
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title: Akamatutu'anga To Tatou Ora'anga Meitaki (ATOM): Building a healthy and resilient Cook Islands Community – one block at a time (SAP034)

Accredited Entity: Ministry of Finance and Economic Management, Cook Islands (MFEM_COK)

Date of Report submission: 21 July 2026

Version number V2.0



Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs). Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)														
Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.														
A.1. Date of report submission		21 July 2026												
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Public											
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>GCF Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 12.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. USD 12.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Co-Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 0.888</td> </tr> <tr> <td>InKind</td> <td>Mill. USD 0.888</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 13.4</td> </tr> </table>				GCF Financing	Mill. USD 12.5	Grants	Mill. USD 12.5	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 0.888	InKind	Mill. USD 0.888	Total Financing	Mill. USD 13.4
GCF Financing	Mill. USD 12.5													
Grants	Mill. USD 12.5													
Co-Financing	Mill. USD 0.888													
InKind	Mill. USD 0.888													
Total Financing	Mill. USD 13.4													
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	NA (draft)													
A.6. Implementation period	From NA to NA													
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to GCF revised <i>Environmental and Social Policy</i> and GCF ESS Standards to assess your FP category.)			Category C											
A.8. Executing Entity Information														
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)										
Cook Islands Investment Corporation	Cook Islands	Executing	Implementation of Output 2.1 — climate-resilient health infrastructure, including Climate Vulnerability and Risk Assessment (CVRA), technical design procurement, pilot island implementation planning, and bulk procurement of structural materials.	Ms Anne Taoro - anne.taoro@cookislands.gov.ck & Amber Numanga - amber.numanga@cookislands.gov.ck										
Te Marae Ora Ministry of Health	Cook Islands	Lead Executing Entity	TMO leads ATOM's health-sector components, including Component 1 (Climate-Health Information Systems), Component 2 (Climate-Resilient Health Service Delivery), and Component 3 (Community-Level Climate-Health Outreach). TMO provides clinical and public health leadership across these components,	Mr Bob Williams - bob.williams@cookislands.gov.ck										

Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)
			ensuring HIS upgrades, climate-sensitive disease surveillance, Starlink connectivity, and community preparedness activities are effectively delivered.	
Ministry of Education	Cook Islands	National Implementation Partner	Institutionalise climate-health resilience within school curricula and infrastructure across all the islands.	Mr Owen Lewis - secretary@education.gov.ck

A.9. Co-Financier information

Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)
Ministry of Finance and Economic Management	Cook Islands	Stephanie Vaiimene	Government Ministry (Public sector entity)	N/A Government Ministry
Te Marae Ora	Cook Islands	Mr Bob Williams	Government Ministry (Public Sector Entity)	N/A Government Ministry
Cook Islands Investment Corporation	Cook Islands	Anne Taoro	State-Owned Enterprise (Government-Owned Corporation)	Not applicable. Government-Owned Corporation

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP (NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)

Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project.Beneficial owner(s)
Cook Islands	19 November 2023	Strengthen climate-resilient health systems; improve climate information and early warning; enhance resilience of critical infrastructure; support Pa Enua adaptation and service delivery.	ATOM directly supports NDC and JNAP III priorities by strengthening climate-health information systems, improving climate-sensitive disease surveillance, upgrading resilient health infrastructure, and enhancing connectivity and service delivery in Pa Enua. The project contributes to national adaptation goals for resilient health services, data systems, and community preparedness.	1%

A.11. Estimated duration of impact	
A.12 Report to be shared with NDA	Yes ☒ No ☐
8 April 2026	

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

In 2025, the ATOM project progressed from inception to early operationalisation, establishing the technical, governance, and coordination systems required for full implementation. MFEM, as Accredited Entity, strengthened implementation arrangements, advanced PMU recruitment, and coordinated Executing Entities. The Project Steering Committee met regularly to provide oversight, endorse workplans, and guide risk management.

Despite delays in PMU mobilisation, core preparatory activities advanced. TMO finalised the Baseline Assessment, which is informing the Monitoring and Evaluation Framework now under development. Foundational technical work commenced, including preparation of TORs for the Climate Change and Health Adaptation Plan update and the Communications Strategy. Connectivity investments progressed, with Starlink installations completed in the Southern Group and scheduled for completion in the Northern Group by late 2025. A Service Agreement with the Ministry of Education was finalised to support training and outreach.

CIIC completed the Climate Vulnerability and Risk Assessment for 39 health facilities across Rarotonga, Tupapa, and six Pa Enua islands. The assessment confirmed significant structural vulnerabilities and informed the Prioritisation Framework endorsed by the PSC. CIIC also initiated procurement for technical consultants, developed the pilot island implementation plan, and commenced bulk procurement of structural materials.

Cross-cutting progress included translation and refinement of the Grievance Redress Mechanism and establishment of a technical working group to finalise the system. Key risks relate to PMU recruitment delays, inter-agency coordination, and pending finalisation of the MoE–TMO MOU. Overall, 2025 established the institutional and technical foundations necessary for full-scale implementation in 2026.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. 1,500 words)

1. Progress toward outcomes and impacts

Implementation in 2025 focused on establishing the technical and institutional foundations required for full-scale delivery beginning in 2026. The Climate Vulnerability and Risk Assessment (CVRA) was completed for 39 buildings across Rarotonga and six Pa Enua islands, providing the first comprehensive climate-risk evidence base for Cook Islands health facilities. The Prioritisation Framework was endorsed by the PSC, enabling structured sequencing of infrastructure upgrades.

Detailed technical designs for Mauke Hospital were completed and peer reviewed. Minor resilience works were completed at Pukapuka and Rarotonga, contributing to early improvements in facility safety and functionality. Service Agreements with the Cook Islands Red Cross and the Ministry of Education strengthened institutional capacity for community outreach, youth engagement, and food security activities.

The GRM was translated into Cook Islands Māori and a simplified flowchart was produced, improving accessibility and strengthening survivor-centred pathways for GBV/SEAH concerns. Connectivity improvements through Starlink installations enhanced the reliability of HIS and communication systems in the Pa Enua. These foundational achievements position the project to deliver measurable adaptation benefits starting in 2026.

2. Progress on gender, social inclusion, and vulnerable groups

Punanga Turuturu Iti Vaine (PTI) made significant progress in operationalising grievance redress and survivor-support services under ATOM. Following the signing of the MOU with MFEM in March 2025, PTI recruited a Grievance and Support Officer, establishing a central coordination point for grievance intake, case management, and survivor support.

To address the national shortage of qualified counsellors, PTI supported five trainees in 2025 to undertake a Diploma in Counselling, strengthening long-term GBV/SEAH response capacity. PTI also established a temporary safe and confidential support space within its office to provide immediate intake and support for vulnerable clients. These actions strengthened ATOM's gender and social inclusion safeguards and ensured readiness for community-level engagement beginning in 2026.

3. Progress on knowledge generation and learning

The Baseline Assessment was completed, providing the foundation for the Monitoring & Evaluation Framework. Lessons from the CVRA and pilot design process were documented for integration into the 2026 knowledge products. The Communications Strategy TOR was drafted, and the GCF case study outline was prepared. Knowledge dissemination activities will begin in 2026.

PTI reviewed and updated its grievance case management, coordination, and client support procedures in 2025. This work contributes to institutional learning on survivor-centred grievance handling and will inform the alignment of ATOM's project-wide grievance processes once the PMU is fully operational.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The "cumulative value" will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:

- *Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation.*
- *Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or programme implementation.*
- *Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or programme.*

Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.

Should the project be Multicounty, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.



GCF Outcome Level: Standardized Indicators

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.

Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	257	428	0	0	0
Female	0	268	443	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Cook Islands	0	525	871	0	0	0

Livelihoods of people and communities

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A7.2 Number of males and females reached by [or total geographic coverage of] climate related early warning systems and other risk reduction measures established/ strengthened (IRMF) Supplementary 2.4 Beneficiaries (female/male) covered by new or improved early warning systems						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) with increased capacity to anticipate, respond to, and act on climate-related early warnings, disaggregated by gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	7,392	7,392	0	0	0
Female	0	7,648	7,648	0	0	0

(IRMF) Supplementary 2.5 Beneficiaries (female/male) adopting innovations that strengthen climate change resilience						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) using new or improved climate adaptation technologies or innovations, disaggregated by gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	1,478	2,957	0	0	0
Female	0	1,530	3,059	0	0	0

Optional Co-Benefit Indicators

No indicators/questions defined.

Total number of institutions or decision-makers, including local actors, using climate information products or services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors						
Provide the total number of institutions or decision-makers, including local actors.						
Unit						No. of Actors
Disaggregation by: Decision-making entity type	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Institutions	0	0	0	0	2	2

Total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms to support climate-resilient and low-emission development					
Provide the total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms.					
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	No. of Items Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	3	6		0	0

Total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms to support climate-resilient and low-emission development						
Provide the total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms, disaggregated by country.						
Unit						No. of Items Cumulative Value
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	
Cook Islands	1	1	1			0

Project Specific Indicators							
Indicator	Unit	Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
			Mid-term	Final target			
"Augmented health intelligence generated and disseminated"	No. of Items	0	1	3	0	0	0
Gender sensitised Communications products on lessons-learned shared with the wide community	No. of knowledge-sharing events/platforms	0	1	1	0	0	0
CSHR forecasts issued	No. of Items	0	1	2	0	0	0
Health Centres in the Pa Enua upgraded to withstand extreme weather events	No. of Items	0	0	2	0	2	2
Resilience of health facilities to withstand extreme weather events and remain operational during and after such an event	No. of Items	0	2	2	0	2	2
Incidence of mental health cases including SEAH	Percentage	0	10	20	0	0	0
Incidence and severity of NCDs	Percentage	0	2	4	0	0	0
"Increased availability of fresh vegetables in the Pa Enua to promote healthy diets "	No. of Items	0	13	13	0	0	0
Maternity and child healthy diets reduce incidence of malnutrition and disease in children	No. of Items	0	1	125	0	0	0
EHOs / HPOs / Tutaka from =11 Pa Enua	No. of Items	0	11	11	0	0	0

sites trained in and using augmented manuals and tools							
Availability of fresh vegetables in the Pa Enua	Percentage	0	2	4	0	0	0
Incidence of weather-related health cases	Percentage	0	10	20	0	0	0
Availability of gender sensitised CSHR and health EWS messages in Rarotonga and the Pa Enua	No. of Items	0	3,008	6,016	0	0	0
Mobile environmental testing kits to assess water quality available in the Pa Enua	No. of Items	0	0	16	0	0	0
TMO headquarters equipped with high availability IT infrastructure for HIS and health intelligence	No. of Items	0	1	1	0	1	1
Health centres in the Pa Enua upgraded to withstand extreme weather events	No. of Items	0	0	2	0	0	0
Number of policies, plans, programmes and guidelines that clearly incorporate climate change	Plans	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number of distinct TMO communication products that reference climate change	No. of Items	0	3	6	0	0	0
Health intelligence needs assessment report approved, published and disseminated	No. of Studies/assessments	0	1	1	0	1	1
Number of staff from TMO and partner organizations trained in the use of CC&H policies, procedures, tools and applications	No. of Persons	0	85	85	0	0	0
Availability of gender sensitised training materials for continuous learning by staff from TMO and partner organizations	No. of Items	0	1	1	0	1	1

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C.2.1. Subprojects							
Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).							
Title	Currency	GCF Funds	Co-Financing	Country	GHG Emissions Reduced	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C.3. Compliance with FAA covenants				Yes ☒ No ☐			
n/a							
C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year				Yes ☐ No ☒			
No changes to the implementation arrangements are envisaged for 2026. MFEM AE will continue to oversee project delivery, with lead agencies TMO and CIIC and partners implementing activities under existing Service Agreements and governance structures. The Project Steering Committee will maintain its oversight role, including endorsement of annual workplans, monitoring of risks, and coordination across agencies. The PMU, once fully recruited, will strengthen day-to-day coordination, procurement management, safeguards implementation, and reporting. These arrangements remain appropriate for the scale-up of activities planned for 2026, including infrastructure design, community outreach, and capacity-building. No modifications requiring GCF approval are anticipated.							

D. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF's policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

Risk 1	PMU Recruitment Delays		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	PMU recruitment did not progress in 2025.		
Consequences	Delays to procurement, contracting, and technical coordination.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	4 - Almost certain	4 - High	16 - High
Mitigation Measure(s)	Interim arrangements between MFEM, TMO, and CIIC maintained progress on foundational activities but limited the project's ability to scale.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Comments	N/A		
Risk 2	Procurement and Supply Chain Risks		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Financial		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Long lead times for materials, limited shipping windows to Pa Enua, and reliance on external suppliers continued to pose risks.		
Consequences	Two procurement actions were initiated in 2025, but infrastructure works could not begin.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	5 - Certain	5 - Catastrophic	25 - High

Mitigation Measure(s)	Early procurement was initiated for long-lead items, supported by CIIC engineering inputs to speed up specifications and tender preparation.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	4 - Almost certain	4 - High	16 - High
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	4 - Almost certain	4 - High	16 - High
Comments	Logistics planning for Pa Enua deliveries was strengthened through coordination with shipping operators. Regional suppliers were explored to reduce shipping time, and procurement sequencing was adjusted to align with vessel schedules and the Prioritisation Framework.		
Risk 3	Inter-Agency Coordination Risks		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	ATOM project requires coordinated action across MFEM, TMO, CIIC, Red Cross, and MoE. PMU delays increased the coordination burden and created risks of misalignment in planning, procurement, and technical sequencing.		
Consequences	Fragmented implementation, delays in procurement and technical design, inconsistent communication, and reduced efficiency across agencies.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	PSC meetings were held regularly with improved documentation and decision tracking. Service Agreements with the Red Cross and Ministry of Education clarified roles and responsibilities. Joint technical planning between CIIC and TMO supported infrastructure sequencing and readiness for 2026 implementation.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 4	Climate and Disaster Risks		
Risk Origin	External Factors		
Risk Area	Natural hazard		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Cyclones, heavy rainfall, and sea-level rise pose risks to construction, logistics, and access to Pa Enua. Climate hazards can disrupt procurement, shipping, and infrastructure works.		
Consequences	Damage to facilities, delays in construction, increased costs, and reduced access to essential services.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	3 - Likely	4 - High	12 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	The CVRA was completed for 39 buildings, providing a robust evidence base for resilient design. The Prioritisation Framework ensures that the highest-risk facilities are addressed first. Construction sequencing was adjusted to avoid cyclone-prone periods and reduce exposure to weather-related delays.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	3 - Likely	4 - High	12 - Medium High
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	3 - Likely	4 - High	12 - Medium High
Comments	N/A		
Risk 5	GBV/SEAH Risks		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Environmental and Social		
Risk Sub-area	Gender & SEAH		
Description	Community engagement, construction activities, and grievance processes carry risks of GBV/SEAH incidents. National counselling capacity is limited, and demand for support services is increasing.		

Consequences	Harm to survivors, reputational damage, non-compliance with GCF safeguards, and delays in community-level implementation.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 4 - High	Severity 8 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	PTI recruited a Grievance and Support Officer, established a safe and confidential support space, and supported five counselling trainees to address national capacity gaps. PTI strengthened referral pathways and updated grievance case management procedures. The project GRM was translated into Cook Islands Māori and simplified to improve accessibility and survivor-centred reporting.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 4 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 4 - Medium
Comments	Misclassified Area and Sub-area for the SEAH. Correct area: Integrity, sub area: SEAH		
Risk 6	Data and Internet Connectivity Risks		
Risk Origin	Partner Related		
Risk Area	Partner capacity and suitability		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Connectivity issues affect technical implementation of the Health Information System (HIS) and.		
Consequences	HIS strengthening is a core output because climate-health intelligence depends on reliable, timely data from all islands.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 4 - Almost certain	Impact 4 - High	Severity 16 - High
Mitigation Measure(s)	Starlink installations in 2025 improved HIS reliability in remote islands.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Comments	N/A		
Risk 7	Fraud and Corruption		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	This category covers bribery, collusion, coercion, corruption, embezzlement, and misuse of funds.		
Consequences	If this risk materialised, it could lead to financial loss, reputational damage, suspension of project activities, audit findings, and non-compliance with AE and GCF fiduciary standards. It could also undermine stakeholder trust and delay implementation.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 4 - High	Severity 8 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	- MFEM and AE financial controls applied to all transactions. - Segregation of duties maintained across procurement, finance, and approvals. - PSC oversight ensures transparency in decision-making. - All implementing partners required to follow MFEM procurement procedures. - Mandatory declaration of conflicts of interest for PSC and procurement staff. - Clear reporting channels through AE, PTI, and national systems.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Comments	N/A		
Risk 8	Financial Mismanagement		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Fiduciary		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		

Description	This includes unauthorised expenditures, diversion of funds, non-compliance with financial controls, or accounting irregularities.		
Consequences	- Budget overspends, inaccurate financial reporting, or ineligible expenditures, which may trigger audit findings, repayment obligations, or delays in disbursement from the Accredited Entity. - It could also undermine stakeholder confidence, disrupt implementation schedules, and reduce the project's ability to deliver planned outputs within the approved budget and timeline.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 4 - High	Severity 8 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	- MFEM financial management procedures applied to all expenditures. - AE oversight ensures compliance with FAA requirements. - Regular internal checks and reconciliations. - PSC review of financial progress and budget execution. - No high-risk procurement or construction spending occurred in 2025.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 4 - High	Severity 8 - Medium High
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 4 - High	Severity 8 - Medium High
Comments	N/A		
Risk 9	Conflict of Interest and other Integrity Violations		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Includes conflicts of interest, retaliation, obstruction of reporting, interference with investigations, or ethical breaches not covered above.		
Consequences	Could undermine transparency, discourage reporting, weaken governance, and lead to reputational harm or corrective action.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	- PSC governance and transparent decision-making - Conflict-of-interest declarations - Clear reporting and escalation pathways - AE and MFEM policies prohibiting retaliation - Documentation and audit trails maintained		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 10	Sanctions Screening and Compliance Risk		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Sanctions		
Description	Risk that project funds or contracts could inadvertently involve individuals, suppliers, or entities that are listed under international sanctions or debarment lists, leading to non-compliance with donor or international regulatory requirements.		
Consequences	Non-compliance with donor requirements, suspension or termination of project funding, legal implications, reputational damage to the implementing agency, and delays in project implementation.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 4 - High	Severity 4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	Conduct due diligence and screen all contractors, suppliers, and partners against relevant sanctions and debarment lists, ensure procurement complies with donor and national regulations, maintain proper documentation of checks, include sanctions compliance clauses in contracts, and provide staff training on sanctions compliance and risk awareness.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity

	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Comments	These measures help ensure compliance with international and donor regulations and reduce the risk of engaging sanctioned entities in project activities.		
Risk 11	Fraud, Corruption and Financial Crime Risk		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Money Laundering (ML) & Terrorist Financing (TF)		
Description	here is a risk that project funds, particularly those related to procurement, payments, or subcontracting, could be misused for money laundering or inadvertently diverted to terrorist financing activities through fraudulent suppliers, intermediaries, or weak financial controls.		
Consequences	Failure to manage this risk could result in legal and regulatory sanctions, loss of funding, reputational damage, and diversion of project resources away from intended development objectives.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	4 - High	4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	Strong AML/CFT controls, including due diligence on all contractors and suppliers, strict payment verification, and regular financial monitoring and audits, will be applied to prevent misuse of funds.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Comments	With strong due diligence, financial controls, and monitoring systems in place, the risk of money laundering and terrorist financing is significantly reduced, though ongoing vigilance remains essential due to the serious nature of the risk.		

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

(this section is confidential)

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Cook Islands	Grants	USD	307,944	2,772,168.4	221,451	265,378	20%	80%
Total		USD	307,944	2,772,168.4	221,451	265,378	N/A	N/A

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-financier	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
MFEM	In-kind	USD	347,092	1	1	1	50%	50%
Total		USD	347,092	1	1	1	N/A	N/A

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF Policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

No additional finance mobilized information provided.

File Name: APR25_ Section E SAP034 _ ATOM grant-financial-progress-detail-template-20-1.xlsx

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

Key Environmental and Social Changes

Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates

Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.

Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?

Yes ☐ No ☒

F.3. ESS standards implementation progress

Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you tick 'Yes', please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring

<i>During 2025, the project focused on foundational ESS readiness activities. PTI operationalised grievance redress and survivor-support services, including recruitment of a Grievance and Support Officer and establishment of a safe and confidential support space. GRM materials were translated into Cook Islands Māori to improve accessibility for women, youth, and vulnerable groups. The Climate Vulnerability and Risk Assessment (CVRA) was completed for 39 buildings, providing the evidence base for resilient design and future ESMP implementation. No construction or land-disturbing activities occurred in 2025; therefore, no environmental monitoring of works was required.</i>	
Outputs during the reporting period	
- Operational grievance redress and survivor-support services established through PTI. - GRM translated and culturally adapted for Cook Islands Māori speakers. - Updated grievance case management procedures and strengthened referral pathways. - Completion of CVRA for 39 health and education buildings across Pa Enea. - Strengthened institutional readiness for GBV/SEAH risk management. - No ESS non-compliance or incidents reported.	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation	
<i>The primary social and gender risks addressed in 2025 related to GBV/SEAH, accessibility of grievance mechanisms, and national capacity limitations for survivor support. PTI's actions directly mitigated these risks by establishing safe reporting pathways, improving survivor-centred case management, and expanding counselling capacity through training. Environmental risks remained low as no construction occurred. The CVRA addressed climate-related risks by identifying vulnerabilities and informing resilient design for 2026 infrastructure works.</i>	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
<i>No critical ESS issues require escalation to the AE or GCF. The main pending action is the alignment of PTI's grievance processes with the ATOM PMU once recruitment is completed in 2026. ESS screening and ESMP implementation for infrastructure works will commence once procurement and PMU mobilisation are finalised.</i>	
Remarks/Notes	
<i>Focus this year was on ESS readiness rather than implementation of physical works. Strengthening of GBV/SEAH safeguards and completion of the CVRA have positioned the project well for full ESS compliance once construction begins in 2026.</i>	
F.4. Sub-projects information	
Have any subprojects been implemented?	
Yes ☐ No ☒	
N/A	N/A

F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan	
Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?	
Yes ☒ No ☐	
Activities implemented during the reporting period	
- PTI conducted awareness activities on grievance mechanisms and survivor-centred support systems, including internal awareness activities for staff and partner agencies. - Red Cross and MoE engaged through Service Agreements ensuring clarity of roles and responsibilities. - PSC meetings were held regularly throughout 2025, maintaining structured engagement of all implementing partners.	

Dates and venues of engagement activities	
No community-level engagement sessions were conducted in 2025. All engagement activities were institutional and administrative, occurring through: - PSC meetings (MFEM, Rarotonga, held periodically throughout 2025) - PTI internal capacity-building sessions (PTI Office, Rarotonga, Q2–Q4 2025) - Service Agreement finalisation meetings with Red Cross and MoE (Rarotonga-2025) - No public-facing events with specific dates or venues took place.	
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male + female = total)	
Because no community engagement sessions were held, no sex-disaggregated participant data is applicable for 2025. Engagement was limited to Component leads and delivery partners.	
Information shared with stakeholders	
- Project implementation status and sequencing - ESS and GBV/SEAH safeguarding requirements - GRM processes and translation into Cook Islands Māori - CVRA findings and implications for resilient design - Roles and responsibilities under Service Agreements - Preparations for 2026 infrastructure and community engagement rollout	
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period	
Outputs: - Strengthened grievance and survivor-support systems through PTI - GRM translated into Cook Islands Māori - Service Agreements operational with Red Cross and MoE - PSC oversight maintained throughout 2025 Issues: No major issues were raised by stakeholders. The primary concern was the delay in PMU recruitment, which was acknowledged and addressed through interim coordination arrangements and PSC oversight.	
Remarks/Notes	
2025 engagement focused on institutional readiness rather than community outreach. Stakeholder coordination remained strong despite PMU delays, and ESS/GBV systems were strengthened in preparation for 2026 implementation.	

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned	
Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period	
- Community-level GRM and GBV/SEAH awareness sessions in Pa Enua - ESS screening for infrastructure packages - ESMP implementation during construction - Alignment of PTI grievance processes with PMU systems - Strengthened stakeholder engagement with island councils and local communities	
Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities	

- PTI's establishment of a safe and confidential support space is a strong national good practice. - Training of five counselling students builds long-term local capacity. - A key challenge was the delay in PMU recruitment, which slowed community-level ESS rollout. - Lesson learned: early investment in institutional safeguards (PTI, GRM translation, CVRA) ensures readiness even when implementation is delayed

F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances

Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:

Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project

☒ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms.

☐ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above

Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?

Yes ☐ No ☒

no annex

F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan

2025 focused on strengthening gender-responsive grievance and survivor-support systems. PTI recruited a Grievance and Support Officer, established a safe and confidential support space, and supported five trainees to undertake a Diploma in Counselling, addressing national capacity gaps. GRM materials were translated into Cook Islands Māori to improve accessibility for women, youth, and vulnerable groups. Service Agreements with Red Cross and MoE ensured gender-responsive engagement planning. No community-level GAP activities were implemented due to PMU delays; however, foundational systems were strengthened, positioning the project to meet GAP outcomes once implementation scales in 2026.

Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words)

Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.

GAP Activity

Operationalise a Gender-Responsive, Survivor-Centred GRM / Strengthen and operationalise a gender-responsive and survivor-centred Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM), including translation, staff capacity-building, and establishment of safe reporting pathways.

Indicator	
GRM operational and accessible to women, youth, and vulnerable groups, with survivor-centred procedures in place.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
Baseline: GRM framework existed but was not operationalised, translated, or supported by survivor-centred procedures. Target: GRM translated into Cook Islands Māori - Survivor-centred case management procedures operational - Safe and confidential support space established - Staff oriented on GBV/SEAH-sensitive grievance handling - GRM accessible to all stakeholders	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
Foundational systems fully established; community rollout begins 2026.	
Budget	1
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2026
Responsibility	
PTI, ATOM PMU, MFEM, Red Cross, Ministry of Education	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN because all foundational components were completed. Community rollout delayed but does not affect indicator achievement. Rollout scheduled for 2026.	
GAP Activity	
Build National Capacity for Survivor Support / Support training and capacity-building for counsellors and frontline responders.	
Indicator	
Number of trained counsellors and strengthened survivor-support services.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
Baseline: Limited national counselling capacity; no project-supported trainees. Target: At least 3–5 individuals supported to undertake counselling training. Progress Update: Five trainees supported to undertake a Diploma in Counselling Referral pathways strengthened through PTI	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
Target exceeded; training continues into 2026.	
Budget	1
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2026
Responsibility	
PTI & ATOM PMU (once established)	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN because the target was exceeded. No mitigation required.	
GAP Activity	
Ensure Gender-Responsive Stakeholder Engagement	
Indicator	
Gender-responsive engagement protocols reflected in partner agreements and engagement planning.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
Baseline - No gender-responsive engagement protocols in place. Target - Gender-responsive engagement integrated into Service Agreements and stakeholder processes. Annual Update: - Service Agreements with Red Cross and MoE include gender-responsive engagement expectations - PSC oversight maintained - No community engagement sessions conducted due to PMU delays	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
Institutional readiness achieved and community engagement begins 2026.	

Budget	1
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2026
Responsibility	
MFEM; PTI; Red Cross; MoE; ATOM PMU.	
Remarks/Notes	
AMBER because institutional progress is strong, but community-level engagement has not yet begun. Mitigation: prioritise community engagement early in 2026.	
GAP Activity	
Integrate Gender Considerations into Climate-Resilient Infrastructure Planning / Ensure infrastructure design incorporates gender-specific safety, accessibility, and resilience considerations.	
Indicator	
Gender-responsive design considerations reflected in infrastructure planning and CVRA outputs	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
Target - CVRA completed; gender-responsive considerations integrated into design packages. Progress Update - CVRA completed for 39 buildings - Gender-relevant safety and accessibility considerations identified - Findings prepared for integration into 2026 design packages	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
On track. Design integration begins 2026.	
Budget	1
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2026
Responsibility	
CIIC; TMO; ATOM PMU; MFEM	
Remarks/Notes	

GREEN because CVRA completed and gender considerations identified. No construction occurred in 2025.

GAP Activity

Strengthen Institutional Gender Capacity Across Implementing Partners / Provide gender, GBV/SEAH, and safeguarding orientation to implementing partners.

Indicator

Number of staff oriented on gender and safeguarding.

Rating of Indicator

AMBER (needs attention to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable

1

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

Baseline - No staff trained at project start.

Target - All implementing partners oriented before community rollout.

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

- PTI conducted internal awareness sessions
- PSC meetings included safeguarding updates
- PMU not yet established; island-level training not started

Budget

1

Currency

USD

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)

31 December 2026

Responsibility

PTI; MFEM; ATOM PMU; Red Cross; MoE

Remarks/Notes

AMBER because institutional training occurred, but island-level training awaits PMU establishment. Mitigation: schedule training early 2026.

F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review

Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.

Yes ☒ No ☐

PTI's GBV/SEAH specialist supported GAP implementation throughout 2025.

Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>The budget allocated for the Gender Action Plan is being implemented in line with the FAA and the GCF Updated Gender Policy. Expenditures in 2025 focused on foundational activities, including translation of the GRM into Cook Islands Māori, development of survivor-centred procedures, and preparatory work for MoE-led outreach. No deviations from the approved budget occurred, and remaining allocations will be utilised once community-level activities commence in 2026.</i>	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Planned GAP activities for 2025 were primarily preparatory and are on track. Key milestones achieved include GRM translation, establishment of a technical working group, and finalisation of the MoE Service Agreement to support gender-responsive training. Community-level activities and sex-disaggregated reporting will begin in 2026 once implementation scales up.</i>	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>No revisions were made to the approved Gender Action Plan during the reporting period. The GAP remains valid and aligned with the project's implementation trajectory. Any future updates will be submitted to the GCF with tracked changes, should adjustments be required as implementation expands in 2026.</i>	
Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Direct beneficiary targets will be met once implementation scales.</i>	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>PMU delays slowed community-level rollout. PTI's capacity-building mitigated this.</i>	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	Yes ☒ No ☐

PTI's safe support space and counselling training represent strong gender-responsive practices.

Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period

- Community-level GBV/SEAH and GRM awareness sessions - Integration of gender considerations into ESMPs and infrastructure rollout - Quarterly monitoring of GAP indicators - Alignment of PTI and PMU grievance processes - Engagement with women's groups and Pa Enea community leaders

G. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
File Name: Annex1-APR25 ATOM Project.docx
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
File Name: CIIC Health Services Strengthened with GCF Support.pdf File Name: Highlights from Mangaia Career Expo 2025.pdf
Annex 3: List of grievances received
File Name: Annex3-APR25 ATOM Project.docx
Annex 4: Procurement information
File Name: Copy of 13.05.2026 _ SAP034 _ ATOM procurement_TP130526.xlsx
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year
File Name: SAP034_Consolidated_Implementation_Plan_2026_APR25_eDraft.xlsx
Annex 6: Updated Gender Assessment and/or Action Plan
File Name: Annex6 -APR25 ATOM Project - GAP.docx
Additional Annexes (if applicable)
File Name: PSC Training Presentations March 2025.pdf

