
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title:	Land-based Mitigation and Adaptation through a Jurisdictional Approach in West Kalimantan (FP248)
Accredited Entity:	Deutsche Gesellschaft fuer Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH (GIZ)
Date of Report submission:	10 July 2026
Version number	V3.0



Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs). Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)

Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.

A.1. Date of report submission		10 July 2026														
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Public													
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>GCF Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 68.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. EUR 59.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Co-Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 47.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. EUR 13.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>InKind</td> <td>Mill. EUR 26.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 115.7</td> </tr> </table>				GCF Financing	Mill. USD 68.7	Grants	Mill. EUR 59.5	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 47.0	Grants	Mill. EUR 13.9	InKind	Mill. EUR 26.8	Total Financing	Mill. USD 115.7
	GCF Financing	Mill. USD 68.7														
	Grants	Mill. EUR 59.5														
	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 47.0														
	Grants	Mill. EUR 13.9														
	InKind	Mill. EUR 26.8														
	Total Financing	Mill. USD 115.7														
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	NA (draft)															
A.6. Implementation period	From NA to NA															
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to GCF revised <i>Environmental and Social Policy</i> and GCF ESS Standards to assess your FP category.)			Category B													
A.8. Executing Entity Information																
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)												
Badan Pengelola Dana Lingkungan Hidup (BPD LH)	Indonesia	Public sector – Government-controlled subnational, national or regional entity	Executing Entity	.												
Solidaridad Network Asia Limited (SNAL)	Hong Kong	Civil society organization / Non-governmental organization	Executing Entity	.												
Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH	Germany	Public sector – Government-controlled subnational, national or regional entity	Executing Entity	Lisa Steurer												
Ministry of Forestry (MoFor)	Indonesia	Public sector – Government (which may be acting through a ministry or department)	Executing Entity	.												

A.9. Co-Financier information				
Full legal name	Country of registration <i>(or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)</i>	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)
Ministry of Forestry (MoFor)	Indonesia	.	.	.
Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau (KfW)	Germany	.	.	.
Solidaridad Network Asia Limited (SNAL)	Hong Kong	.	.	.
Germany's Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ)	Germany	.	.	.

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP <i>(NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)</i>				
Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project.Beneficial owner(s)
Indonesia	27 October 2025	aligned (e.g., sub-activity 1.1.2.1)	The contribution to the NDC is not 0 but it has not been quantified.	0%

A.11. Estimated duration of impact	
A.12 Report to be shared with NDA	Yes ☐ No ☒
From the date of submission of the initial APR CY2025, the format provided by GCF has changed to date (June 15, 2026). Originally, this box asked whether the report was to be considered as confidential by the GCF where we had stated: "Some information in the attachments/ annexes is confidential (e.g., financial statement). The above agreement thus explicitly excludes attachments/ annexes." The GCF had announced in its webinars concerning the new GPP ARP-submission format that it will share APRs itself with NDAs.	

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

The project became effective on 13 March 2025. Finalizing the formally required Implementation Agreement (IA) between GIZ and the Indonesian political partner required specific attention and clarification. As the IA was a pre-condition for implementation, project activities were on hold until IA-signature on 30 December 2025. Meanwhile, the project prioritized meeting GCF’s preconditions for first disbursement (e.g., additional consultations with Indigenous Peoples), setting up the project steering structures and implementation environment (including recruiting the initial GIZ-team and office arrangements), transferring relevant knowledge products from the GRASS-project, and pre-clarifying activity implementation with the project’s executing entities.

Quantified results towards the project indicators were achieved including training of 2,131 farmers (1,154 under GRASS, BMZ; 977 under NISCOPS-II, Solidaridad) on climate-resilient farming and good agricultural practices, and the sustainability-certification (RSPO) of 109 palm oil farmers. To ensure meaningful involvement of Indigenous Peoples, referred to as customary law communities in Indonesia, and the alignment with their rights and interests as project beneficiaries, additional on-site consultations were conducted in the project’s five target districts, and on provincial and national level. Based on these consultations, the project’s Indigenous Peoples Plan has been revised.

Key preparatory measures include the drafting of a project-specific Grievance Redress Mechanism, preparing Project Steering Committee- and Project Technical Committee meetings including the foreseen formal establishment of both bodies at their inaugural sessions, and initiating the GIZ-internal advisory process on the project’s Monitoring & Evaluation-design to be developed during the inception phase.

Lessons learnt from the first months of implementation include the clarification of the project implementation parties’ expectations and roles in the design phase of the project and regularly thereafter as well as the consistent involvement of the primary target group and relevant CSOs in co-creation and documentation of results.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. 1,500 words)

For budget figures on activity level, the figures in the financial statement prevail in case of incoherencies.

The project reached effectiveness on 13 March 2025. Concluding the Implementation Agreement (IA) required specific attention and multiple rounds of clarification. Being a pre-condition for implementation, activity implementation was on hold until IA signing (30 December 2025). The project focused on fulfilling GCF's preconditions for first disbursement (e.g., additional meaningful consultations of IP), establishing the project steering structures and implementation environment through recruitment of the initial GIZ-team (11 staff members as of 31 December) and clarification and initiation of office establishment, handing-over of relevant knowledge products from GIZ-GRASS, and pre-clarification of activity implementation with BPD LH and Solidaridad in their roles as EEs. Individual preparatory measures under the LogFrame-structure have commenced (see below).

Outcome 1

Preparatory processes have been initiated to ensure a shared understanding and agreed way forward jointly upon IA signature. These focused on a revised constellation of key partners and stakeholders (*development planning agency BAPPEDA, the environment and forestry service DLHK, the public works and spatial planning service DPUPR along with CSOs and MSFs and NGOs*) and specifically referred to the procedure for screening villages to be considered for project implementation, reviewing and discussing relevant regulations (e.g., spatial planning policies; provincial targets and strategies for FOLU NET SINK 2030), and the on-granting mechanism closely related to BPD LH's grant scheme.

The project contributed to the development of one governor's decree and 1 Bupati regulation in Sanggau Regency for strengthening the regulatory framework for forests with HCV/HCS in forest areas of other use areas (APL).

Output 1.1

The project supported partner processes and multi-stakeholder-forums in the collaborative preparation of an integrated regulatory framework in the regional mid-term plans (RPJMD), spatial planning policies, targets and strategies for FOLU NET SINK 2030, and the provincial sustainable palm oil action plan. Desk studies on relevant legislation and current legislative processes were conducted and the village screening process initiated with partners.

Activity 1.1.1 (activity delayed, 0% implementation progress)

The sub-activity (data collection of climate vulnerability and risks in 5 districts based on province data of climate vulnerability baseline) had to be postponed to May-October 2026 (*instead of Q4/2025*). As a prerequisite for later engagement, the project compiled information on the villages eligible to receive PROKLIM-status. The data was consulted with partner agencies and forms the basis for the final selection of villages (*originally foreseen for Q4/2025*).

Activity 1.1.2 (activity delayed, 0% implementation progress)

Meetings with responsible partner organizations were held to address the context of aligning provincial REDD+ policies with national mitigation policies and regulations.

As a result, it was verified that the REDD+ and FOLU NET SINK targets are set by the provincial government in the DLHK office's work plan. This workplan will contribute to the provincial development plan.

Activity 1.1.3 (activity delayed, 0% implementation progress)

Throughout 2025, the project has initiated the desk review of national and provincial policies, the existing REDD+ regional action plan (PERGUB 125/2020), Subnational FREL, and related provincial regulations. The amended regulation of REDD+ Task Force to be endorsed by the Governor (*originally foreseen for Q4/2025*) remains under development by the partner authorities with strong involvement of the project.

Output 1.2

In August 2025 and with significant contributions from the project, regulation No. 1014/LHK/2025 regarding the Indicative Map of Areas with High Conservation Value of West Kalimantan Province was issued. In Sanggau Regency the project has contributed to the Sanggau Regent Regulation number 8 of 2025 concerning the oil palm action plan. Project-internally, data gathering and knowledge management focusing on HCV/HCS data, status of land use plans, and HCV-assessment processes took place.

Activity 1.2.1 (activity on track, 0% implementation progress)

Project staff contributed to institutional processes, namely with the provincial BAPPEDA, to provide input and harmonize strategies in developing provincial strategic area management plan documents (KSP) in 3 districts (Kapuas Hulu, Ketapang and Kuburaya). The development of a roadmap for data sharing and biodiversity monitoring among agencies and research institutions, and the establishment of a biodiversity data centre and its institutions was supported through active participation of the project.

Output 1.3

A series of meetings took place with DLHK and FMUs on the management of village forests and customary forests. Alternative grant mechanisms were reflected upon, specifically to ensure that local stakeholders are ready to access investments. Further coordination took place related to the grant mechanism of BPD LH. Additional clarification is needed in relation to the selection of intermediary institutions (LEMTARA).

Progress was made by designing the project's Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) and the initiation of the M&E-design including the monitoring of implementation of the ESMP, IPP, and GAP.

Activity 1.3.1 (activity delayed, 0% implementation progress)

Meaningful consultations were conducted in the 5 target districts of the project, and on province and national levels. Based on these consultations, the project's IPP has been revised. Representation of IP in the project governance was communicated and outlined in the provincial coordination team (formerly PMU).

As clarifications on the on-granting program are ongoing, the first call for proposals will be published and implemented later in 2026 (*instead of Q1/2026*).

Outcome 2

Outcome 2 is almost exclusively under the implementation of Solidaridad as the EE. Output-specific progress during the reporting period refers to the fully co-financed activities 2.1.4 and 2.1.5. Two technical staff members have been recruited by GIZ with the position for the component lead being part of the ongoing team recruitment process.

Output 2.1

As the only output under Outcome 2, the progress update is fully covered in the description on outcome- and activity-level.

Activity 2.1.1 (activity on track, 0% implementation progress)

Exploring entry points to mobilize sustainable investment in West Kalimantan's forest and land use sectors, with a view to connecting local communities with responsible business partners. No significant progress due to IA-delay.

Activity 2.1.2 (activity on track, 0% implementation progress)

Preparatory steps initiated for implementing and upscaling proven approaches to reduce emissions and enhance climate resilience of smallholders in key commodity supply chains.

No significant progress due to IA-delay.

Activity 2.1.3 (activity on track, 0% implementation progress)

Identifying key actors and mechanism to foster collaboration between agricultural producers, policymakers, and private sectors toward climate-smart commodity production. No significant progress due to IA-delay.

Activity 2.1.4 (activity completed, 76% implementation progress)

The BMZ-GRASS project was finalised by the end of 2025 as scheduled: 1154 farmers (incl. 739 women) and 124 extensionists were trained in different aspects of climate-resilient farming. 109 independent smallholder palm oil farmers were RSPO-certified (target: 250) with ca. 150 additional farmers having completed the internal audit being on track for external auditing as the requirement for certification.

Activity 2.1.5 (activity on track, 11.5% implementation progress)

NISCOPS-II as an activity fully co-financed by Solidaridad is under implementation since 2024. As of the current reporting period, baseline information on farmers, social forestry groups and registered farmland in the intervention areas has been collected. Partnership plans with supply chain actors are under development, one partnership with a mill (PT Bintang Harapan Desa) has been established. 977 farmers (incl. 233 women) have been trained on Good Agriculture Practices, Climate Smart Agriculture, Regenerative Agriculture and Financial Literacy.

Outcome 3

The component has advanced through initial consultations with provincial forest authorities. Two technical staff members have been hired. The Component Manager will be the same individual overseeing Outcome 2.

Output 3.1

With the new administration of the Ministry of Forestry of Indonesia, discussions at national level emerged on re-defining the role of Forest Management Units (FMUs). Therefore, the Division of Forest Utilization and Management of DLHK Kalbar requested the project to pause related engagement until national policy guides the revised roles and responsibilities of the FMUs.

Activity 3.1.1 (activity on track, 0% implementation progress)

Prior to the pause, the project initiated preliminary discussions to design an assessment of FMU effectiveness categories, capacity development needs, and a baseline review of existing long-term forest management plans (RPHJP). Now target FMU-effective criteria, capacity development priorities, and RPHJP requirements might be adjusted.

Output 3.2

As Output 3.2 focuses on empowering local communities through social forestry and climate mitigation and adaptation practices, identifying intervention areas is a critical step. The project initiated the definition of target locations; however, in the absence of the IA, this could not be finalized while ensuring administrative compliance. In parallel, clarification regarding and formulation of the GIZ-BPDLH Grant Agreement was and is addressed as a priority as it remains a prerequisite for operationalizing on-granting mechanism to Social Forestry Business Units and PROKLIM certificate holders.

Activity 3.2.1 (activity on track, 0% implementation progress)

1 workshop was organized (see Output 1.1.2), including a review of the initial 200 target villages identified to estimate mitigation potential. However, finalization of the target villages remains pending. Extensive consultations with BPDLH, national and provincial government counterparts, and local NGOs are ongoing. The on-granting mechanism for Social Forestry and PROKLIM (including IPLCs; see Activity 1.1.3) is still under development.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The "cumulative value" will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:

- *Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation.*
- *Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or programme implementation.*
- *Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or programme.*

Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.

Should the project be Multicounty, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.

Should any prefilled information/data in the Logical Framework be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

GCF Outcome Level: Standardized Indicators

No APR logframe data available

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
1.2.1	0	7,518.63	2,661,212.81	0
1.3.1	0	32,053.27	10,433,689.37	0
2.1.1	0	92,436.65	3,925,652.56	2
2.1.2	0	8,776.43	3,748,390.04	0
2.1.3	0	8,776.43	3,736,928.22	0
2.1.4	76	0	673,422.73	0
3.1.1	0	58,473.62	9,571,893.33	0
3.2.1	0	56,443.62	18,268,877.2	0

C.2.1. Subprojects							
Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).							
Title	Currency	GCF Funds	Co-Financing	Country	GHG Emissions Reduced	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C.3. Compliance with FAA covenants				Yes ☒ No ☐			
Not applicable							
C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year				Yes ☐ No ☒			

No changes envisaged.

D. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF's policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

Risk 1	Changes in political circumstances due to regime and bureaucracy changes at the national and provincial levels		
Risk Origin	External Factors		
Risk Area	Political		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Political changes negatively affect government's commitment to the project and beyond.		
Consequences	Low achievement on core objectives and sustainability.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	3 - Medium	6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	1. The project was designed in close coordination with the government of Indonesia and the provincial government of WK. It is aligned with key national development strategies and climate change policies. 2. Activities in Component 1 will support the strengthening of the institutional framework, and support mainstreaming of climate risk and vulnerability and climate-resilient and low-emission AFOLU throughout policies.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Comments	Risk as per FP		
Risk 2	Gaps in coordination between key ministries and agencies		
Risk Origin	Partner Related		
Risk Area	Partner capacity and suitability		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		

Description	Misaligned coordination or different agendas of ministries and agencies could limit the effectiveness of the project to meet its goals, as the project's landscape level approach requires cross-sectoral coordination.		
Consequences	Low achievement on core objectives and sustainability.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 3 - Likely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 9 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	1. The project supports inter-sectoral coordination through its cooperation with the provincial government, including the strengthening of specific task forces/committees in Component 1 (e.g. REDD+ Task Force to become the provincial body on climate change) to promote coordinated and holistic planning at the landscape level. 2. Such support will be further institutionalized, and policies revised to enable more coordinated planning for climate-resilient and low-emission AFOLU at the landscape level. 3. The project's Planning of Activities (PoA) will be coordinated with the line ministry and the district level in WK. 4. The NDA is being updated and involved.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Comments	Risk from FP		
Risk 3	Unclear or complex land tenure and/ or land use rights could lead to conflicts		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Environmental and Social		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Land tenure and land use rights are often complex and unclear (e.g. overlapping concessions with protected areas or customary land).		
Consequences	Land grabbing, land use conflicts or expansion of agri-business or forest plantations into protected areas or customary areas.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 4 - Almost certain	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 12 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	1. Participatory land use planning (sub-activity 1.1.1.2). 2. Support regulatory framework and institutional capacities (Component 1). 3. The project will establish conflict resolution desks in each of the regencies of the intervention area, based on the successful example in Kapuas Hulu. These desks support local communities in solving land conflicts in collaboration with government entities and other stakeholders. 4. Components 2 and 3 will develop approaches that provide opportunities for businesses and local communities to benefit from sustainable land- and forest-based investments. 5. FPIC procedures are closely followed during project planning and implementation. 6. Culturally appropriate GRM. 7. The dedicated small grants mechanism for Indigenous Peoples is supporting clarifying land tenure, addressing Gender and Adat rights and conflicts.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 4 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 4 - Medium
Comments	Risk from FP		
Risk 4	Human resources and capacity to support project implementation are insufficient		
Risk Origin	Partner Related		
Risk Area	Partner capacity and suitability		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	High turnover, and insufficient capacities and human resources in partner institutions.		
Consequences	Negative impact on efficiency and effectiveness of project implementation and monitoring.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 4 - Almost certain	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 8 - Medium High

Mitigation Measure(s)	1. A capacity needs assessment was conducted in the project development process and informed the design of project activities. 2. Where possible, the project will promote the institutionalization of trainings, building on detailed documents, manuals and curricula, including training of trainers, to enable this knowledge to be effectively passed on and stored by various institutions. 3. A clear and robust human capacity development strategy has been established to enable efficient and effective project management. 4. Involvement of regional and national training centers to build capacities of partner staff including e-learning components to ensure long term accessibility based on the capacity development strategy. 5. The local university UNTAN as consistent knowledge hub in the province has been included in the development and is a key partner in implementation.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 1 - None	Severity 2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 1 - None	Severity 2 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 5	Misuse of Funds		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Corruption or the misuse of funds		
Consequences	Reducing resources available under this project; reputational and legal risks		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	A new financial mechanism will be developed to directly channel funds to the local level in a transparent manner. This will decentralize decision making to the local level, preventing corruption on higher levels, and making the distribution of financial benefits more inclusive. Recipients of funds need to regularly report on financial disbursements and progress of implemented activities, proving transparency and accountability. Rigorous GIZ international financial management rules and regulations and procedures will be used for the project. Capacity development for civil servants in transparency and accountability Synergies will be sought with the ongoing GIZ project "Corruption Prevention in the Forestry Sector", which is closely working with the national corruption prevention agency (KPK).		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Comments	Risk from FP		
Risk 6	Low demand for sustainably produced commodities on (global) markets or market fluctuations affect the financial viability of investments and sustainable practices		
Risk Origin	External Factors		
Risk Area	Macro-economics		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Investments in sustainably produced commodities might not occur due to a Lack of demand on global markets. At local level, the lack of (global) demand for sustainable agricultural commodities, and resulting low prices, reduces the financial viability of sustainable practices.		
Consequences	Reduced financial viability of sustainable practices; decreasing attractiveness for stakeholders to continue/replicate project activities		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 3 - Likely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 9 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	1. Under Component 2 a robust strategy has been developed to engage the private sector (financiers, mills, traders, growers, concessions, smallholders, etc). The project		

	will benefit from the knowledge and the capacity from previous GIZ projects and their experiences with private sector cooperations (e.g. GIZ FORCLIME that is in active cooperation with the company 'continental' for rubber production). 2. Prepare investment pipelines development toolkit as part of Activity 1.3.1. 3. Traceability of sustainably produced commodities will improve access to premium markets for local communities. 4. Match making between producers and sellers through dialogue platforms (activity 2.1.3) will ensure price stability and market access for sustainably produced agricultural commodities. 5. Product diversification through agroforestry will reduce the exposure of farmers to price volatility and market fluctuations (activities 2.1.3 and 3.2.1).		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	Risk from FP		
Risk 7	Natural hazards slow-down or halt project implementation		
Risk Origin	External Factors		
Risk Area	Natural hazard		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Floods, storms, landslides or forest and peat fires have been significant natural hazards in the past and can even be aggravated by climate change.		
Consequences	Structural damages, loss of ecosystems, negative effects on stakeholders, decreasing capacities and attention of partners to project implementation		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	3 - Likely	3 - Medium	9 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	1. Climate-risk and vulnerability assessments, including the risk of climate-related natural hazards (e.g. floods), have been conducted at the landscape level. 2. Forest fire prevention and rewetting of peat areas will increase climate resilience and is part of the overall design of the project. 3. ESMP actions.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	3 - Likely	2 - Low	6 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	3 - Likely	2 - Low	6 - Medium
Comments	Risk from FP		
Risk 8	Profitable investments may create adverse impacts and incentivize further expansion and clearing of forest		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Best business practices identified by the project may unwantedly incentivise land conversion.		
Consequences	Loss of ecosystems through clearing of forest (or mangroves for aquaculture).		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	3 - Medium	6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	1. Climate-informed land use planning will help clarify implementation boundaries, as well as monitoring and enforcement of land use plans. 2. Capacity building and institutional strengthening. 3. Support to the regulatory framework and institutional strengthening under Component 1 (for planning, implementation and monitoring). 4. ESMP actions. 5. Support of forest tenure clarity for local communities including IPs. 6. Traceability and monitoring mechanisms supported by the project will help increase transparency and accountability.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity

	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	Risk from FP		
Risk 9	Risk of money laundering, terrorist financing, prohibited practices and sanctions		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Money Laundering (ML) & Terrorist Financing (TF)		
Description	Project funds could be used for money laundering, terrorist financing, or other prohibited practices.		
Consequences	Legal relevance; reputational risks		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 4 - High	Severity 4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	The UN sanction list will be screened to ensure that no EE, implementation partner or other beneficiary is listed. GIZ as AE will determine the Procurement and Consultant Guidelines, which have been reviewed and accepted by GCF during the accreditation process. GIZ's guidelines will ensure high standards to mitigate potential risks. Project procurement will be transparently documented, as per the Procurement Plan in Annex 10a to the FP. A Procurement Operational Manual, with guidelines and templates to provide guidance for the implementation of the procurement plan, will be developed.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Comments	Risk from FP		
Risk 10	Risk of social conflicts due to discrimination of certain groups (e.g. gender inequality/exclusion of indigenous peoples)		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Environmental and Social		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Social conflicts if certain groups of society do not have equal opportunities to benefit from project activities, for example due to gender inequality the access of women could be limited or indigenous peoples not adequately integrated.		
Consequences	Unintended negative effects contrary to project objectives; marginalisation of target groups		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	1. The project has been designed through participatory practices, including with feedback from women and IPs, including indigenous women. 2. Participatory processes will continue to be implemented (e.g. participatory development of management plans), and spaces for providing feedback will be provided to reflect on project implementation. 3. Activities to strengthen gender equality and social inclusion, while safeguarding the rights of women and IPs, are included within the ESMP, GAP and the IPP. 4. To support IPs, the project will set up and implement an on-granting mechanism specifically for IPs, which will support targeted measures for these groups. All project activities will proactively involve empowerment elements for village women, including promoting their leadership roles in local structures. 5. The project's GRM will be communicated to all beneficiaries in culturally appropriate ways, and in local languages.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Comments	Risk from FP		
Risk 11	Corruption and collusion between private sector (e.g. plantations) and public authorities		
Risk Origin	Project Related		

Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Corruption and collusion between private sector companies like oil palm plantations and public authorities at various levels have been an underlying driver of deforestation in the past. Private actors have been successful in bending legislation with illegal financial contributions (e.g. to receive licenses or to avoid legal consequences due to violations of the law).		
Consequences	legal relevance; reputational risks; unintended negative project impact		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	1. Conduct capacity development with public servants and local communities in dedicated corruption prevention trainings. This aims to raise awareness, educate stakeholders about their rights and responsibilities, and showcase effective anti-corruption measures and how safe communication channels can be accessed to report illegal activities on the ground. 2. Support supply chain transparency to increase the corporate social responsibility profile of commodity producers and hold them accountable for their environmental impact on ecosystems. At the same time this will provide an opportunity to access premium markets for sustainable products (i.e. the EU). 3. Synergies will be sought with the ongoing GIZ FORCLIME component "Corruption Prevention in the Forestry Sector", which is closely working with the national corruption prevention agency (KPK). Training modules for corruption prevention trainings with FMUs, private sector, and local communities will be shared.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Comments	Risk from FP		
Risk 12	Community resistance to new practices		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Different circumstances lead to a low adoption of new sustainable practices. The traditional practices may be preferred due to various reasons, for example they are accustomed to BAU practices, lower workload or lower costs (especially up-front or in the short term). Also, the price premiums for sustainably produced commodities might be low, and enforcement of sustainable practices may be weak.		
Consequences	low rate of uptake/replication of project activities; return to BAU after project support ends		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	Participatory approaches, including ensuring space for feedback, will be maintained throughout project implementation. Support will be made to strengthen regulatory and policy frameworks and build institutional capacities. This will be aligned with national policy directions, but will also help create an enabling environment to create a more permanent shift. Model farms will be used to encourage reluctant farmers to change towards sustainable practices and certification. In the past, the premium prices obtained through certification alone (e.g. RSPO) constituted an effective incentive. Supply chain approach: Mills will ask suppliers to produce sustainably due to changes in demand (market pressure towards sustainability). The project will also strengthen business case development, ensuring more robust and profitable sustainable businesses.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Comments	Risk from FP		
Risk 13	Dummy 1		

Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Sanctions		
Description	Forced entry by the GPP for some reason. Not an actual risk.		
Consequences	None.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	See above		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 14	Dummy 2		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Forced entry by the GPP for some reason. Not an actual risk.		
Consequences	None.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	See above.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

(this section is confidential)

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Indonesia	Grants	EUR	59,484,751	0	321,249.34	321,249.34	50%	50%
Total		EUR	59,484,751	0	321,249.34	321,249.34	N/A	N/A

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-financier	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
BMZ	Grants	EUR	3,000,000	142,397.55	142,397.55	142,397.55	50%	50%
BMZ GRASS	Grants	EUR	4,000,000	0	3,513,956.65	3,513,956.65	50%	50%
SNAL	Grants	EUR	1,000,000	0	172,849	172,849	50%	50%
MoFor	Grants	EUR	25,810,000	0	323,662	323,662	50%	50%
Total		EUR	33,810,000	142,397.55	4,152,865.2	4,152,865.2	N/A	N/A

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF Policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

No additional finance mobilized information provided.

File Name: FP248_APR2025.xlsx

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

Key Environmental and Social Changes

Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates

Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.

Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?

Yes ☐ No ☒

No relevant changes were observed.

F.3. ESS standards implementation progress

Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you tick 'Yes', please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring

In the current reporting period, the groundworks have been laid for thorough monitoring and implementation of the ESMP and other relevant components of the project (e.g., GAP and IPP). Firstly, as stipulated in the conditionalities for the first disbursement, meaningful consultations with IPLCs were conducted on the national, provincial, and district level of the project's intervention area. Based on these consultations, the IPP has been revised as one of the core ESS elements for project implementation. (ESS 7, ESMP measure 9) Dedicated repeated exchange with BPDH was organized (also in reference to the Enhanced Due Dilligence conducted by BDO during the project preparation phase) to ensure BPDH has experiences in and sufficient capacities for managing proceeds including monitoring and channeling funding to beneficiaries and intermediaries (ESS 10, ESMP measure 13). Further, the design of the GRM has been drafted so that it can be finalized with the relevant partners and brought into operation as soon as the project steering and implementation structures are established. A dedicated position for a Safeguarding Specialist has been tendered and is expected to be filled in early 2026. (ESS 1,7,8; ESMP measures 2,9,11,14) With support from the GIZ-internal Sectoral Department, the design process of the project's M&E-system has been initiated. A concept note for the design process was developed as guidance for the internal collaboration to finalise the M&E-design by the end of the inception phase in mid-2026. The M&E-system will also cover the monitoring of ESMP, GAP and IPP measures. During the reporting period, no report-relevant progress on the IPP's 22 activities was achieved based on the delayed implementation-readiness of the project. Measures originally foreseen for Year 1 will be brought into implementation in Year 2.

Outputs during the reporting period

- Revised IPP including the documentation of conducted meaningful consultations in line with GCF's formulated requirements
- Draft GRM for the project
- M&E-Design Concept Note for GIZ-internal service support
- Job Vacancy Announcement for the project's Safeguards Specialist

Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation

As part of the fund's conditionality (meaningful IP-consultations), additional perceptions, opinions, concerns were collected and addressed. As stated in further detail in the revised IPP, adjustments were made to the IPP Action Plan. In order to ensure the beneficiaries and IPLC stakeholders are not only considered in the project implementation design but also receive holistic information and thorough understanding of the project's intentions, setting, and activities. Consequently, to further minimize existing doubt or lack of clarity, additional emphasis on the importance of traditional knowledge, the needed clarification of IP representation in the project, and achieving a clear definition of IP in the project context have been added to the Action Plan. Throughout in-depth discussions with the partners involved in further designing the project structural design and project management (BPLDH, DLHK, P2SEMH), the importance of IP-representation and the GRM-establishment have been addressed frequently. This sensitization of appeared to be needed because of staff fluctuation in the involved partner agencies, diverting interpretations of the Funding Proposal, and as the prerequisite for a shared perspective for project implementation.

Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention

Continuous attention is needed for ensuring the full roll-out and application of the GRM, especially via non-GIZ EEs and in the field structure in the five regencies. The PSC shall receive strong support and close follow-up (also by GIZ as an AE) with regards to IP-representation in the on-granting mechanism and project steering structures. To date, a shared understanding of the exact formats of IP-representation has not yet been achieved and agreed upon.

Remarks/Notes

F.4. Sub-projects information

Have any subprojects been implemented?

Yes ☐ No ☒

N/A

N/A

F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan	
Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Activities implemented during the reporting period	
Continuous and close engagement with especially the official agencies involved in managing and implementing the project was necessary and conducted. This referred mainly to preparing the Implementation Agreement and supporting documents as well as clarifying legal and procedural implications and their mitigation measures around the delayed implementation agreement. Stakeholder engagement also took place during the meaningful consultations described above and with other project parties (e.g., GRASS, Solidaridad) to jointly prepare for technical implementation. Stakeholder mapping is considered a fundamental step during the early stages of the project and was initiated during the reporting period. For this purpose, various meetings with NGOs, other development cooperation actors, local initiatives and the private sector took place to screen and understand the stakeholder landscape, identify relevant engagement and their potential synergies or overlaps.	
Dates and venues of engagement activities	
Throughout the reporting period, 43 stakeholder consultation formats took place. These mainly referred to (1) the socialization of the project towards partners, beneficiaries, sectoral stakeholders and the interested public, (2) meaningful consultations of IPLCs as requested by the GCF, and (3) project coordination and steering including the in-depth preparation of the IA. While most of the formats were conducted in Pontianak (project location) and Jakarta (location of BPD LH and national-level partners), consultations were also held in the five project target regencies as well as Bogor (location of P2SEMH).	
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male + female = total)	
During the reporting period, 1.433 participants attended the 43 formats described above. Out of these, 1.014 identified as male, 419 (29%) identified as female. CSOs & NGOs: - Solidaridad - Aliansi Masyarakat Adat Nusantara (AMAN), National Alliance of Indigenous Peoples of the Archipelago - Badan Registrasi Wilayah Adat (BRWA), Customary Area Registration Agency - Elpagar - Institut Dayakologi - Sangga Bumi Lestari - Forum Komunikasi Masyarakat Sipil - WWF Indonesia - Blue Forest - SAMPAN Kalimantan - YKAN - Bentang Kalimantan Tangguh - People Resources and Conservation Foundation Indonesia (PRCF-I) - Riak Bumi - Fauna & Flora International (FFI) - Community Forest Ecosystem Services (CFES) - Tropenbos Internasional - Rain Forest Alliance - Jari Borneo Barat - Manifold IP-representatives (see detailed documentation in revised IPP) Multi-Stakeholder-Forums: - West Kalimantan multi-stakeholder platform - Provincial REDD+ Taskforce - MSP Sekber PSDA Ketapang - MSP Mitra Pembangunan Ketapang - MSP Sabang Merah Berdompu Academia:	

- Tanjungpura University - Institut Teknologi Keling Kumang (ITKK), Institute of Technology Keling Kumang (incl. Dayak Research Center (DRC) - Indigenous Peoples, Climate Change and SDGs Research Centre) - Universitas Nahdatul Ulama Kalimantan Barat - Law Faculty of Kapuas University Government Stakeholders - National Government Agencies (Ministry of Forestry, Ministry of Environment, BPD LH, Social Forestry Agency, P2SEMH) - National Forestry Council, region of Kalimantan - Province Government (Governor) - Province Government Agencies (Environment and Forestry Service, Provincial Regional Development Planning Agency, Plantation and Livestock Agency, Community and Village Empowerment Office) - District Government Agencies (Development Planning Agency, Environment Agency, Community and Village Empowerment Office, Plantation agency and Environmental agency) - Forest Management Units of the project regencies
Information shared with stakeholders
In various formats and constellations, stakeholders received project-specific onboarding. This included general information on the theory of change, the contents of the funding proposal and the parties involved. During consultation meetings with the project's involved parties, information on GIZ's internal procedures and regulations was shared, alongside the clarification of the roles and responsibilities of GIZ as AE and EE, the two additional EEs, and the expected arrangement of sub-contracted parties (Lemtaras, consultancy involvement, NGOs). Specific attention was given to aspects of reporting and monitoring obligations, differentiation of eligible and non-eligible costs, distinction between partner contributions and project-funding (GCF and BMZ).
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
In addition to the aspects addressed around IP-representation (covered under F.3), the main issue brought to GIZ's attention by Indonesian partners as key stakeholders concerned the project's flow of funds and the procurement of vehicles. The latter referred to clarification needs around the legal arrangement and the covering of taxes later to be paid by the partners upon receiving these vehicles after utilization through the project. This topic could be solved through legal and procedural clarifications and respective meetings. As for the flow of funds, the Indonesian partners suggest a more detailed assessment of options for adjustments. To not further delay the IA as the basis for project implementation, an additional paragraph was added to the IA, stipulating that the funding disbursement mechanism related to the respective activities shall need approval by the PSC and related activities shall not be initiated before such approval is received.
Remarks/Notes
N/A

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned	
Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period	
All 14 risks and derived mitigation measures described in the ESMP are to be initiated from the project start and will come into implementation in the next reporting period. For ensuring consideration of all relevant aspects, the ESS-focus in this early project stage lies on establishing a dedicated ESS team, identifying and allocating the required budget (Risk No2). From the beginning of project implementation, thorough consideration and stepwise implementation of the ESMP is crucial. With regards to ESS engagement and in line with the ESMP, the project will focus on creating the framework conditions for integration of ESS and Gender and their monitoring and evaluation. The development of a Safeguards Monitoring Concept is among the ESS-related priorities for 2026. All relevant project documentation, including the ESMP, the ESIA, the IPP, and the GAP will be considered for the design of the project's M&E-System, including identification and monitoring of safeguards indicators. Upon full project team onboarding, ESS-related data collection and data management will be defined to ensure a consistent and coherent consideration of and monitoring against the ESMP, its indicators, and the safeguard indicators. The GRM will be finalised and is to be approved by the PSC. Upon approval, communication products will be created for raising awareness on the GRM wherever project activities take place and for pro-active information of stakeholders as a central instrument of the project. In addition to the promotion of the GRM,	

FPIC processes and continuous stakeholder consultations will receive high attention to ensure the intervention areas, beneficiaries, and measures are identified in line with the aspirations of the project and the local population (Risk No6,7,9,11,12). The project management will establish SOPs and conduct close monitoring to minimise health-related risks for the project staff and negative environmental impact through project implementation (Risk No3,4,5,8,14).

Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities

F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances

Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:

Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project

☐ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms.

☒ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above

The project-level GRM has been drafted during the reporting period. As for the delayed Implementation Agreement (signed on 30 December), finalization with involvement of relevant partners, endorsement via the project's mandated formats (to be established in early 2026), operationalization and communication are among the project management's priorities for the inception phase.

Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?

Yes ☐ No ☒

The project did not receive any grievances. Neither was the project staff approached in respective matters, nor did the project partners, GIZ AE, or the GCF forward any grievances received via their channels

F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan

Because of the delayed Implementation Agreement as the legal basis for project implementation in-country and availability of project budget, implementation of GAP has only been initiated during the reporting period. With most of the GAP-measures spanning from Year 1 to Year 7 of project implementation, no immediate negative impact or accumulation of delayed measures is anticipated. All measures specifically planned for the first year of project implementation (IDs 3, 7, 16) shall be addressed in Year two together with the corresponding delayed technical project activities.

GAP-Measure 28 serves as the only exception based on the GRASS-project's active project status independent of the legal project status of the GCF-funded activities. Throughout the GRASS project runtime, 739 women were trained in sustainable food and commodity production (target: 180).

Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words)

Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.

GAP Activity	
Recruit a gender expert (ESGI Advisor) to provide technical support to ensure women participation in capacity-building activities and mainstream gender across all project activities.	
Indicator	
One gender expert (ESGI Advisor) recruited in Year 1 of project implementation.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
position announced but recruitment not completed during reporting period	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
position announced but recruitment not completed yet by the end of the reporting period (staffed in March 2026)	
Budget	210,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2026
Responsibility	
GIZ	
Remarks/Notes	
Green: respective staff member onboarded in March 2026 (after the reporting period but within the originally foreseen timeline)	

GAP Activity	
Establish a gender responsive M&E system that includes all GAP indicators.	
Indicator	
Gender responsive M&E system is operational.	

Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
M&E-design process initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
M&E-design process initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ Technical advisor for Environmental and Social Safeguards, Gender, and Indigenous Peoples (ESGI Advisor)	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Year 2-7 according to GAP; design process has been initiated as foreseen for the inception phase; budget is part of the M&E-Budget	

GAP Activity	
Develop a Code of Conduct prohibiting SEAH by project staff and implementation partners including trainings. (# i)	
Indicator	
A SEAH Code of Conduct is in place.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2026
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
AMBER: the code of conduct has not been developed yet as the ESGI Advisor recruitment is ongoing. One of the priorities of the ESGI Advisor to join in Q1/2026 will be to develop the SEAH code of conduct	
GAP Activity	
Develop a Code of Conduct prohibiting SEAH by project staff and implementation partners including trainings. (# ii)	
Indicator	
Percentage of staff who have reviewed and signed the code of conduct.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
AMBER: the code of conduct has not been developed yet as the ESGI Advisor recruitment is ongoing. One of the priorities of the ESGI Advisor to join in Q1/2026 will be to develop the SEAH code of conduct	
GAP Activity	
Develop a Code of Conduct prohibiting SEAH by project staff and implementation partners including trainings. (# iii)	
Indicator	

Percentage of staff trained on the code of conduct and GRM, including the SEAH protocol.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
AMBER: the code of conduct has not been developed yet as the ESGI Advisor recruitment is ongoing. One of the priorities of the ESGI Advisor to join in Q1/2026 will be to develop the SEAH code of conduct	
GAP Activity	
A GRM mechanism, with a protocol on Sexual Exploitation, Abuse and Harassment (SEAH) is developed, and SEAH data is included in the monitoring and reporting. (# i)	
Indicator	
All annual reports include data on SEAH incidents in the project is included in project reporting in Y1-7.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	7
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
GRM drafted with pending finalisation and endorsement	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
GRM drafted with pending finalisation and endorsement	

Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
AMBER: The GRM concept is drafted and currently under finalisation jointly with the project partners	

GAP Activity	
A GRM mechanism, with a protocol on Sexual Exploitation, Abuse and Harassment (SEAH) is developed, and SEAH data is included in the monitoring and reporting. (# ii)	
Indicator	
# of SEAH incidents reported on and addressed	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
GRM drafted with pending finalisation and endorsement	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
GRM drafted with pending finalisation and endorsement	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
AMBER: The GRM concept is drafted and currently under finalisation jointly with the project partners. While the target is 0, the aim is to have any incidents that occur reported and resolved through the GRM mechanism's SEAH protocol. target set to 1 as the GCF Partner Portal requires a numeric value	

GAP Activity	
SEAH awareness campaigns are conducted.	
Indicator	
Number of SEAH awareness campaigns conducted	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	10,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Solidaridad; BPDH	
Remarks/Notes	
AMBER: the code of conduct has not been developed yet as the ESGI Advisor recruitment is ongoing. One of the priorities of the ESGI Advisor to join in Q1/2026 will be to develop the SEAH code of conduct and to organise the SEAH awareness campaigns	

GAP Activity	
Peer support on SEAH related issues is established and supported with capacity building and linkages. (i)	
Indicator	
Number of survivor networks or peer support groups on SEAH established and trained in Y2-5.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2030
Responsibility	
GIZ	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-5	

GAP Activity	
Peer support on SEAH related issues is established and supported with capacity building and linkages. (ii)	
Indicator	
Number of men and women (sex-disaggregated) accessing the survivor network or peer support groups (while ensuring their anonymity is secured)	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	10
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2030
Responsibility	
GIZ	

Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-5; target value not defined in GAP ("# of attendees (sex-dis-aggregated)"); set to 10 as the GCF Partner Portal requires a numeric value	
GAP Activity Capacity building in FPIC processes, grievance mechanism, gender-related subjects (including gender budgeting, PPRG) and capacity to facilitate critical reflection in project implementation for field facilitators, GIZ project staff, and independent assistants (together with IPP-FPIC trainings). (i)	
Indicator	
Number of trainings	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	14
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
team recruitment not finalised, therefore no trainings conducted yet	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
team recruitment not finalised, therefore no trainings conducted yet	
Budget	20,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2028
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Pokja REDD+; Pokja Gender Mainstreaming; Tanjungpura University as the academician appointed to assist technically	
Remarks/Notes	
Will be implemented as soon as team recruitment is completed; budget is included in training budget for Activity 1.1.1	
GAP Activity Capacity building in FPIC processes, grievance mechanism, gender-related subjects (including gender budgeting, PPRG) and capacity to facilitate critical reflection in project implementation for field facilitators, GIZ project staff, and independent assistants (together with IPP-FPIC trainings). (ii)	

Indicator	
ii) share of staff trained (%)	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
team recruitment not finalised, therefore no trainings conducted yet	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
team recruitment not finalised, therefore no trainings conducted yet	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2028
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Pokja REDD+; Pokja Gender Mainstreaming; Tanjungpura University as the academician appointed to assist technically	
Remarks/Notes	
Will be implemented as soon as team recruitment is completed; budget is included in training budget for Activity 1.1.1	
GAP Activity	
Introduce quota for the participation of women in relevant project activities and technical staff (from all the partners) involved in the project. Similar actions to the cell above will be implemented to help increase the participation of women. (i)	
Indicator	
1 Quota introduced (% of women participants)	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Solidaridad; BPDH	
Remarks/Notes	
Rate to be determined jointly among the three EEs upon initiation of project implementation.	

GAP Activity	
Introduce quota for the participation of women in relevant project activities and technical staff (from all the partners) involved in the project. Similar actions to the cell above will be implemented to help increase the participation of women. (ii)	
Indicator	
1 Quota introduced (% of female technical staff)	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Solidaridad; BPDH	

Remarks/Notes	
Rate to be determined jointly among the three EEs upon initiation of project implementation	
GAP Activity	
Equitable and effective forest resource governance in KUPS	
Indicator	
% of women in KUPS committees increased	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	15
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	30
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ	
Remarks/Notes	
Not yet initiated, will be addressed when commencing work with the KUPS	
GAP Activity	
Integrate Gender Mainstreaming into trainings for relevant stakeholders, in particular for Tanjungpura. University, FMUs, SF field facilitators.	
Indicator	
% of training material reviewed by a gender specialist to ensure adequate gender mainstreaming	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
To be initiated once ESGI Advisor is onboarded	

GAP Activity	
Sharing project activities and results on the MoFor's Gender Mainstreaming Website. The team will also share updates through in-person trainings and sharing printed materials where suitable.	
Indicator	
Number of good practices, guidelines, and lessons learned available on the website. Report on stakeholder engagement and implementation of stakeholder engagement plan.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	5
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	15,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032

Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
No activities and results to be shared yet except for in-kind co-funding contributions (GRASS, NISCOPS-II). To be initiated by the GIZ ESGI Advisor to join the team in early 2026.	

GAP Activity	
Collaborate with women's groups and women's organisations who are active in West Kalimantan to support gender mainstreaming based on identified needs (e.g. Pusat Pengembangan Sumberdaya Wanita (PPSW) Borneo; Gemawan; Dian Tama; Pemberdayaan Perempuan Kepala Keluarga (PEKKA))	
Indicator	
Number of MoUs with women's group or women's organisation	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
Green: to be integrated when commencing project activity implementation	

GAP Activity	
Integrate Gender Mainstreaming into RAP, API and RAK API with support of a gender specialist. (Sub activity 1.1.1.1)	
Indicator	

Number of RAP API and RAK API that are gender mainstreamed.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	6
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	40,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; BAPPEDA; Pokja REDD+; Pokja Gender Mainstreaming	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 3-7; budget included in activity budget for 1.1.1	

GAP Activity	
Develop Gender Mainstreaming guiding material in climate change adaptation and mitigation for KLHK/DLHK including: - operational standards (SOP) - policy briefs and best practices on subnational level. (Sub activity 1.1.1.3)	
Indicator	
Gender Mainstreaming guiding material is available.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	15,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-6; budget included in activity budget for 1.1.1	

GAP Activity	
Integrate gender disaggregated data into the adaptation and mitigation monitoring and reporting system. (Sub activities 1.1.1.4 & 1.1.2.4.)	
Indicator	
The adaptation monitoring system features gender disaggregated data.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
ESGI Advisor with support of: - Agency for Regional Development (namely Bappenas and Bappeda) - Agency of Women Empowerment and Child Protection (namely DPPA) in Province and District - Agency of Environment and Forestry (namely DLHK) in province and district	
Remarks/Notes	

GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-7

GAP Activity

- i) Review the regulatory framework related to Gender Mainstreaming at province level, especially in relation to mitigation.
- ii) Strengthen the regulatory framework related to mitigation by integrating Gender Mainstreaming with support of a gender specialist.
(Sub-activity 1.1.2.1)

Indicator

The West Kalimantan Provincial Government has gender-responsive RAD-GRK, SRAP, and ERIS.

Rating of Indicator

AMBER (needs attention to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable

3

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

not yet initiated

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

not yet initiated

Budget

30,000

Currency

EUR

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)

12 March 2027

Responsibility

ESGI Advisor with support of:

- Agency of Environment and Forestry (namely DLHK) in province and district
- Agency for Regional Development (namely Bappeda) in Province and District
- Agency of Women Empowerment and Child Protection (namely DPPA) in Province and District
- UNTAN or other universities that can provide advice regarding the technicalities of integrating gender

Remarks/Notes

AMBER: to be initiated by GIZ ESGI Advisor to be recruited in early 2026; budget included in activity budget 1.1.2

GAP Activity

- Provide expert assistance to integrate gender in the regency/ provincial development plans (RPJMD), especially in mitigation programs, with support of a gender specialist.
(Sub-activity 1.1.2.2)

Indicator

A gender responsive RPJMD document for West Kalimantan Province is available.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	25,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2028
Responsibility	
ESGI Advisor with support of: Agency for Regional Development (namely Bappeda) in Province and District	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-3; budget included in activity budget for 1.1.2	
GAP Activity	
Increase gender awareness in the HCV / HCS working group through trainings and campaigns and develop SOPs and safeguards frameworks for gender responsiveness in HCV / HCS management plans. (Sub-activity 1.2.1.3)	
Indicator	
Percentage of gender responsive trainings	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	

Budget	60,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; GIZ Team	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 3-6; budget included in Financing Agreement for Biodiversity NGO	

GAP Activity	
Integrate reporting on gender measures in HCV / HCS reports (Sub-activity 1.2.1.4)	
Indicator	
Gender responsiveness is part of the HCV / HCS reports. Share (in %) of HCV / HCS reports supported by the project that include aspects of gender responsiveness.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2029
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; GIZ M&E Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-4	

GAP Activity	
The expert and stakeholder consultative process is gender responsive (i) (Sub-activity 1.2.1.5)	
Indicator	
Share of women consulted (in %)	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	30
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2028
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 3-6	

GAP Activity	
The expert and stakeholder consultative process is gender responsive (ii) (Sub-activity 1.2.1.5)	
Indicator	
reporting on stakeholder engagement plan implementation reflects feedback from women and women's organizations.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	

not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
Provincial and Regencies Governments (main actors)	
Remarks/Notes	
Not yet initiated as the stakeholder consultation was focussing on IPLCs and government bodies; will be integrated when commencing project activity implementation	
GAP Activity	
Increasing women's awareness about on-granting programme (Sub activity 1.3.1.1)	
Indicator	
Implement targeted outreach and awareness campaigns to ensure that women are informed about the availability of grants and the application process (e.g., through a variety of communication channels, including community meetings, radio broadcasts, and women's networks)	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	6
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	6,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	

GIZ ESGI Advisor;
GIZ Team;
IP fund pro-posal selection committee;
BPDH

Remarks/Notes

GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-6;

GAP Activity

Increasing women's access to IP funding mechanism as recipients of grants
(Sub activity 1.3.1.1)

Indicator

The selection criteria set includes gender responsiveness at its core. 100% of proposals approved meet gender-responsive selection criteria.

Rating of Indicator

GREEN (on track to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable

100

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

not yet initiated

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

not yet initiated

Budget

0

Currency

EUR

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)

12 March 2031

Responsibility

GIZ ESGI Advisor;
GIZ Team;
IP fund pro-posal selection committee;
BPDH

Remarks/Notes

GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-6;

GAP Activity	
ESGI specialist to be engaged in reviewing and monitoring, and is available to provide guidance with implementation (Sub activity 1.3.1.1)	
Indicator	
ESGI specialist to be engaged in reviewing and monitoring, and is available to provide guidance with implementation	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; GIZ Team; IP fund pro-posal selection committee; BPDLH	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-6;	

GAP Activity	
Integrating gender perspectives in policy strategies and procedures related to financing mechanisms for climate change (Sub activity 1.3.1.2)	
Indicator	
Elaborated policies and strategies reflect gender perspectives (in %)	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	50,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; GIZ Team; Provincial Government	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-7; budget included in activity budget for 1.3.1	
GAP Activity	
Ensure that the developed business model is gender responsive and strengthens the economic situation of women. (Sub activity 2.1.1.1)	
Indicator	
Gender responsive business model available	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	20,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032

Responsibility	
GIZ Team; GIZ ESGI Advisor	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 4-7; budget included in activity budget for 2.1.1	

GAP Activity	
Providing trainings on household level to identify gender issues in the community and support gender sensitization for men and women. (Sub activity 2.1.2.1)	
Indicator	
Share (in %) of households who participated in gender trainings in target villages.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	30
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	40,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	
Solidaridad; GIZ ESGI Advisor; Dinas Perkebunan	
Remarks/Notes	
Will be initiated when commencing project activity implementation; budget included in Grant Agreement with EE Solidaridad	

GAP Activity	
Raise gender awareness among agricultural extension workers and champion farmers. (Sub activity 2.1.2.1)	
Indicator	
Share (in %) of extension workers / local champions who received gender awareness training.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	
Solidaridad; GIZ ESGI Advisor; Dinas Perkebunan	
Remarks/Notes	
Will be initiated when commencing project activity implementation; budget included in Grant Agreement with EE Solidaridad	

GAP Activity	
Providing training and technical assistance to Women's Farmer Groups in climate smart agriculture. (Sub activity 2.1.2.1)	
Indicator	
Share of women among climate smart agriculture training participants	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	30

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	
Solidaridad; GIZ ESGI Advisor; Dinas Perkebunan	
Remarks/Notes	
Will be initiated when commencing project activity implementation; budget included in Grant Agreement with EE Solidaridad	

GAP Activity	
Provide tailored technical assistance to women farmers to get STDB registration. (Sub activity 2.1.2.2)	
Indicator	
Percentage of farmers receiving STDB registration are women.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	30
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	

Solidaridad;
Dinas Perkebunan (Agency of Plantation);
Dinas Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan (Agency of Environment, and Forestry);
Dinas Koperasi (Cooperatives, Small and Medium Enterprise, and Trade Office)

Remarks/Notes

GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-6; budget included in Grant Agreement with EE Solidaridad

GAP Activity

Provide technical assistance related to agroforestry business, including marketing and processing of agroforestry products for MSMEs / women farmers
(Sub activity 2.1.2.2)

Indicator

Percentage of female farmers with increased access to market and income

Rating of Indicator

GREEN (on track to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable

50

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

not yet initiated

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

not yet initiated

Budget

0

Currency

EUR

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)

12 March 2031

Responsibility

Solidaridad;
Dinas Perkebunan (Agency of Plantation);
Dinas Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan (Agency of Environment, and Forestry);
Dinas Koperasi (Cooperatives, Small and Medium Enterprise, and Trade Office)

Remarks/Notes

GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-6; budget included in Grant Agreement with EE Solidaridad

GAP Activity	
Ensure that the new traceability system is gender inclusive by organising annual feedback & evaluation meeting (Sub activity 2.1.2.3)	
Indicator	
Percentage of women who confirm gender inclusiveness of digital system through the participation in feedback and evaluation meetings	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	51
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	20,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	
Solidaridad	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-6;	

GAP Activity	
Provide training for women to use the digital system. (Sub activity 2.1.2.3)	
Indicator	
Share of women trained in the use of the system.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	30
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	

not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	
Solidaridad	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-6;	

GAP Activity	
Ensure representation of women farmers in each forum. (Sub activity 2.1.3.1)	
Indicator	
Share (in %) of MSF with representation of women farmers.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2030
Responsibility	
Bappeda Provincial/ reGENCY regional planning agency; Sekda provincial/ reGENCY secretariat	
Remarks/Notes	

Will be initiated when commencing project activity implementation

GAP Activity

Enable women through specific training measures to realize their potential for income generation and food production.
(Sub activity 2.1.4.1)

Indicator

Number of women trained in sustainable food and commodity production

Rating of Indicator

GREEN (on track to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable

180

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

739 women farmers have been trained through GRASS project activities by the end of the project

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

739 women farmers have been trained through GRASS project activities by the end of the project

Budget

100,000

Currency

EUR

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)

12 March 2026

Responsibility

GIZ ESGI Advisor

Remarks/Notes

Green: Fully co-funded GRASS-project successfully completed by the end of 2025; 739 women have been trained in relevant aspects (good agricultural practices, alternative commodities, marketing, business administration, etc.); budget included in budget for activity 2.1.4

GAP Activity

Advocate for gender inclusivity in ISPO revision process.
(Sub activity 2.1.5.1)

Indicator

Advocacy plan for gender Inclusivity in ISPO is elaborated

Rating of Indicator

GREEN (on track to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	50,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2031
Responsibility	
Solidaridad Indonesia	
Remarks/Notes	
GREEN: foreseen for Years 2-6; fully co-funded activity	

GAP Activity	
Include Gender Mainstreaming measures in templates for RPHJP / RPHJPD (Sub activity 3.1.1.1)	
Indicator	
Share of newly RPHJP / RPHJPD that include gender measures (in %)	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	50,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	

GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; budget included in budget for activity 3.1.1	

GAP Activity	
Support preferably gender mainstreamed forest farmer groups (social forestry) to achieve the “Effective FMU” status (Sub-activity 3.1.1.2)	
Indicator	
Share (in %) of forest farmer groups that consider gender mainstreaming (for example being women farmer groups or having gender balance in groups).	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	200,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; budget included in budget for activity 3.1.1	

GAP Activity	
Integrate gender disaggregated data into data and information exchange system (e.g. Gender Analysis Matrix (GAM) and use the Capacities and Vulnerabilities Analysis Framework (CVA)) (Sub-activity 3.1.1.4)	
Indicator	

Availability of gender disaggregated data and information in the data and information exchange system	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation	
GAP Activity	
Ensure women are represented in the SF groups supported by this activity. (Sub-activity 3.2.1.1)	
Indicator	
Representation of women among the 70 supported SF groups.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	5
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	20
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	

Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; baseline not clear - set to 5% as the GCF Partner Portal requires a numeric value	

GAP Activity	
Provide gender-responsive planning and budgeting training for KUPS. (Sub-activity 3.2.1.2)	
Indicator	
Percentage of KUPS with gender responsive business plans and budgets	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	30,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; budget is included in training budget for Activity 3.2.1	

GAP Activity	
Provide training and mentoring to women who are actively involved in KUPS and those who are not yet involved in KUPS. (Sub-activity 3.2.1.2)	
Indicator	
% of KUPS with representation of women in the management level	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; budget is included in training budget for Activity 3.2.1	

GAP Activity	
Provide support for existing women's groups or establish new groups/ subgroups to increase influence in decision-making, governance, and community participation. (Sub-activity 3.2.1.2)	
Indicator	
Number of women's group supported by the project	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; budget is included in training budget for Activity 3.2.1	
GAP Activity	
Ensure appropriate representation of women in the training of SF field facilitators (ToF). (Sub-activity 3.2.1.3)	
Indicator	
% of women facilitators and trainers participating in trainings.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	5
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	50
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032

Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; baseline not clear - set to 5% as the GCF Partner Portal requires a numeric value	

GAP Activity	
Promote the participation of women from the communities in peat and mangrove restoration and in the establishment of tree nurseries. (Sub-activity 3.2.1.4)	
Indicator	
Percentage of women participating in restoration activities.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	5
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	30
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	20,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; baseline not clear - set to 5% as the GCF Partner Portal requires a numeric value; budget included in Financing Agreement for Biodiversity NGO	

GAP Activity	
The establishment of women led aquaculture groups. (Sub-activity 3.2.1.5)	
Indicator	
Number of new women led aquaculture groups.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	20,000
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; budget included in Financing Agreement for Biodiversity NGO	

GAP Activity	
Ensure representation among the supported SF groups to improve financial access. (Sub-activity 3.2.1.6)	
Indicator	
Share (in %) of SF / CBFM boards that have women as board members	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	5
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	
GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; baseline not clear - set to 5% as the GCF Partner Portal requires a numeric value	

GAP Activity	
Ensure women participation in financial management of GCF funds targeted at social forestry support. (Sub-activity 3.2.1.8)	
Indicator	
Percentage of supported KUPS, which are financially managed by women.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	5
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	30
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
not yet initiated	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
not yet initiated	
Budget	0
Currency	EUR
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	12 March 2032
Responsibility	

GIZ ESGI Advisor; Gender Mainstreaming Taskforce	
Remarks/Notes	
To be integrated when commencing related project activity implementation; baseline not clear - set to 5% as the GCF Partner Portal requires a numeric value	
F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review	
Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>For the monitoring and implementation of the GAP, the project's Safeguard Specialist shall be engaged jointly with the gender focal point. While the latter is already identified and respectively involved in project implementation with a specific emphasis on GAP-measures to be implemented alongside technical project implementation, no Safeguard Specialist had been recruited by the end of the reporting period. With the ongoing team recruitment processes, it is foreseen to identify this person in early 2026 and address GAP implementation and monitoring in tandem of these two staff members.</i>	
Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Because of the delayed Implementation Agreement as the legal basis for project implementation in-country and availability of project budget, implementation of GAP has only been initiated during the reporting period. No dedicated budget has been spent on GAP-implementation in the reporting period. Measures initiated include the drafting of the project's M&E-design (GAP ID 2) and GRM (ID 4), with most GAP-measures only being possible alongside technical implementation of the project (e.g., ID 8-12) or meaningful after completion of team recruitment (e.g. ID 7).</i>	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>Because of the delayed Implementation Agreement as the legal basis for project implementation in-country and availability of project budget, implementation of GAP has only been initiated during the reporting period. With most of the GAP-measures spanning from Year 1 to Year 7 of project implementation, no immediate negative impact or accumulation of delayed measures is anticipated. All measures specifically planned for the first year of project implementation (IDs 3, 7, 16) shall be addressed in Year two together with the corresponding delayed technical project activities. GAP-Measure 28 serves as the only exception based on the GRASS-project's active project status independent of the legal project status of the GCF-funded activities. Throughout the GRASS project runtime, 739 women were trained in sustainable food and commodity production (target: 180).</i>	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>No content-wise revision of the approved GAP was introduced. Due to the delayed implementation agreement and resulting implementation-readiness, measures foreseen to be initiated during the first year of project implementation shall be started in the second year. Since there are only four GAP-measures supposed to be completed within the first two years (IDs 3,7,16,28) with one of them (ID 28) already being addressed through the in-kind co-financing contributions from GRASS, no need is perceived for a revision of the GAP for the time being. Rather than revising the GAP, the mentioned measures shall be implemented in Y2 and the GAP be screened for their (and potential further) adjustment needs as an element of the M&E process. The respective screening for adaptation needs shall be conducted together with the M&E-design development during the project inception phase.</i>	
Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☒ No ☐

<i>With the project being in its very initial stage and activities during the reporting period solely including already ongoing projects as in-kind co-financing contributions (GRASS, NISCOPS), there is limited data on beneficiaries available. This is not surprising for the inception phase of the project and therefore there is no indication of results being off track. Despite the general delay of project activities and aligned GAP-measures, the targets are still considered realistic given the remaining project duration.</i>	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>No respective challenges occurred</i>	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Under GRASS: Through a partnership with Göttingen University, 300 women oil palm farmers were supported in stingless bee (Trigona) beekeeping. Follow-up training reached 124 women on hive management and colony expansion. Women's groups were formed for peer learning, and monitoring showed positive impacts on income and decision-making power, highlighting strong replication potential.</i>	
Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period	
<i>With regards to ESS engagement and in line with the ESMP, the project will focus on creating the framework conditions for integration of ESS and Gender as well as their monitoring and evaluation. The development of a Safeguards Monitoring Concept is among the ESS-related priorities for 2026. All relevant project documentation, including the ESMP, ESIA, IPP, and GAP will also be considered for the design of the project's M&E-System, including identification and monitoring of safeguards indicators. Transparency and inclusion of local partners and stakeholders will be ensured through the creation of a 'ESMP Highlights' to be made available also in Indonesian and potentially local language(s). With the completion of the project team recruitment process and the team-internal clarification of roles and responsibilities, ESS-related data collection and data management will be defined to ensure a consistent and coherent consideration of and monitoring against the ESMP, its indicators, and the safeguard indicators. The GRM will be finalised jointly with the project partners and is to be approved by the PSC. Upon approval, communication products will be created for raising awareness on the GRM wherever project activities take place and for pro-active information of stakeholders as a central instrument of the project.</i>	

G. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
File Name: FP248_APR 2025_Annex 1_20260710.xlsx
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
File Name: Annex 2 - Media Coverage2025.pdf
Annex 3: List of grievances received
File Name: FP248_List of grievances received.docx
Annex 4: Procurement information
File Name: FP248_Procurement Information.docx
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year
File Name: FP248_Annex5_ImplementationTable2026.pdf
Annex 6: Updated Gender Assessment and/or Action Plan
File Name: FP248_Gender Assessment and-or Plan.docx
Additional Annexes (if applicable)
File Name: FP248_ActivityProgressLogic.pdf File Name: Best Practice - Beekeeping Factsheet.pdf

