
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title:	Scaling up ecosystem-based approaches to managing climate-intensified disaster risks in vulnerable regions of South Africa ("Eco-DRR") (FP267)
Accredited Entity:	South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI)
Date of Report submission:	14 May 2026
Version number	V1.0



Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs). Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)

Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.

A.1. Date of report submission		14 May 2026												
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Public											
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>GCF Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 40.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. USD 40.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Co-Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 9.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>InKind</td> <td>Mill. USD 9.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 49.5</td> </tr> </table>				GCF Financing	Mill. USD 40.1	Grants	Mill. USD 40.1	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 9.4	InKind	Mill. USD 9.4	Total Financing	Mill. USD 49.5
	GCF Financing	Mill. USD 40.1												
	Grants	Mill. USD 40.1												
	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 9.4												
	InKind	Mill. USD 9.4												
Total Financing	Mill. USD 49.5													
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	NA (draft)													
A.6. Implementation period	From NA to NA													
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to GCF revised <u>Environmental and Social Policy</u> and GCF ESS Standards to assess your FP category.)			Category B											
A.8. Executing Entity Information														
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)										
South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI)	South Africa	Public	Executing Entity	Chief Director: Dr. Tsepang Dr. Tsepang Makholela; Email: t.makholela@sanbi.org.za										

A.9. Co-Financier information

Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)
South African National Biodiversity Institute	South Africa	SANBI Chief Executive Officer	Public	South African Government on behalf of the public
Department of Forestry, Fisheries & the Environment (DFFE)	South Africa	Deputy Director General: Environmental Programmes	Public	South African Government on behalf of the public
South African Local Government Association (SALGA)	South Africa	SALGA Chief Officer	Public	South African Government on behalf of the public

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP <i>(NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)</i>				
Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project.Beneficial owner(s)
South Africa	24 October 2025	1. Second NDC submitted in October 2025 to the UNFCCC - The project operationalises South Africa's second NDC and NAP objectives by translating ecosystem-based adaptation and disaster risk reduction objectives into on-the-ground interventions that benefit communities vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, while simultaneously strengthening institutional capacity, financing mechanisms, and knowledge systems required for long-term climate resilience.	The project aligns with South Africa's NDC adaptation goals (specifically Goals 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8) by strengthening disaster risk management in vulnerable communities, expanding inclusive climate services, enhancing ecosystem-based adaptation, building government capacity to implement national climate change law, and supporting the development of climate-resilient human settlements and infrastructure, while also advancing adaptive capacity, policy integration, knowledge, and implementation systems. NOTE: "Percentage of target met with proposed project" is still to be determined	0%
South Africa	29 September 2019	2. NAP submitted in September 2021 to the UNFCCC - The project operationalises South Africa's second NDC and NAP objectives by translating ecosystem-based adaptation and disaster risk reduction objectives into on-the-ground interventions that benefit communities vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, while simultaneously strengthening institutional capacity, financing mechanisms, and knowledge systems required for long-term climate resilience.	The project aligns with South Africa's NAP strategic objectives by strengthening disaster risk management in vulnerable communities, expanding inclusive climate services, enhancing ecosystem-based adaptation, building government capacity to implement national climate change law, and supporting the development of climate-resilient human settlements and infrastructure, while also advancing adaptive capacity, policy integration, knowledge, and implementation systems. NOTE: The "Percentage of target met with proposed project" is still to be determined.	0%

A.11. Estimated duration of impact	
A.12 Report to be shared with NDA	Yes ☐ No ☐

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

The Eco-DRR project was initiated on 3 July 2025. This Year 1 report covers six months of progress, which is two-thirds of the project's Inception Phase period.

Key achievements and deliverables over the reporting period included:

- Engagement within SANBI, with a focus on the Executing Entity function teams and corporate services.
- Formal and informal engagements with the project's lead institutional partners i.e. Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) and the South African Local Government Association (SALGA). These engagements were aimed at establishing a common understanding of the project and a coherent way of working.
- Initiation of recruitment processes for key positions, with a focus on those within the Project Management Unit.
- Progress towards establishing the project governance structures, including the Project Steering Committee.

A primary **challenge** was an underestimation of the time needed to recruit dedicated project staff, despite the prioritisation of the Eco-DRR project by SANBI support functions. A further challenge related to the time needed to convene the lead institutional partners. The **response** to these challenges was to request a three-month extension of the Inception Phase, to 2 April 2026. This request was approved by the GCF.

Lessons learned were:

- Meaningful, structured engagement between project partners is important as a foundation for a shared understanding of the project, and for coordinated implementation.
- It is ambitious to expect a project that has the size and complexity of the Eco-DRR project to engage comprehensively with all of the elements that are needed at start-up without the capacity of a dedicated project team.
- The GCF reporting templates only allow for binary gender identities. The project team will need to see how a broader extended approach that enables reporting to extend beyond binary identities can be accommodated.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. 1,500 words)

The Eco-DRR project was initiated on 3 July 2025, and this Year 1 report, covering the period to the end of December 2025, reflects six months of progress. The Inception Phase of the project was originally designed to cover the first six months of the project but was subsequently extended to nine months, ending 2 April 2026. As such, this report covers two-thirds of the extended Inception Phase.

As anticipated, there has been no progress against the project's Logical Framework indicators during the reporting period. It is therefore not possible to report on measurable achievements at this stage.

There has, however, been strong progress against the key milestones planned for the Inception Phase, particularly in relation to strategic engagements, recruitment processes and the establishment of governance arrangements. This section therefore, focuses on summarising progress in these areas.

The Inception Phase was guided by Inception Phase Plans and a Stakeholder Engagement and Communications Plan, which are annexed to this report. These plans extended beyond the initial set of activities outlined in the project proposal, reflecting a more comprehensive approach to project start-up.

As part of its broader transformation efforts, SANBI has been pioneering a **reflective social practice** that supports a relational and facilitative learning approach. This practice emphasises collective observation of the receiving environment together with self-awareness of what each participant brings to a given context. In so doing, it creates space for reflection, learning from experience and adaptation to emerging conditions. It also places deliberate attention on inclusion, helping to identify perspectives or critical voices that may be missing. This reflective, relational, and facilitative approach underpins SANBI's implementation of the Eco-DRR project.

A key focus of the Inception Phase was to strengthen the partnerships and establish institutional, operational and governance readiness across the project's three lead institutional partners: SANBI, the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) and the South African Local Government Association (SALGA). Several engagements took place within SANBI itself, including with SANBI's Board, Executive Management team, corporate services and the team that is leading the Executing Entity function. In addition, technical teams at SALGA were also convened for an immersive engagement.

These engagements were aimed at establishing a common understanding of the project and a coherent way of working, so that project delivery is grounded in shared ownership, aligned institutional processes and strengthened, unified project leadership.

Broader stakeholder engagement was also supported through several online platforms. Online and social media communications undertaken during the reporting period are annexed to this report.

An update on progress against the main deliverables of the Inception Phase is provided below. Achievement against each deliverable was "in process" at the end of the reporting period (i.e. end December 2025):

i. Establish and operationalise the Project Management Unit (PMU)

During the first six months of the Inception Phase, three phases of recruitment for the Eco-DRR project were initiated. Phase One, focusing on AE and EE PMU staff, commenced in August 2025. The recruitment process built on the engagements with SANBI Executive Management, and led to SANBI's corporate services teams prioritising the Eco-DRR project and working towards streamlining the required processes to maximise efficiencies.

Ten Phase One positions were advertised in September 2025, including four AE and six EE posts. Phase Two positions were advertised in December 2025, and preparations were made for Phase Three advertising, which would commence in 2026.

Despite these efforts, and due to the large number of positions requiring recruitment and an underestimation of the time this would take, none of the PMU positions had been filled by the end of December 2025. This contributed to the decision to request a three-month extension of the Inception Phase. By the end of December 2025, interviews and assessments for the Phase One recruitment had been completed, and external competency tests were in the process of being scheduled. (Five EE PMU positions were filled in early 2026 - this will be covered in the Year 2 Annual Performance Report).

ii. Establish the Project Steering Committee (PSC)

Draft Terms of Reference (ToR) for the PSC, including criteria for the selection of PSC members, were developed as a guiding document. The ToR were finalised following consultation with the lead institutional partners, and the scope of the PSC was expanded to include additional members as well as provincial and local government representation. As of the end December 2025, letters of invitation were in the process of being sent to the selected institutions to nominate

representatives for the PSC. (The first PSC meeting was held in March 2026 - this will be covered in the Year 2 Annual Performance Report).

ii. Establish the Project Management Group (PMG)

In response to changes to the composition of the PSC, the PMG was re-conceptualised as a Project Implementation Committee (PIC). The PIC will comprise the leads of the Task Teams and is intended to support operational and technical coordination, including local stakeholder engagement processes. This refinement does not alter the core governance functions or oversight arrangements defined in the approved project design.

iv. Establish the Task Teams

It was recognised that the establishment of Task Teams is dependent on broader engagement with the full suite of project stakeholders, and that the PMU would need to be established in order for this to happen. As a result, it was agreed that this activity will be undertaken during the Operationalisation Phase (i.e. 15-month period following the Inception Phase).

v. Develop a workplan for the Operationalisation Phase

A high-level workplan, co-developed with lead institutional partners, will guide implementation during the Operationalisation Phase. The initial content immersion sessions held during the reporting period focused on identifying the activities required for this phase, and further engagements were scheduled in 2026 to finalise the draft workplan ahead of the Project Inception Workshop in March 2026. (The high level workplan was tabled at the first PSC meeting in March 2026 - this will be covered in the Year 2 Annual Performance Report).

vi. Host a Project Inception Workshop

By the end of December 2025, dates for the Project Inception Workshop (9 -10 March 2026) had been confirmed and logistical arrangements were underway. (The Inception Workshop was convened alongside the first PSC meeting in March 2026 - this will be covered in the Year 2 Annual Performance Report).

vii. Develop and submit a Project Inception Report

The Project Inception Report will be completed after the Inception Workshop in March 2026 and before the end of the Inception Phase (i.e. 2 April 2026). Achievements from January – March 2026 reported in the Inception Report will be incorporated in the Year 2 Annual Performance Report, together with Year 2 progress against the projects Logical Framework indicators.

Reports to be submitted:

All reports for year 1 (2025) are on track to be submitted by the relevant deadlines in 2026.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The "cumulative value" will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:

- *Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation.*
- *Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or programme implementation.*
- *Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or programme.*

Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.

Should the project be Multicounty, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.

Should any prefilled information/data in the Logical Framework be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

GCF Outcome Level: Standardized Indicators

PMF/IRMF Results Management Framework

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) M1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO₂eq) reduced as a result of GCF-funded projects/programmes

(IRMF) Core 1 Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered

No indicators/questions defined.

Forestry and land use

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) M9.1 Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO2 emission reductions (IRMF) Core 4: Hectares of natural resource areas brought under improved low emission and/or climate resilient management practices					
Provide the total degraded or converted ecosystems under restoration (ha).					
Unit					Hectares
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value <i>(automatically calculated)</i>
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	20,400	68,010	0	0	0

(PMF) M9.1 Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO2 emission reductions (IRMF) Core 4: Hectares of natural resource areas brought under improved low emission and/or climate resilient management practices						
Provide the total degraded or converted ecosystems under restoration (ha), disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
South Africa	0	20,400	68,010	0	0	0

(PMF) M9.1 Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO2 emission reductions (IRMF) Core 4: Hectares of natural resource areas brought under improved low emission and/or climate resilient management practices						
Provide the total degraded or converted ecosystems under restoration (ha), disaggregated by land type.						
Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Land Type	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Other	0	20,400	68,010	0	0	0

(IRMF) Supplementary 4.1 Hectares of terrestrial-forest, terrestrial-non-forest, freshwater and coastal-marine areas brought under restoration and or improved ecosystems					
Provide the total land areas under sustainable management practices (ha).					
Unit					Hectares
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value <i>(automatically calculated)</i>
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	20 400	68 010	0	0	0

(IRMF) Supplementary 4.1 Hectares of terrestrial-forest, terrestrial-non-forest, freshwater and coastal-marine areas brought under restoration and or improved ecosystems Provide the total land areas under sustainable management practices (ha), disaggregated by type.

Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Land Type	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Other	0	20,400	68,010	0	0	0

(IRMF) Supplementary 4.1 Hectares of terrestrial-forest, terrestrial-non-forest, freshwater and coastal-marine areas brought under restoration and or improved ecosystems

Provide the total land areas under sustainable management practices (ha), disaggregated by country.

Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
South Africa	0	20,400	68,010	0	0	0

**(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries
(IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached**

Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.

Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Livelihoods of people and communities	0	109,826	366,088	0	0	0

**(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries
(IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached**

Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.

Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	50,410	168,034	0	0	0
Female	0	59,416	198,054	0	0	0

**(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries
(IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached**

Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.

Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
South Africa	0	109,826	366,088	0	0	0

**(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries
(IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached**

Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.

Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Livelihoods of people and communities	0	1,534,739	5,115,798	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	729,254	2,430,849	0	0	0
Female	0	805,485	2,684,949	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
South Africa	0	1,534,739	5,115,798	0	0	0

Livelihoods of people and communities

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.) (IRMF) Supplementary 2.1 Beneficiaries (female/male) adopting improved and/or new climate-resilient livelihood options						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) adopting diversified or strengthened climate-resilient livelihood strategies (including agriculture, fisheries, natural resource-based, and informal livelihoods), disaggregated by gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Female	0	58,078	193,594	0	0	0
Male	0	51,748	172,494	0	0	0

(PMF) A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.) (IRMF) Supplementary 2.1 Beneficiaries (female/male) adopting improved and/or new climate-resilient livelihood options						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) adopting diversified or strengthened climate-resilient livelihood strategies (including agriculture, fisheries, natural resource-based, and informal livelihoods), disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Enter unit
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
South Africa	0	109,826	366,088	0	0	0

(PMF) A7.2 Number of males and females reached by [or total geographic coverage of] climate related early warning systems and other risk reduction measures established/ strengthened (IRMF) Supplementary 2.4 Beneficiaries (female/male) covered by new or improved early warning systems						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) with increased capacity to anticipate, respond to, and act on climate-related early warnings, disaggregated by gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	50,410	168,034	0	0	0
Female	0	59,416	198,054	0	0	0

(PMF) A7.2 Number of males and females reached by [or total geographic coverage of] climate related early warning systems and other risk reduction measures established/ strengthened (IRMF) Supplementary 2.4 Beneficiaries (female/male) covered by new or improved early warning systems						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries with increased capacity to anticipate, respond to, and act on climate-related early warnings, disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Enter unit
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
South Africa	0	109,826	366,088	0	0	0

Optional Co-Benefit Indicators

No indicators/questions defined.

Total ecosystems placed under conservation activities (ha)						
Provide the total ecosystems placed under conservation activities (ha).						
Unit						Hectares
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value		Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target				
0	20,400	68,010	0	0		0

Total ecosystems placed under conservation activities (ha)						
Provide the total ecosystems placed under conservation activities (ha), disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
South Africa	0	20,400	68,010	0	0	0

Total ecosystems placed under conservation activities (ha)						
Provide the total ecosystems placed under conservation activities (ha), disaggregated by land type.						
Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Land Type	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Other	0	20,400	68,010	0	0	0

Project Specific Indicators							
Indicator	Unit	Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
			Mid-term	Final target			
Number of community and stakeholder engagement	No. of Stakeholders	0	12	12	0	0	0

forums/committees established							
Number of new investment packages developed	No. of Financial Instruments	0	0	4	0	0	0
Ease of accessing information relating to assessments, ecosystem service valuations and knowledge products relating to the economic value of Eco-DRR practices.	Index/Score/Level	0	1	3	0	0	0
Enhanced uptake and usage of knowledge products	Percentage	0	20	30	0	0	0
Number of knowledge products disseminated	No. of Knowledge Products/Resources	0	10	20	0	0	0
Number of project level forums, working groups, think tanks and other engagement platforms to foster partnerships and deepened learning and further knowledge exchange	No. of Coordination Mechanisms	0	6	6	0	0	0
Number of reflective social practice capacity development modules hosted	No. of Meetings/Dialogues	0	10	20	0	0	0
Change in the effectiveness of initiatives in enhancing local capacities for Eco-DRR preparedness and response measures	Percentage	0	35	70	0	0	0
Number of hazard avoidance and disaster response drills undertaken	No. of Items	0	8	32	0	0	0
Number of females supported to develop ecosystem-based livelihoods	No. of Persons	0	50	300	0	0	0
Number of SMME's supported	No. of Entities	0	4	8	0	0	0
Number of youth supported with regards to capacity building, job creation, career development and long-term investment in their future	No. of Persons	0	100	200	0	0	0
Change in national and sub national policies and plans that incorporate Eco-DRR	Plans	0	4	10	0	0	0
Increase in adaptation solutions that respond to	Percentage	0	10	35	0	0	0

females' priorities in local planning tools or through design thinking workshops.							
Number of ha of previously degraded land rehabilitated/restored representing increased suitable habitat for fauna and flora	Hectares	0	20,400	68,010	0	0	0

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
0	0	0	0	0

C.2.1. Subprojects							
Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).							
Title	Currency	GCF Funds	Co-Financing	Country	GHG Emissions Reduced	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C.3. Compliance with FAA covenants				Yes ☒ No ☐			
Yes the relevant legal agreements e.g., FAA, AMA, etc., were complied with during this reporting period.							
C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year				Yes ☐ No ☒			

No changes to the implementation arrangements is envisaged for 2026.

D. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF's policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

Risk 1	Selected Risk Factor 1: Political flux		
Risk Origin	External Factors		
Risk Area	Political		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Both business and project sustainability are highly affected by a country's political environment and government policies, which are in varying levels of flux, as evident over these past decade.		
Consequences	Policy and political shifts could influence the consistency of project implementation over time.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	3 - Medium	6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	The project governance structures that will be put in place for the project will need to keep abreast of national shifts in policy and strategy as well as the governance and financial frameworks to ensure ongoing alignment.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Comments	With governance structures in place to monitor and align with policy and regulatory changes, the impact of political flux is reduced, while the likelihood remains the same post mitigation due to external political factors beyond the project's control.		
Risk 2	Selected Risk Factor 2: Oversight and governance		
Risk Origin	Partner Related		
Risk Area	Partner capacity and suitability		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		

Description	The oversight and management of a project of this scale that will work across national, provincial and municipal spheres of government is complex. Additionally, this large-scale project will involve many different stakeholders entering the project life cycle at different stages with different roles, responsibilities, requirements and risk management capabilities which has the potential to create levels of inconsistency in project management approaches.		
Consequences	The complexity of multi-level governance and stakeholder involvement may lead to some challenges in alignment across project management and coordination.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	4 - High	8 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	SANBI has a long and successful track record for the implementation for projects that required multi-layered project governance arrangements. In addition, DFFE has a strong track record in implementing a large number of GEF funded projects as well as government's Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP), including Working for Water, Working for Ecosystems, Working for Wetlands and Working on Fire. Further to the above, governance arrangements will be put in place to ensure effective oversight, monitoring and reporting of the Eco-DRR project.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Comments	The likelihood will be reduced through the noted mitigation measures, despite the number of partners and stakeholders involved and the potential for differing perspectives in governance and oversight. However, the impact is reduced, as project governance structures provide oversight and monitoring to manage challenges effectively. The established experience of SANBI, SALGA and DFFE in managing complex, multi-stakeholder projects further supports this.		
Risk 3	Selected Risk Factor 3: Environmental and Social Safeguards		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Environmental and Social		
Risk Sub-area	Environmental and Social Safeguards		
Description	The Eco-DRR Project not adequately meeting key environmental and social safeguards indicators is a key project risk.		
Consequences	Failure to meet environmental and social safeguard requirements may affect project compliance and the achievement of intended social and environmental outcomes.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	3 - Medium	6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	There is a clear need to ensure compliance to GCF's environmental and social safeguards and this is supported by the DFFE as the National Designated Authority, and SANBI as the Executing Entity (and Direct Access Entity)– both of which are guided by national legislation and policies that emphasise the importance of adhering to environmental and social safeguards. In terms of these safeguards, the interventions to be undertaken would be categorised as Category B projects. The Eco-DRR project will utilise standardised processes and guidelines that will ensure that every intervention undertaken will adhere to, and report against these safeguards and regulatory standards.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	Alignment with GCF environmental and social safeguards, adherence to national legislation, and the use of defined processes and guidelines is a precautionary measure that will reduce the likelihood and impact of non-compliance with ESS.		
Risk 4	Selected Risk Factor 4: Foreign Exchange		
Risk Origin	External Factors		
Risk Area	Macro-economics		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		

Description	The nature of this application is in the form of a grant, and whilst the grant will be accessed in US Dollars, this will be translated into South African Rands (ZAR), and ZAR will be used for all projects implementation activities. Depending on the tranche arrangements for the grant – this could potentially impact the actual ZAR funds available for project's implementation by SANBI.		
Consequences	Fluctuations in exchange rates may affect the value of funds available in ZAR, potentially influencing project budgeting and implementation.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	The rand has consistently depreciated against the USD for the last 10 years (Barker, 2023), and as such, the use of the current exchange rate in the project's budget is believed to be a conservative approach. Any significant changes that have a material impact on the project will be reflected on together with GCF management and programmed accordingly. The Eco-DRR project, specifically SANBI through the PMU, will track, monitor and report on all finances accessed and utilised under the project, so that there is a record and accountability for every dollar of grant received.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Comments	Exchange rate fluctuations remain inherently uncertain and are unlikely to change in likelihood, but mitigation measures can help manage and reduce their impact on budgeting and on project implementation.		
Risk 5	Selected Risk Factor 5: Compliance (AML/CFT)		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Money Laundering (ML) & Terrorist Financing (TF)		
Description	GCF's Policy on Prohibited Practices strongly highlights the negative impacts of fraud and corruption, not only the project's implementation, but all entities involved. Instances of fraud and other corrupt practices, as outlined in the GCF's Policy on Prohibited Practices, will undermine the project's due diligence efforts as well as negatively impact upon the reputation of the GCF, DFFE as the National Designated Authority and SANBI as the Direct Access Entity and Executing Entity as well as the creditability of the Eco-DRR project.		
Consequences	Instances of non-compliance with AML/CFT laws and prohibited practices may affect project integrity, due diligence processes, and stakeholder confidence.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	DFFE and SANBI will adhere to GCF protocols, national legislation, and established procurement and financial management frameworks, including the Public Finance Management Act, Municipal Finance Management Act, and relevant AML/CFT legislative amendments. Procurement will align with the Public Procurement Act (2024), Preferential Procurement Regulations (2022), and SANBI's Supply Chain Management Policy (2025), with strict oversight applied. SANBI's approved AML/CFT policy, aligned with GCF principles, will guide implementation. Appointments will undergo due diligence and formal contracting, supported by clear policies defining roles and accountabilities to minimise risks of prohibited practices. Internal controls include transaction oversight, regular financial and progress reporting, and governance structures ensuring accountability. Project governance bodies will monitor compliance regularly, enabling adaptive management and alignment with GCF and national policy updates, supported by annual training on SCM, AML/CFT, and prohibited practices.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity

	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	With established policies, due diligence processes, and governance oversight in place, the likelihood of non-compliance remains unlikely, while the impact is low, as controls support early identification and management of potential issues.		
Risk 6	Selected Risk Factor 6: Sufficient co-investment to support GCF investment		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Financial		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	In response to the impacts of climate change on the environment and rural communities, only through the leveraging of GCF funding will the realisation of the Eco-DRR project be achieved. This will build the foundations for Eco-DRR interventions, as well as support demonstration projects where lessons can be learned to either replicate or scale up those interventions. In the development of the full funding proposal, a range of discussions with impacted stakeholders were had to inform the interventions that either build on existing work or learn from the lessons from projects already undertaken. This was done with the view to build implementation sustainability by rooting interventions in other existing projects / programmes.		
Consequences	Insufficient or delayed co-investment may affect the scale or sustainability of planned project interventions.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	The Eco-DRR project, specifically the PMU, will ensure alignment with national, provincial and local plans and objectives thereby promoting co-investment from government and other actors. Engagement with stakeholders across sectors and spheres of government will be ongoing to explore opportunities for synergies in current and planned initiatives related to Eco-DRR. In addition, the involvement of relevant government departments, NGOs and private sector in the governance arrangements will ensure that all stakeholders are kept abreast of the project as well as promoting ownership and buy-in. These governance arrangements will provide the necessary platforms for stakeholders to identify opportunities for co-investment that aligns with strategic priorities and planned programmes of work.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	Potential co-investment is supported by institutional relationships and informed by stakeholder engagement. The impact is reduced to low because there is integration with existing initiatives and priorities which helps ensure sustainability of project interventions.		
Risk 7	Integrity Risk: Security Sanctions		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Sanctions		
Description	No interventions should be undertaken in any jurisdiction which is subject to or affected by United Nations Security Council Resolutions. No individual or entity that is listed on any United Nations Security Council sanctions list, including the United Nations Consolidated Sanctions list should be involved in any manner with the project or its activities, either as a counterparty, implementer, or beneficiary.		
Consequences	Non-compliance with restrictions or sanctions may affect project eligibility and alignment with international requirements.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	No individual or entity that is listed on any United Nations Security Council sanctions list, including the United Nations Consolidated Sanctions list will be involved in any manner with the project or its activities, either as a counterparty, implementer, or beneficiary. Sanction screening will be conducted by the Project Implementation Committee (with support from the PMU) throughout project implementation to ensure that any potential sanctions exposure is identified in a timely manner.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity

	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	No project activities will be implemented in areas or jurisdictions affected by the UNSC sanctions and no individual or entity listed on any UNSC sanctions list will be involved in the project. This screening will be undertaken on a regular basis and will be overseen by the Project Implementation Committee, with reporting to the PSC. The likelihood of non-compliance is low and therefore remains "unlikely". The impact is also reduced to low, as the mitigation measures minimise the risk of violation of sanctions or inadvertent engagement with sanctioned parties.		
Risk 8	Integrity Risk: Fraud and any other Prohibited Practices		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Fraud / Bribery & Corruption / Collusion and any other prohibited practice		
Description	GCF's Policy on Prohibited Practices highlights the risks associated with fraud, corruption, and collusion, which, if not properly managed, may affect the integrity of project implementation processes. Such practices could undermine due diligence efforts and negatively affect the reputation of the DFFE as the National Designated Authority, SANBI as the Direct Access and Executing Entity, as well as the credibility of the Eco-DRR project.		
Consequences	Instances of fraud, corruption, or other prohibited practices may affect project integrity, due diligence processes, and the credibility of the project and implementing entities.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	DFFE, as NDA, together with SANBI as DAE and the Executing Entity, will adhere to GCF protocols and reporting requirements and operate within South Africa's procurement and legislative frameworks. National legislation provides oversight to address prohibited practices, supported by audit processes at national and provincial levels. Procurement processes will align with the GCF's Policy on Prohibited Practices and build on existing checks and balances within DFFE and SANBI. SANBI's approved AML/CFT policy, aligned with GCF principles, will guide implementation. Clear contractual arrangements, defined accountabilities, and governance oversight will help minimise risks. Internal controls, including due diligence, regular reporting, and oversight, will support ongoing risk management. A whistleblowing hotline, administered by Advance Call, is in place to enable anonymous reporting, with whistleblower protection provided under SANBI's Fraud Prevention Policy.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	The likelihood remains unlikely, given the strong existing controls and alignment with GCF protocols and national frameworks. The impact is reduced to low, as the combined use of due diligence, governance oversight, and whistleblowing mechanisms supports the early identification and management of any potential issues.		
Risk 9	Integrity Risk: Conflict of Interest		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Conflict of interest		
Description	The engagement of contractors through procurement processes has the potential give rise to possible conflicts of interest, which could influence the objectivity and fairness of bid evaluation and adjudication if not properly managed.		
Consequences	Conflicts of interest may affect the fairness and transparency of procurement processes, potentially impacting project integrity and stakeholder confidence.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	The due diligence process that will be implemented for contractors will be used to manage conflict of interest. This will be done by using a Declaration of Interest (SBD 4 as per South Africa's procurement) which requires bidders to disclose any relationships or interests that could create a conflict of interest with the evaluation or adjudication of		

	the bid. The purpose of the Declaration of Interest (SBD 4) is the following: • Transparency and Accountability: The declaration aims to ensure transparency and accountability in the procurement process, preventing potential conflicts of interest and fostering ethical conduct. • Combating Corruption: It helps to combat corruption and ensure that bids are awarded fairly and on merit, rather than based on personal connections or relationships. • Ensuring Impartiality: The declaration ensures that the evaluation and adjudication of bids are conducted impartially, without any influence from personal relationships or interests.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	Noting the governance arrangements set out for the project, the monitoring, management and mitigation of project risks will be standard agenda items for the PSC and the Project Implementation Committee, such that these are monitored on a regular basis. These risks will be translated into a project risk management tool that the PSC will be accountable to maintain, supported by the inputs and reporting from the Project Implementation Committee. The likelihood remains unlikely. The impact is reduced to low, as the mitigation measures help ensure that any issues are identified and managed before affecting procurement outcomes. The Declaration of Interest and ongoing governance oversight support transparency and early identification of potential conflicts.		

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

(this section is confidential)

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
South Africa	Grants	USD	40,104,179	6,356,770	24,205	24,205	0%	100%
Total		USD	40,104,179	6,356,770	24,205	24,205	N/A	N/A

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-financier	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
SANBI	In-kind	USD	2,391,188	0	0	0	0%	100%
DFFE	In-kind	USD	5,240,539	0	0	0	0%	100%
SALGA	In-kind	USD	1,771,744	0	0	0	0%	100%
Total		USD	9,403,471	0	0	0	N/A	N/A

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF Policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

No additional finance mobilized information provided.

File Name: ECO-DRR Financial Report EEDR December 2025.pdf

File Name: ECO-DRR Financial Report AEDR December 2025.pdf

File Name: 2026.04.14 GCF Eco DRR Annual Financial Report.xlsx

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

Key Environmental and Social Changes

Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates

Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.

Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Not applicable.

F.3. ESS standards implementation progress

Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If you tick 'Yes', please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring

Outputs during the reporting period	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
Remarks/Notes	
F.4. Sub-projects information	
Have any subprojects been implemented?	Yes ☐ No ☒
N/A	N/A

F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan	
Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?	Yes ☐ No ☒

No stakeholder engagement activities have been undertaken during the reporting period.

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned	
Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?	Yes ☒ No ☐

Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period	
Environmental and Social Safeguards (ESS) Implementation is a focus of the operationalisation phase of the project. In terms of: a) Social and Environmental Safeguards: Monitoring systems will be implemented to ensure compliance with the ESS requirements outlined in the Project Document and relevant GCF policies. Safeguard monitoring will be integrated into project implementation and reporting processes to ensure potential risks are identified and managed in a timely manner. Further operational details will be refined during the Operationalisation Phase. b) Risk Management Strategy: The project will apply the risk management approach outlined in the approved project documentation. Risks will be monitored throughout implementation and reported through regular project reporting processes. Where necessary, mitigation measures will be adapted in consultation with the PMU, implementing partners and project governance structures. c) Gender Assessment and Gender Action Plan: The project will implement the Gender Action Plan approved in the Project Document. Gender considerations will be integrated into project implementation, monitoring and reporting processes to ensure inclusive and gender-responsive outcomes. Specific indicators and monitoring mechanisms will be further operationalised during the Operationalisation Phase.	
Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities	
F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances	
<i>Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:</i> Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project	
☐ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms. ☒ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above	
The accredited entity partially confirms the statement. An institutional-level Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) is in place through SANBI, and stakeholders may also access the GCF Independent Redress Mechanism. A project-level GRM is being established as part of the inception phase. Its development is informed by targeted stakeholder engagement to ensure it is fit-for-purpose and responsive to affected communities, project partners, and beneficiaries' needs. Establishment of the project-level GRM is expected within the inception phase, alongside stakeholder awareness of all available grievance channels.	
Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>Not applicable.</i>	
F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan	
The project was approved on 3 July 2025, with the first project year covering a six-month period ending on 31 December 2025. During this time, the Project Management Unit (PMU) was still being recruited and was not yet fully in place. Since most gender-related activities are led by the PMU, implementation of planned gender actions was limited during this reporting period. Nevertheless, important foundational gender-responsive practices were initiated. With the extension of the Inception Phase to 3 April, some gender activities could only be implemented then. Implementation of the Eco-DRR project is guided by a reflective social practice approach, which promotes relational and facilitative learning. This approach emphasises collective observation of the operating context, self-awareness of	

participants' roles, and deliberate reflection, learning, and adaptation. A key requirement is careful attention to inclusion, ensuring that diverse perspectives are represented and that critical voices are not excluded as the project scales up.

During the **Inception Phase**, SANBI established an internal Think Tank to provide oversight and track delivery of inception-phase outputs. In addition, engagements within SANBI and between SANBI and SALGA supported institutional alignment and lead partner onboarding. These processes were undertaken in a gender-aware and gender-responsive manner.

Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words)

Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.

GAP Activity	
A.1 Embed gender considerations in the establishment and operationalisation of the Project Management Unit (PMU) a) Develop appropriate gender mainstreaming framework for the PMU	
Indicator	
a.1) Gender responsive and inclusive human resources frameworks developed and approved by the Project Management Group (PMG). • 100% of gender issues are considered in the Gender Framework (including procurement policy, sexual exploitation, abuse and harassment (SEAH) and grievance mechanisms).	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
The target is to develop one gender responsive and inclusive human resource framework for the recruitment of PMU members. Gender considerations were embedded into the recruitment of the PMU, aligned with SANBI's Employment Equity policy and statistics which indicates race and gender criteria that the preferred candidate must meet. The gender mainstreaming framework for the PMU is in the process of being finalised, ahead of the final stages of the recruitment processes.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	2,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 July 2026
Responsibility	
Executing Entity	

Remarks/Notes	
The target is to develop a gender responsive and inclusive human resource framework for the recruitment of PMU members. The framework must be inclusive of the procurement policy, sexual exploitation, abuse and harassment (SEAH) and grievance mechanisms). Gender considerations were embedded into the recruitment of the PMU, aligned with SANBI's Employment Equity policy and statistics which indicates race and gender criteria that the preferred candidate must meet. This activity is on track to be delivered.	
GAP Activity	
A.1 Embed gender considerations in the establishment and operationalisation of the Project Management Unit (PMU) a) Develop appropriate gender mainstreaming framework for the PMU	
Indicator	
a.2) Gender responsive and inclusive human resources frameworks developed and approved by the Project Management Group (PMG). Communication to 100% of employees, once approved.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
The target is to communicate the framework with all (100%) the employees, once its been approved. This will activity will be action once the PMU is in place and the required framework is developed.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	2,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 July 2026
Responsibility	
Executing Entity	
Remarks/Notes	
The target is to communicate the gender responsive and inclusive human resources frameworks developed under activity 1 to 100% of employees, once approved. This will activity will be action once the PMU is in place and the required framework is developed. Target is on track.	
GAP Activity	
A.1 Embed gender considerations in the establishment and operationalisation of the Project Management Unit (PMU) b) Apply gender specific quotas to staff complement	

Indicator	
b) % of females employed in the PMU - 40% target (national target is a minimum of 30%).	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	40
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
The target is for 40% of the PMU staff to be women (females). Recruitment process for the PMU members is underway and gender disaggregated report will be done, once the PMU is in place.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	2,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	30 June 2026
Responsibility	
Executing Entity	
Remarks/Notes	
The target is for 40% of the PMU staff to be women (females). This is on track to be met, as the recruitment process is underway. Gender disaggregated report will be done, once the PMU is in place.	
GAP Activity	
A.1 Embed gender considerations in the establishment and operationalisation of the Project Management Unit (PMU) c) Apply gender specific quotas in senior decision-making positions	
Indicator	
c) % of females in senior, decision-making positions - 40% target.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	40
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
The target is to have 40% senior management of the project, should be women (females) in decision making positions. Gender disaggregated report will be done, once the PMU is in place.	

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	2,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	30 June 2026
Responsibility	
Executing Entity	
Remarks/Notes	
The target is to have 40% senior management of the project, should be women (females) in decision making positions. This is on track to be met and will be the focus of the recruitment process. Gender disaggregated report will be done, once the PMU is in place.	

GAP Activity	
A.1 Embed gender considerations in the establishment and operationalisation of the Project Management Unit (PMU) d) Engender participation in project management processes	
Indicator	
d) % of female participation in governance structures for the project - 40% membership of PSC are women (female).	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	40
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
This target is for 40% of the membership of the PSC to be women (female). The Project Steering Committee (PSC) is in the process of being established. Invitation letters to key partners were issued.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	2,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2026
Responsibility	
PMU	
Remarks/Notes	

The Project Steering Committee (PSC) is in the process of being established. Invitation letters to key partners were issued. This is on track to be delivered.

GAP Activity

- A.1 Embed gender considerations in the establishment and operationalisation of the Project Management Unit (PMU)
- e) Create gender-sensitive working conditions included:
- Develop contracts with gender-responsive provisions such as: maternity and paternity leave, childcare and pension provisions, as well as flexible hours and remote working for employees.
 - Create private and safe facilities for males, females, and gender-neutral parties that are relevant and responsive to project interventions.
 - Provide safe spaces for religious and cultural observance, and safe and private spaces for breastfeeding and other parenting needs.

Indicator

c.1) Contracts outlining the gender-sensitive work conditions in place. 100% of appointment contracts are gender sensitive.

Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

The target is for 100% of the appointment contracts to be gender sensitive. As the PMU members are appointed, employment contracts will include gender-sensitive work conditions such as maternity leave, aligned with SANBI's current policy and contracting agreements for its employees.

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

As above.

Budget	1,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 July 2026

Responsibility

Executing Entity

Remarks/Notes

Contracts developed will include gender-sensitive work conditions such as maternity leave, aligned with SANBI's current policy and contracting agreements for its employees. Evidence of contracting will be provided once the PMU is appointed and contracted. Activity on track to be delivered.

GAP Activity	
A.1 Embed gender considerations in the establishment and operationalisation of the Project Management Unit (PMU) e) Create gender-sensitive working conditions included: - Develop contracts with gender-responsive provisions such as: maternity and paternity leave, childcare and pension provisions, as well as flexible hours and remote working for employees. - Create private and safe facilities for males, females, and gender-neutral parties that are relevant and responsive to project interventions. - Provide safe spaces for religious and cultural observance, and safe and private spaces for breastfeeding and other parenting needs.	
Indicator	
c.2) Contracts outlining the gender-sensitive work conditions in place. 100% of operational office modalities consider gender in their protocol.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
The target is for 100% of operational office modalities to consider gender in their protocols. Once appointed, the PMU members will be appointed on contract and have the same benefits as permanent SANBI staff members including, access to maternity and paternity benefits, and flexible work hours aligned with the relevant SANBI policies.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	1,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 July 2026
Responsibility	
Executing Entity	
Remarks/Notes	
Once appointed, the PMU members will be appointed on contract and have the same benefits as permanent SANBI staff members including, access to maternity and paternity benefits, and flexible work hours aligned with the relevant SANBI policies.	
GAP Activity	
B.1 Ensure gender inclusion in Eco-DRR brand development and communications strategies a) Develop a specific strategy for communicating gender activities	
Indicator	

a) Gender communication strategy completed and implemented - approved by PMG.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
The target is to develop and implement one Gender communication strategy. This will be underway once the PMU is in place.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	5,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2026
Responsibility	
PMU	
Remarks/Notes	
Development of 1 Gender communication strategy will be underway once the PMU is in place.	
GAP Activity	
B.1 Ensure gender inclusion in Eco-DRR brand development and communications strategies b) Ensure that communication materials are gender inclusive.	
Indicator	
b) Annual report that reflects gender activities published - at least 2-3 gender-activities are coordinated each year.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	16
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
Target is to report on at least 2 gender-activities annually, over a period of eight years a total of 16 gender activities will be implemented. No gender specific activities were undertaken in this reporting period.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	

Budget	5,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 May 2033
Responsibility	
PMU	
Remarks/Notes	
Target is to report on at least 2-3 gender-activities annually. No gender specific activities were undertaken in this reporting period. This activity will be actioned once the gender communication strategy is developed, approved and ready for implementation. Delays with the recruitment of the PMU due to lengthier than expected recruitment processes, places this activity at "amber" level.	
GAP Activity	
B.1 Ensure gender inclusion in Eco-DRR brand development and communications strategies b) Ensure that communication materials are gender inclusive.	
Indicator	
c) Share gender lessons on various communities of practice locally, nationally and internationally.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
No project specific materials were developed during this reporting period.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	5,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 May 2033
Responsibility	
PMU	
Remarks/Notes	
No project specific materials were developed during this reporting period. This activity will be actioned once the strategy is developed, approved and ready for implementation. This will be reported on throughout the project. Delays	

with the recruitment of the PMU due to lengthier than expected recruitment processes, places this activity at "amber" level.

GAP Activity

B.1 Ensure gender inclusion in Eco-DRR brand development and communications strategies
b) Ensure that communication materials are gender inclusive.

Indicator

d) Create opportunities to share lessons learned across the project, with different intervention sites/areas.

Rating of Indicator

AMBER (needs attention to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable

1

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

During our internal engagements, several lessons learned on gender mainstreaming from other donor funded projects were shared and ways to incorporate it into the Eco-DRR project were discussed. Gender representation was considered in the composition of participants in these engagements. The Gender Communication Strategy will define the targets and progress achieved to date will be reported accordingly. This will be an ongoing focus throughout the project.

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

As above.

Budget

5,000

Currency

USD

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)

31 December 2032

Responsibility

Executing Entity and PMU

Remarks/Notes

delays in PMU recruitment, due to longer-than-anticipated recruitment processes, place this activity at an amber status. During Think Tank and Content Immersion sessions, lessons on gender mainstreaming from other donor-funded projects were shared and discussed for application within the Eco-DRR project. The Think Tanks demonstrated strong gender inclusivity, with women representing 61% of participants and 67% of senior leadership roles. Men also played key roles, including facilitation and chairing of meetings, contributing to balanced and inclusive decision-making. Maintaining meaningful participation of both women and men will remain an ongoing focus throughout the project.

GAP Activity

A.2 Integrate gender considerations into procurement policy.
a) Ensure procurement processes (women in the value chain) target historically disadvantaged run companies and/or female-led companies .

Indicator	
a)	Contracts to reflect quota for historically disadvantaged companies or female-led companies developed. • 40% of contracts to be awarded to historically disadvantaged companies or female-led companies.
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	40
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
The target is for 40% of contracts to be awarded to historically disadvantaged companies or female-led companies. No contracts entered into during this reporting period.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	5,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2032
Responsibility	
PMU	
Remarks/Notes	
This is on track to be delivered. SANBI reports to DFFE Environment Sector Reporting on the percentage of procurement awarded to female businesses. The Eco-DRR specific contracts will be extracted and reported here. This will be tracked and reported on throughout the project.	
GAP Activity	
b)	A.2 Integrate gender considerations into procurement policy. Ensure all interventions have Terms of Reference and Requests for Proposals that are gender responsive.
Indicator	
b)	Gender mainstreaming integrated into Terms of Reference and Requests for Proposals. • 100% of Terms of Reference and Requests for Proposals incorporate gender mainstreaming.
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	

This activity target is to ensure that 100% of Terms of Reference and Requests for Proposals incorporate gender mainstreaming. No terms of references (ToRs) developed for this reporting period.

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

As above.

Budget

5,000

Currency

USD

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)

31 December 2032

Responsibility

PMU

Remarks/Notes

No terms of references developed for this reporting period. However, gender considerations will be included in ToRs developed. Target on track and will be implemented throughout the project.

GAP Activity

B.3 Strengthen institutional capacity and awareness

- a) Conduct needs assessment to identify gender-specific training interventions and at which level these interventions must be targeted towards.
- b) Develop a gender training plan for the project based on outcomes from needs assessments.
- c) Implement specific training interventions for relevant project staff (including diversity and inclusion workshops, and training on specific gender policy requirements, such as SEAH, and monitoring of gender activities, procurement). See training needs outlined in Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF).
- d) Develop capacity of relevant senior project management regarding gender mainstreaming and its processes (oversight and grievance mechanisms).

Indicator

a.1) Capacity amongst relevant staff developed. • 2 training sessions held annually.

Rating of Indicator

GREEN (on track to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable

16

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

This target is to have 2 training sessions every year. No training sessions took place during this reporting period. Training will be undertaken once the needs assess to identify gender specific training interventions are required and at which level has been identified. This activity will be undertaken at different times throughout the project.

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

As above.

Budget

10,000

Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	30 April 2032
Responsibility	
PMU, SALGA, DFFE	
Remarks/Notes	
Training will be undertaken once the needs assess to identify gender specific training interventions are required and at which level has been identified and a training plan developed. This is a focused activity for the project's Operationalisation Phase which starts in April 2026.	
GAP Activity	
B.3 Strengthen institutional capacity and awareness a) Conduct needs assessment to identify gender-specific training interventions and at which level these interventions must be targeted towards. b) Develop a gender training plan for the project based on outcomes from needs assessments. c) Implement specific training interventions for relevant project staff (including diversity and inclusion workshops, and training on specific gender policy requirements, such as SEAH, and monitoring of gender activities, procurement). See training needs outlined in Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF). d) Develop capacity of relevant senior project management regarding gender mainstreaming and its processes (oversight and grievance mechanisms).	
Indicator	
a.2) Capacity amongst relevant staff developed. • 100% orientation and training on diversity and inclusion, gender policy requirements, monitoring of gender activities, procurement.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
This activity target is to ensure that 100% orientation and training on diversity and inclusion, gender policy requirements, monitoring of gender activities, procurement is undertaken with project staff. This activity will be implemented throughout the project.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	5,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2032
Responsibility	

PMU	
Remarks/Notes	
This activity will be undertaken once the needs assess to identify gender specific training interventions are required and at which level has been identified and a training plan developed. This is a focused activity for the project's Operationalisation Phase which starts in April 2026. This activity will be implemented throughout the project as new staff are appointed.	
GAP Activity	
B.3 Strengthen institutional capacity and awareness	
d) Develop capacity of relevant senior project management regarding gender mainstreaming and its processes (oversight and grievance mechanisms).	
Indicator	
b.1) Senior management capacity built. •2 training sessions held annually.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	16
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
This target is to have 2 training sessions every year. No training sessions took place during this reporting period. Training will be undertaken once the needs assess to identify gender specific training interventions are required and at which level has been identified. This activity will be undertaken at different times throughout the project.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	10,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 May 2033
Responsibility	
PMU, DFFE, SALGA	
Remarks/Notes	
Training will be undertaken once the needs assess to identify gender specific training interventions are required and at which level has been identified and a training plan developed. This is a focused activity for the project's Operationalisation Phase which starts in April 2026.	

GAP Activity	
B.3 Strengthen institutional capacity and awareness d) Develop capacity of relevant senior project management regarding gender mainstreaming and its processes (oversight and grievance mechanisms).	
Indicator	
b.2) Senior management capacity built. • 100% orientation and training on guidance on labour standards and gender terminology.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
This target is to 100% of the project staff received orientation and training and guidance on labour standards and gender terminology. No activity to report in this reporting period. This activity will be undertaken once the needs assess to identify gender specific training interventions are required and at which level has been identified. This activity will be undertaken at different times throughout the project. This activity will be undertaken at different times throughout the project.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
As above.	
Budget	5,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 May 2033
Responsibility	
PMU, DFFE, SALGA	
Remarks/Notes	
This activity will be undertaken once the needs assess to identify gender specific training interventions are required and at which level has been identified and a training plan developed. This is a focused activity for the project's Operationalisation Phase which starts in April 2026.	
F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review	
Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>A gender expert to lead the oversight of the implementation of the GAP will be contacted in year 2.</i>	

Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>For this reporting period, no funded gender activities were undertaken. The budget for the gender activities indicated above, are aligned with the allocated budget for the implementation of gender action plan aligned to the FAA and GCF's Updated Gender Policy.</i>	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Yes, planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines are on track.</i>	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>No revisions of the approved GAP was undertaken in this reporting period, which covers two-thirds of the Inception Phase. This will be an action for the Operationalisation Phase starting on 3 April 2026.</i>	
Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>Not yet, the sex-disaggregated targets under gender will be aligned to the targets for direct beneficiaries during the implementation phase of the project.</i>	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>None to report.</i>	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	Yes ☐ No ☒

Not applicable.

Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period

The project will finalise and operationalise a gender-responsive PMU framework, ensuring full consideration of gender across recruitment, procurement, SEAH prevention, and grievance mechanisms, in line with SANBI policies. Recruitment of the Project Management Unit (PMU) will be completed, with all appointments governed by gender-sensitive contracts. The Project Steering Committee (PSC) will be established, and women's representation will be tracked as a key indicator. All Terms of Reference (ToRs) will explicitly integrate gender considerations, and procurement processes will prioritise women-led companies that meet technical and governance requirements. Capacity-building initiatives will strengthen PMU and staff understanding of gender mainstreaming. A Gender Communication Strategy will be co-developed, approved through project governance structures, and implemented, ensuring gender inclusion in Eco-DRR branding and communications. Opportunities will be created to generate and share gender-related lessons and knowledge products locally, nationally, and internationally. Institutional capacity will be strengthened through a gender training needs assessment and training plan. A gender-responsive monitoring and evaluation framework and tracking tool will be developed and implemented to collect sex-disaggregated data, monitor compliance, SEAH, employment profiles, capacity building, beneficiary impact, and gender resource utilisation. A dedicated process manager/social and gender oversight officer will be recruited to support implementation and oversight.

G. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
No annexes provided.
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
File Name: Eco-DRR Project Communication Annexure for APR updated 2026.04.01.pdf
Annex 3: List of grievances received
No annexes provided.
Annex 4: Procurement information
File Name: 206.04.15 GCF Eco-DRR Procurement Plan.xlsx
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year
File Name: 2026.04.15 EcoDRR ImplementationTimetable.xlsx
Annex 6: Updated Gender Assessment and/or Action Plan
No annexes provided.
Additional Annexes (if applicable)
File Name: Eco-DRR Project Inception Phase Workplan.pdf File Name: Project Inception Phase Stakeholder Engagement & Communications Plan.pdf

