
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title: Enhancing Climate Information Systems for Resilient Development in Liberia (Liberia CIS) (SAP018)

Accredited Entity: African Development Bank (AfDB)

Date of Report submission: 16 July 2026

Version number V1.0



Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs). Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)

Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.

A.1. Date of report submission		16 July 2026														
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Public													
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>GCF Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 10.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. USD 10.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Co-Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 1.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. USD 0.432</td> </tr> <tr> <td>InKind</td> <td>Mill. USD 1.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 11.4</td> </tr> </table>				GCF Financing	Mill. USD 10.0	Grants	Mill. USD 10.0	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 1.4	Grants	Mill. USD 0.432	InKind	Mill. USD 1.0	Total Financing	Mill. USD 11.4
	GCF Financing	Mill. USD 10.0														
	Grants	Mill. USD 10.0														
	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 1.4														
	Grants	Mill. USD 0.432														
	InKind	Mill. USD 1.0														
	Total Financing	Mill. USD 11.4														
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	NA (draft)															
A.6. Implementation period	From NA to NA															
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to GCF revised <u>Environmental and Social Policy</u> and GCF ESS Standards to assess your FP category.)			Category C													
A.8. Executing Entity Information																
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)												
Environmental Protection Agency	Liberia	Executing Entity	Executing Entity	jallah.nelson@yahoo.com; ureyyarkpawolo@epa.gov.lr												

A.9. Co-Financier information

Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)
Government of Liberia	Liberia	ureyyarkpawolo@epa.gov.lr	Country	Liberia
AfDB/CDSF	Cote d'Ivoire	James Kinyangi; Naïda Mohamed	Country	Liberia

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP <i>(NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)</i>				
Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project.Beneficial owner(s)
Liberia	1 July 2021	To reduce economy-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 64% below business-as-usual levels by 2035 through a mix of domestic efforts and international support, while simultaneously strengthening coastal resilience and promoting inclusive development.	This project directly supports Liberia's NDC commitments by building the foundational early warning infrastructure needed to manage the country's climate vulnerabilities. Specifically, it addresses the NDC's adaptation priorities by protecting vulnerable coastal populations and priority economic sectors—such as agriculture and fisheries—from climate-induced hazards like severe flooding and heavy rainfall. Additionally, it satisfies the financial and technical assistance needs outlined in Liberia's climate strategies by mobilizing Green Climate Fund grant resources to strengthen national monitoring capabilities. Ultimately, the project drives a paradigm shift toward evidence-based, climate-informed national planning that incorporates inclusive, gender-responsive disaster response frameworks.	1%

A.11. Estimated duration of impact	
A.12 Report to be shared with NDA	Yes ☒ No ☐
1 June 2026	

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

This Annual Performance Report summarizes progress achieved during 2025 under the Enhancing Climate Information Systems for Resilient Development Project in Liberia. The reporting period focused on establishing institutional, operational, and technical foundations for implementation, including governance structures, validation of key management instruments, baseline assessments, and initiation of sustainability planning, such as the Liberia Climate Change Trust Fund (LCCTF), and supporting evidence-based planning and decision-making across climate services institutions in Liberia and resilience planning capacities.

Significant progress was achieved during the period. The Project Monitoring and Evaluation Plan and Financial Management Manual were validated, strengthening results-based management, fiduciary accountability, and performance tracking. Capacity building was provided to the Project Implementation Unit (PIU) and implementing partners, including training on QuickBooks and donor financial reporting. A Communication and Grievance Redress Strategy was finalized to enhance stakeholder engagement, transparency, and accountability.

The field assessments were completed for meteorological stations in Bomi, Grand Bassa, and Cape Mount, as well as hydrological stations in Bong, Lofa, and Nimba counties. These assessments provided baseline data to guide the rehabilitation and modernization of hydrometeorological infrastructure. A national baseline assessment was conducted and validated, establishing benchmarks for climate vulnerabilities, institutional capacity, and gaps in climate information services.

The project faced start-up challenges, including delays in AfDB fund disbursement, fiduciary differences between AfDB requirements and national systems, and procurement delays due to staffing gaps. Following internal consultations, disbursement arrangements were revised to channel funds through the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which will manage dedicated accounts for ICTP and IFRC.

Despite these constraints, the project demonstrated strong adaptive management through proactive engagement of the Project Steering Committee and use of the EPA procurement system. These actions resolved key bottlenecks, supported completion of foundational activities, and established a solid framework for accelerated implementation in the next reporting period.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. 1,500 words)

During the 2025 reporting period, the Enhancing Climate Information Systems for Resilient Development Project in Liberia (CIS) transitioned from project approval to active implementation, with primary emphasis placed on establishing the operational, institutional, and technical foundations necessary for effective project delivery. The Project Implementation Unit (PIU) was successfully established within the Environmental Protection Agency, and key governance and coordination mechanisms were operationalized to support implementation oversight and inter-institutional collaboration.

The reporting period was characterized by extensive planning, stakeholder engagement, and validation processes. Two critical project management instruments. The Project Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Plan and the Financial Management Manual were successfully validated on 18 September 2025 and 19 September 2025, respectively, through participatory consultations involving more than 110 stakeholders. These frameworks now provide the foundation for results-based management, performance monitoring, fiduciary oversight, and financial accountability throughout project implementation. To strengthen institutional capacity, targeted training programs were conducted for PIU and partner institution staff, including a four-day QuickBooks training and a two-day donor financial reporting workshop, thereby enhancing the project's capacity for transparent and compliant management of GCF and AfDB resources.

Important preparatory work was undertaken across all five project components during the reporting period. A consultant was engaged to support the design and establishment of the Liberia Climate Change Trust Fund (LCCTF), which is intended to serve as a long-term financing mechanism for climate resilience and sustainability initiatives in Liberia. In parallel, a national consultant finalized the Project Communication and Grievance Redress Strategy to strengthen stakeholder engagement, information dissemination, accountability, and grievance management processes. Additionally, nine Terms of Reference (TORs) were developed and advertised for priority technical consultancies, including assignments related to the Quality Management System, Geospatial Platform, and Automated Decision Support System.

Substantial technical groundwork was also completed during the reporting period. Field assessments were undertaken for meteorological and hydrological stations in three counties, and a needs assessment was conducted for the National Meteorological Center (NMC) located at Roberts International Airport. These assessments generated essential baseline information and confirmed that significant portions of the hydrometeorological infrastructure remain non-functional due to maintenance deficiencies, aging equipment, and connectivity limitations. Furthermore, a comprehensive national baseline assessment was completed and validated, providing a detailed analysis of climate hazards, vulnerabilities, institutional capacities, and the existing utilization of climate information services across the country.

Despite the progress achieved, implementation during the start-up phase encountered several operational and institutional challenges. Delays in fund disbursement were experienced due to procedural inconsistencies between AfDB disbursement requirements and Liberia's national financial and tax regulations, particularly concerning withholding tax procedures. Procurement processes were further delayed following the departure of the project procurement officer, while the availability and full-time engagement of seconded government personnel assigned to the PIU remained inconsistent. In response to these challenges, the Project Steering Committee (PSC) convened on 3 December 2025 and agreed on a series of corrective actions, including enhanced coordination with the Ministry of Finance and the AfDB to address procedural bottlenecks, recruitment of a new procurement officer, and strengthened institutional coordination mechanisms. As a result, notwithstanding the delays experienced during the inception phase, the project concluded the reporting period with strengthened operational systems, validated strategic frameworks, and a clear implementation roadmap for accelerated technical delivery in 2026.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The "cumulative value" will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:

- *Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation.*
- *Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or programme implementation.*
- *Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or programme.*

Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.

Should the project be Multicountry, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.

Should any prefilled information/data in the Logical Framework be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

GCF Outcome Level: Standardized Indicators

PMF/IRMF Results Management Framework

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Livelihoods of people and communities	0	1,200,000	2,312,400	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Female	0	500,000	1,100,000	0	0	0
Male	0	700,000	1,212,400	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Liberia	0	1,200,000	2,312,400	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Livelihoods of people and communities	0	5,395,600	6,020,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Female	0	2,679,500	3,000,000	0	0	0
Male	0	2,716,100	3,020,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Liberia	0	5,395,600	6,020,000	0	0	0

Livelihoods of people and communities

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A8.1 Number of males and females made aware of climate threats and related appropriate responses						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) made aware of climate threats and related appropriate responses, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Female	275,000	500,000	1,100,000	0	0	0
Male	300,000	700,000	1,212,400	0	0	0

Ecosystems and ecosystem services

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change						
Provide the total hectares of natural ecosystems protected, restored, conserved, or managed to reduce climate risks to ecosystem services and dependent people and communities.						
Unit					Hectares	
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)	
	Mid-term	Final target				
0	79,800	119,700	0	0	0	

(PMF) A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change						
Provide the total hectares of natural ecosystems protected, restored, conserved, or managed to reduce climate risks to ecosystem services and dependent people and communities, disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Liberia	0	79,800	119,700	0	0	0

(PMF) A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change						
Provide the total hectares of natural ecosystems protected, restored, conserved, or managed to reduce climate risks to ecosystem services and dependent people and communities, disaggregated by ecosystem type.						
Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Ecosystem types	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Coastal or marine	0	79,800	119,700	0	0	0

Project Specific Indicators							
Indicator	Unit	Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
			Mid-term	Final target			
A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change	Square metres	800,000	33,000,000	47,000,000	0	0	0
A 6.2 Use of climate information products/ services in decision making in climate-sensitive sectors	No. of Items	0	1	1	0	0	0
A7.1: Use by vulnerable households, communities, businesses and public sector services of GCF-supported tools, instruments, strategies and activities to respond to climate change and variability	No. of Households	0	1,200,000	2,312,400	0	0	0
A8.1: Number of males and females made aware of climate threats and related appropriate responses	No. of Persons	575	1,200,000	2,312,400	0	0	0
A2.2 Total Number of food secure households (in areas/periods at risk of climate change impacts)	No. of Households	0	251,348	351,887	0	0	0
A2.2 Female Number of food secure households (in areas/periods at risk of climate change impacts)	No. of Households	0	125,674	175,944	0	0	0
A1.1 Change in expected losses of lives and economic assets due to the impact of extreme climate-related disasters in the geographic area of the GCF intervention	Percentage	0	25	75	0	0	0
A3.1 Number and value of physical assets made more resilient to climate	Percentage	0	30	70	0	0	0

variability and change, considering human benefits (reported where applicable)							
A6.1 Use of climate information products/services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors	No. of Institutions	3	10	15	0	0	0
A6.1 Use of climate information products/services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors	No. of Institutions	0	10	15	0	0	0

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C.2.1. Subprojects							
Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).							
Title	Currency	GCF Funds	Co-Financing	Country	GHG Emissions Reduced	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C.3. Compliance with FAA covenants				Yes ☒ No ☐			

The project has established core governance (M&E Plan, Financial Manual) and is operating in compliance with the Funded Activity Agreement. All activities have been implemented in line with AfDB and GCF procedures. Challenges related to disbursement and procurement are being actively managed through the Project Steering Committee and engagement with the AfDB and Ministry of Finance, ensuring continued compliance.

C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year

Yes ☐ No ☒

No changes are anticipated to the overall project implementation arrangements during the subsequent reporting period.

D. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF's policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

Risk 1	Implementation		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting. The identified challenges are being managed as part of routine risk mitigation.		
Consequences	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting. The identified challenges are being managed as part of routine risk mitigation.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	2		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 2	Sanctions		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Sanctions		
Description	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting.		
Consequences	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting.		

Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	1		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting.		
Risk 3	Fraud		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Fraud / Bribery & Corruption / Collusion and any other prohibited practice		
Description	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting.		
Consequences	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	1		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 4	Conflict of Interest		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Conflict of interest		
Description	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting.		
Consequences	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	1		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 5	Money Laundry		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Money Laundering (ML) & Terrorist Financing (TF)		
Description	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting.		
Consequences	No major risk incidents materialized during the reporting period that required formal confidential reporting.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	1		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

(this section is confidential)

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Liberia	Grants	USD	0	0	0	0	0%	100%
Total		USD	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-financier	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
CDSF	Grants	USD	0	0	0	0	0%	100%
GoL	In-kind	USD	0	0	0	0	0%	100%
Total		USD	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF Policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

No additional finance mobilized information provided.

File Name: APR-AFDB-2026_VF_15072026.xlsx

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

Key Environmental and Social Changes

Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates

Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.

Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?

Yes ☐ No ☒

F.3. ESS standards implementation progress

Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you tick 'Yes', please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring

During the reporting period, the project implemented several measures to strengthen stakeholder engagement, transparency, and accountability in line with the Environmental and Social Safeguards (ESS) requirements of the Green Climate Fund. A key achievement was the validation of the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) under the broader Communication and Grievance Redress Strategy. The GRM was formally disclosed and presented at all eight major stakeholder consultation and validation workshops conducted throughout the reporting period, ensuring stakeholders were informed of the available channels for raising concerns, complaints, and feedback regarding project implementation. In addition, the national baseline assessment process incorporated extensive consultations with diverse stakeholder groups, including vulnerable and climate-affected communities, to assess climate risks, vulnerabilities, and institutional response capacities. These consultations contributed to strengthening participatory planning processes and promoting inclusive engagement across project interventions.

Outputs during the reporting period

During the reporting period (January–December 2025), the project delivered key outputs under environmental, social, and gender activities, supporting accountability, stakeholder engagement, and GCF ESS compliance. Major achievements: validation of the M&E Plan (18 Sept 2025) with gender-sensitive indicators and sex-/age-disaggregated data; validation of the Financial Management Manual (19 Sept 2025) for fiduciary controls and transparency. The Communication and Grievance Redress Strategy was finalized and disclosed in eight workshops. A Baseline Assessment Report analyzed climate vulnerabilities and gender-differentiated impacts. Seven gender-disaggregated workshop reports were produced. A Draft Gender Mainstreaming Report showed 72 women out of 336 participants (21.4% female participation). Technical needs assessments for meteorological/hydrological stations included women's perspectives. The PSC meeting (3 Dec 2025) noted 11.1% female representation in governance and agreed on corrective measures. No adverse impacts or grievances were recorded; the project remains Category C with no ESS standards triggered beyond stakeholder engagement and grievance mechanisms.

Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation

The primary issue was to establish a formal, transparent, and accessible grievance mechanism for receiving, managing, and resolving stakeholder concerns. This mechanism aims to enhance accountability, build stakeholder trust, and support responsive project implementation throughout the project lifecycle.

Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention

No

Remarks/Notes

No Indigenous Peoples Plan was required, as relevant safeguard criteria were not triggered. However, the project maintained inclusive stakeholder engagement and broad consultations to ensure vulnerable groups and local communities' perspectives are reflected in planning and implementation.

F.4. Sub-projects information

Have any subprojects been implemented?

Yes ☐ No ☒

N/A

N/A

F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan

Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Activities implemented during the reporting period
During the reporting period, the project conducted a total of seven major workshops and training activities, alongside three technical assessment missions, engaging 336 participants, including 264 men and 72 women, representing 28 institutions across government agencies, non-governmental organizations, media institutions, and academia. These activities contributed to strengthening institutional coordination, technical capacity, stakeholder awareness, and multi-sectoral collaboration in support of climate information systems and resilience-building interventions. Implementation of the Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) progressed as planned during the reporting period, with stakeholder consultations and participatory processes integrated across key project activities. While stakeholder engagement activities were actively undertaken and documented, the establishment of a formalized tracking and monitoring system for stakeholder engagement indicators remains ongoing and will be further strengthened during the subsequent reporting period to enhance reporting consistency and accountability.
Dates and venues of engagement activities
1. M&E Validation Workshop, Sept 18, 2025, Monrovia 2. Financial Manual Validation Workshop, Sept 19, 2025, Monrovia 3. Early Warning & Disaster Risk Communication & Management Training, Nov 5-7, 2025, Margibi, Kakata 4. Financial Management & Donor Reporting Workshop, Nov 13-14, 2025, Monrovia 5. QuickBooks Training, Sept 15-18, 2025, Margibi 6. Peer Review & Validation Workshop on Baseline Assessment Report, Nov 24-27, 2025, Margibi, Marshall 7. Media Training, Dec 17-18, 2025, Margibi, Kakata
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male + female = total)
264 men and 72 women
Information shared with stakeholders
N/A
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
N/A
Remarks/Notes
N/A

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned	
Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period	
Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities	

F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances	
<i>Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:</i>	
Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project	
☒ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms.	
☐ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above	
Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>Non</i>	
F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan	
During the reporting period, the project made measurable progress in implementing foundational activities under the Gender Action Plan (GAP), particularly in relation to gender-responsive monitoring, stakeholder participation, and data collection systems. Key achievements included the establishment of a Project Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) framework incorporating gender-sensitive indicators, as well as the systematic collection of sex-disaggregated participation data across major project activities and consultations. A total of 72 women participated in project-supported activities during the reporting period, representing 21.4% of overall participation in trainings, consultations, and technical assessments. While female participation remained below the project's target range of 30–50%, the reporting period established an important baseline for monitoring progress toward improved gender inclusion in subsequent years of implementation. The highest level of female participation was recorded during the Early Warning and Disaster Risk Communication training, where women accounted for 36% of participants. This highlight the strong relevance of community-based climate information and disaster preparedness activities for women and demonstrated the potential for increased female engagement through more targeted and inclusive outreach strategies. In addition, the project prepared a Draft Gender Mainstreaming Report consolidating FY2025 gender-related performance data, lessons learned, and recommendations to strengthen gender-responsive programming and institutional accountability.	
Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?	Yes ☒ No ☐

Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words)

Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.

GAP Activity	
Early Warning & Disaster Risk Communication & Management Training	
Indicator	
Number of people made aware of the climate risks and disaster knowledge	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	575000
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	2312400
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
Highest female participation. Emphasized women's roles in community-based early warning. Women shared critical local knowledge on differentiated disaster impacts.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
64 (Male: 41, Female: 23)	
Budget	64,516
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 May 2029
Responsibility	
EPA, NDMA, LHS, LMS	
Remarks/Notes	
non	

F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review

Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.

Yes ☒ No ☐

<i>During the reporting period, the project strengthened its gender mainstreaming capacity through the engagement of a qualified gender consultant who supported the development of the Gender Action Plan (GAP) and related analytical and reporting tools. This support helped establish a structured approach for integrating gender considerations into project implementation and monitoring. In addition, a full-time gender consultant was embedded within the Project Implementation Unit (PIU) to oversee and coordinate GAP implementation. This arrangement is expected to improve the consistency and effectiveness of gender mainstreaming efforts, strengthen compliance with ESS requirements, and enhance tracking of gender-related results and outcomes.</i>	
Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>The budget for the implementation of the GAP is embedded in the project activity budget</i>	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>The Gender Action Plan (GAP) is currently being implemented on a partial basis, with important foundational actions underway, including the integration of gender-sensitive indicators and the collection of sex- and age-disaggregated data across project activities. These measures are supporting early tracking of gender-related results and participation trends. However, the formal mainstreaming of gender considerations into all technical Terms of Reference (TORs) and activity design documents requires further strengthening. In particular, more systematic inclusion of gender-responsive requirements in technical assignments and implementation planning will be needed to ensure consistent application of GAP principles across all project components and to fully align implementation with the project's gender equality and social inclusion objectives.</i>	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ No ☒
No	
Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Yes	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>The primary challenge is the limited pool of female experts in technical hydro-meteorological fields and persistent cultural barriers to women's participation in governance forums (e.g., PSC was only 11% female).</i>	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women	Yes ☒ No ☐

and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	
<i>The Early Warning training demonstrated that when topics are framed around community and communication, female participation naturally increases.</i>	
Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period	
<i>To strengthen Gender Equality and Social Inclusion (GESI) outcomes and address identified implementation gaps, the project will introduce a set of targeted measures designed to institutionalize inclusive participation across all activities and levels of implementation. These measures will include the establishment of minimum participation quotas of 30–40 percent women for all project events, trainings, consultations, and capacity-building activities to ensure consistent alignment with GESI objectives and Gender Action Plan (GAP) targets. In addition, a structured mentorship programme will be launched to support young female professionals in STEM fields, particularly in hydro-meteorology, climate science, and related technical disciplines, to strengthen the pipeline of qualified women experts and enhance long-term gender balance in the sector. To further address structural and practical barriers to participation, the project will incorporate enabling measures such as childcare support for women participating in multi-day trainings and workshops. At the community level, the project will also introduce “Climate Women Ambassadors” in rural areas of Liberia to strengthen grassroots outreach, improve access to climate information services, and promote women’s leadership and engagement in climate resilience and decision-making processes.</i>	

G. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
No annexes provided.
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
No annexes provided.
Annex 3: List of grievances received
No annexes provided.
Annex 4: Procurement information
No annexes provided.
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year
File Name: WORK PAn FY 26 CIS.docx
Annex 6: Updated Gender Assessment and/or Action Plan
No annexes provided.
Additional Annexes (if applicable)
No annexes provided.

