, reduced project sustainability, interruption of services, and loss of expected long-term benefits for beneficiaries.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	To mitigate this risk, management committees will be set up the ensure the management of the infrastructures and the equipment. The member of the management committees will be choose upon the member of the economic interest group which are running the farms. The agricultural advisor will also be in charge of ensuring the respect of the procedures. The management committees will be trained on maintenance techniques and each economic group will put in place a saving account to finance any damage on the infrastructures or the equipment .		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 4	Delays from service providers		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Fiduciary		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	When the companies in charge of the works will be recruited, a planning of the implementation of the activities will be defined and validated. However, given certain conditions (climatic, cultural, financial, etc.), delays can be observed in carrying out activities.		
Consequences	Failure of service providers can lead to delays in the entire implementation of the project.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 4 - High	Severity 4 - Medium

Mitigation Measure(s)	To manage the risk, several follow-up missions will be conducted by the project team to make sure that all activities are running in time. If an important delay is identified, the contract with the service provider can be broke.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 5	Increase cost of family farms		
Risk Origin	External Factors		
Risk Area	Macro-economics		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	The whole budget line for the result 1.1 of the project has been designed based on a particular price of family farms. The risk is that that cost can increase by the time the project is implemented.		
Consequences	The risk is that that cost can increase by the time the project is implemented.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	To mitigate this risk, a contingency line will be add up to the budget in order to reduce the impact of the risk		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 6	Political unrest		
Risk Origin	External Factors		
Risk Area	Political		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	The next presidential elections are planned in 2024. Since ANIDA is a national agency, the elections can cause delay in the implementation of the activities because ANIDA will be less responsive. Furthermore recent national and regional political events have emerged such as extremist violence in neighboring countries, political violence and separatist tensions.		
Consequences	This can cause delay in the implementation of the activities because ANIDA will be less responsive		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 4 - Almost certain	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 12 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	This risk will be mitigated by the professionalization and empowerment of the producers. Thanks to those activities the producers will be able to implement the activities during the elections period.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 7	Corruption		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Due to the high budget allocations to equipment, there is a risk that the procured equipment will not be used for the intended purposes		
Consequences	This risk may result in inefficient use of project resources, reduced achievement of intended outcomes, fiduciary concerns, and reputational damage to the Accredited Entity.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 4 - High	Severity 4 - Medium

Mitigation Measure(s)	This risk will be mitigated by putting in a special independent procurement committee at CSE that will oversee all of the procurement activities a report directly to the executive director. The independent procurement committee will be required to ensure a good monitoring by going in every farm to make sure that the equipments were delivered and correctly installed.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 8	Absence or reduction of social mobilization of communities		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Environmental and Social		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	This risk may arise from a lack of communication about project activities or conflicts in the selection of beneficiaries		
Consequences	This risk may lead to low community participation, reduced ownership of project activities, weakened sustainability of results, and potential delays in implementation.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	This risk will be mitigated by implementing a good communication plan and an implication of the communities into all project activities		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 9	MLTF		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Money Laundering (ML) & Terrorist Financing (TF)		
Description	Due to the high budget allocations to equipment, there is a risk that the procured equipment will not be used for the intended purposes		
Consequences	This risk may result in inefficient use of project resources, reduced achievement of intended outcomes, fiduciary concerns and reputational damage to the Accredited entity		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	4 - High	4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	This risk will be mitigated by putting in a special independent procurement committee at CSE that will oversee all of the procurement activities a report directly to the executive director. The independent procurement committee will be required to ensure a good monitoring by going in every farm to make sure that the equipments were delivered and correctly installed.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 10	Sanctions		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Sanctions		
Description	Due to the high budget allocations to equipment, there is a risk that the procured equipment will not be used for the intended purposes		
Consequences	This risk may result in inefficient use of project resources, reduced achievement of intended outcomes, fiduciary concerns and reputational damage to the Accredited entity		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	4 - High	4 - Medium

Mitigation Measure(s)	This risk will be mitigated by putting in a special independent procurement committee at CSE that will oversee all of the procurement activities a report directly to the executive director. The independent procurement committee will be required to ensure a good monitoring by going in every farm to make sure that the equipments were delivered and correctly installed.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 11	conflict of interest		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Due to the high budget allocations to equipment, there is a risk that the procured equipment will not be used for the intended purposes		
Consequences	This risk may result in inefficient use of project resources, reduced achievement of intended outcomes, fiduciary concerns and reputational damage to the Accredited entity		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	4 - High	4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	This risk will be mitigated by putting in a special independent procurement committee at CSE that will oversee all of the procurement activities a report directly to the executive director. The independent procurement committee will be required to ensure a good monitoring by going in every farm to make sure that the equipments were delivered and correctly installed.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

(this section is confidential)

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Senegal	Grants	EUR	0	5,418,803	97,536	97,536	0%	0%
Total		EUR	0	5,418,803	97,536	97,536	N/A	N/A

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-financier	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
ANIDA	In-kind	EUR	0	0	554	554	0%	0%
Total		EUR	0	0	554	554	N/A	N/A

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF Policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

No additional finance mobilized information provided.

File Name: SAP043_APR 2025 Financials.xlsx

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES	
<i>This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.</i>	
F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards	
Key Environmental and Social Changes <i>Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.</i>	Yes ☐ No ☒
Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates <i>Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.</i>	Yes ☐ No ☒
F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.	
Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?	Yes ☐ No ☒
F.3. ESS standards implementation progress	
Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>If you tick 'Yes', please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.</i>	
Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring	

<i>dialogue with local communities in order to define the level of acceptability of the selection criteria Application of selection procedures</i>	
Outputs during the reporting period	
<i>Risk of frustrations for candidates that are not selected Risk of discrimination and non-involvement of vulnerable people</i>	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation	
None	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
None	
Remarks/Notes	
F.4. Sub-projects information	
Have any subprojects been implemented?	Yes ☐ No ☒
N/A	N/A

F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan	
Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Activities implemented during the reporting period	
Local Development Committee	
Dates and venues of engagement activities	

October 9th 2025 at Nguidilé municipality September 10,11th 2025 at Séssène and Sandiara Municipality October 24th 2025 at Dioulacolon Municipality September 10th 2025 at Médina Sabakh Municipality October 7th 2025 at Kothiary Municipality October 23th 2025 at Gandon Municipality
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male + female = total)
male = 131 female = 25
Information shared with stakeholders
☐ Overall objective: To present and discuss the FVC project with participants ☐ The objectives of the SAP043 project, including all the information described in the shared documents; The terms and conditions for submitting applications for candidates interested in establishing a family farm in Naatangué
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
N/A
Remarks/Notes
N/A

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned	
Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period	
Develop and validate tools for environmental and social (E&S) screening of selected beneficiaries and family farms (FF). Establish initial coordination with Regional Directorates (DREECs) across the eight regions and prepare a regional screening schedule. Conduct E&S screening of selected family and village farms, covering site conditions, land tenure, and social risks. Verify compliance with land and social regulations, ensuring absence of major conflicts and secure land rights. Assess impacts and define site-specific mitigation measures for each farm. Integrate E&S requirements into Naatangué farm operational plans and incorporate relevant clauses into companies' terms of reference and contracts. Review and approve Site Environmental and Social Management Plans (PGES-C) prepared by contractors for FF and solar projects, including associated plans (waste, water, OHS, reforestation, and solar equipment waste management). Develop and apply site monitoring tools (checklists, forms) and conduct regular monitoring visits to track implementation of mitigation measures (soil, water, vegetation, pollution, nuisances). Ensure compliance with occupational health and safety standards and identify and address E&S non-conformities. Assess E&S impacts related to solar equipment and define relevant monitoring indicators. Monitor organic waste management (livestock, milk, processing), effluent management, pollution risks, and verify sanitary safety of facilities. Assess impacts of compost pits and define appropriate mitigation measures. Develop training modules on E&S safeguards, including ESAP, OHS, grievance redress mechanisms (GRM), and GBV prevention. Train trainers (agricultural advisors and focal points) and assess application of knowledge in the field. Conduct awareness sessions for workers and beneficiaries on sustainable resource management, pollution prevention, IPM, pesticide management, health and safety, and GBV risks. Establish and operationalize a complaints management mechanism, including committees, training in conflict resolution, and continuous monitoring of complaints and social risks (conflicts, exclusion, access to resources).	
Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities	

F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances	
<i>Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:</i>	
Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project	
☒ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms.	
☐ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above	
Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
None	
F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan	
During the reporting period, corresponding to the inception phase, the project initiated the implementation of key activities outlined in the Gender Action Plan (GAP), with a focus on establishing enabling conditions for gender-responsive delivery. Key actions undertaken include the integration of gender considerations into project planning tools and operational frameworks, ensuring alignment with national gender policies and donor requirements. A preliminary gender analysis was initiated to identify existing gaps, barriers to women's participation, and opportunities for inclusive engagement across target communes. Efforts were also made to promote equitable access to project opportunities, as reflected in early outreach results, with 23% of applications received from women. Stakeholder consultations and community engagement activities incorporated gender-sensitive approaches to enhance women's participation and voice in decision-making processes. In parallel, the project began integrating gender-responsive indicators into the monitoring and evaluation system to enable systematic tracking of progress. Capacity-building needs related to gender mainstreaming were identified among implementing partners, laying the groundwork for targeted training in subsequent phases. Overall, activities implemented during this period focused on building a strong institutional and analytical foundation to ensure effective and measurable gender outcomes as implementation progresses.	
Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words)</i> <i>Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not</i>	

achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.

GAP Activity	
None	
Indicator	
None	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
0	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
0	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	17 March 2026
Responsibility	
0	
Remarks/Notes	
0	

F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review

Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Yes, a gender expert has been engaged since the inception phase to support the implementation of the Gender Action Plan and has been retained by the project to ensure continuity. The expert provides ongoing technical support on gender mainstreaming, including integration into planning, implementation, and monitoring processes. No disruption in support has occurred, and contingency measures are in place to mobilize a replacement if needed.</i>	
Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated	Yes ☒ No ☐

Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	
None	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Yes, the planned activities, targets, indicators, and timelines are generally on track during the reporting period. As this period corresponds to the project's inception phase, progress has mainly focused on preparatory and foundational activities, including the establishment of implementation arrangements, development of key tools, and initiation of baseline data collection. While outcome-level results are not yet expected at this stage, the timely completion of these initial milestones ensures that the project remains aligned with its overall timeline and is well-positioned to deliver on its targets in subsequent phases.</i>	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>No, there were no revisions made to the approved Gender Action Plan (GAP) during the reporting period. As the project is still in its inception phase, implementation has remained aligned with the originally approved GAP, which continues to be relevant and adequate to guide initial activities. Any potential adjustments, if required, will be considered in future reporting periods based on implementation progress and emerging findings.</i>	
Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Yes, the reported results are on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for direct beneficiaries outlined in the funding proposal. During the inception phase, efforts to ensure inclusive outreach and participation have yielded encouraging initial results, with women representing 23% of applicants. While this remains below the final target, it provides a solid baseline and demonstrates early progress toward equitable access. Continued targeted engagement, awareness-raising, and gender-responsive measures planned in subsequent phases are expected to further increase women's participation and ensure alignment with the project's sex-disaggregated targets.</i>	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>No, the project/programme has not experienced any significant implementation challenges affecting gender-related activities during the reporting period. Gender considerations have been effectively integrated into project planning and early implementation processes, and activities outlined in the Gender Action Plan have progressed as expected. The absence of major constraints reflects strong initial alignment, adequate planning, and continued technical support, allowing gender-related actions to be implemented smoothly.</i>	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	Yes ☐ No ☒
None	

Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period

- Consult with other projects and programs in the area of intervention to develop synergies and others actors - provide training, awareness building and other opportunities to empower women-led farms - Consult with other projects and programs in the area of intervention to develop synergies and others actors (including Ministry of women, family, gender and children protection) - Ensure gender equity in village farm beneficiaries and new income generating activities and provide additional training, awareness building and other opportunities to empower women-led farms

G. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
File Name: Annex 22a_GHG Calculations.xlsx
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
No annexes provided.
Annex 3: List of grievances received
No annexes provided.
Annex 4: Procurement information
No annexes provided.
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year
No annexes provided.
Annex 6: Updated Gender Assessment and/or Action Plan
No annexes provided.
Additional Annexes (if applicable)
No annexes provided.

