
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title: E-Mobility Program (FP225)
Accredited Entity: Asian Development Bank (ADB)
Date of Report submission: 17 April 2026
Version number V1.0



Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs). Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)

Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.

A.1. Date of report submission		17 April 2026		
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Public	
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)	GCF Financing		Mill. USD 169.9	
	Grants		Mill. USD 64.9	
	SeniorLoans		Mill. USD 105.0	
	Co-Financing		Mill. USD 284.5	
	Grants		Mill. USD 44.5	
	SeniorLoans		Mill. USD 240.0	
	Total Financing		Mill. USD 454.4	
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	NA (draft)			
A.6. Implementation period	From NA to NA			
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to GCF revised <u>Environmental and Social Policy</u> and GCF ESS Standards to assess your FP category.)			Category B	
A.8. Executing Entity Information				
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)
Asian Development Bank	Philippines (the)	International Financial Institution	Manage and co-finance the program	Bertrand Goalou (bgoalou@adb.org)

A.9. Co-Financier information

Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)
Asian Development Bank	Philippines (the)	Bertrand Goalou (bgoalou@adb.org)	Multilateral development institutions	ADB developing member countries

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP <i>(NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)</i>				
Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project.Beneficial owner(s)
Armenia	31 December 2025	Armenia's latest NDC establishes a long-term economy-wide mitigation target of reducing emissions by 44% by 2035 compared with 1990 levels, while strengthening adaptation capacity in key sectors such as water resources, agriculture, energy, and ecosystems	The project supports the decarbonization of the transport sector through the deployment of electric public transport and associated charging infrastructure. By promoting electric mobility and sustainable urban transport, the project directly supports the NDC's mitigation priorities while advancing Armenia's transition toward a low-carbon and climate-resilient development pathway.	0%
Indonesia	27 October 2025	Indonesia commits to reducing emissions by 31.89% unconditionally and up to 43.2% with international support by 2030 compared with the business-as-usual scenario. The NDC prioritizes mitigation across key sectors including energy, forestry and land use, agriculture, industry, and waste, with major measures such as expanding renewable energy, improving energy efficiency, protecting forests and peatlands, promoting sustainable land management, and advancing low-carbon transport systems.	The proposed project will support the decarbonization of the transport sector. By reducing emissions from road transport and supporting low-carbon urban development, the project directly advances the NDC's mitigation priorities in the energy and transport sectors while helping Indonesia transition toward a more sustainable and climate-resilient transport system. through the deployment of electric public transport, charging infrastructure, and related urban mobility improvements.	0%
Kyrgyzstan	2 October 2025	The Kyrgyz Republic commits to reducing emissions by up to 16% unconditionally and up to 44% with international support by 2030 compared with the business-as-usual scenario. The NDC prioritizes mitigation in key sectors such as energy, transport, agriculture, forestry, and waste, focusing on expanding renewable energy, improving energy efficiency, modernizing transport systems, and enhancing sustainable land and water management.	It supports the transition to low-emission transport through the deployment of electric public transport and associated charging infrastructure. By accelerating the adoption of electric mobility and reducing emissions from road transport, the project directly advances the NDC's mitigation priorities in the energy and transport sectors while supporting the Kyrgyz Republic's transition toward a low-carbon and climate-resilient development pathway.	0%
Georgia	5 May 2021	Reduce GHG emissions by 35% unconditionally and up to 50–57% conditionally by 2030	The project supports sustainable urban mobility investment to cities across Georgia.	0%

Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project.Beneficial owner(s)
		(vs. 1990) and move toward carbon neutrality by 2050.		
Kazakhstan	26 November 2025	Reduce GHG emissions by 15–25% by 2030 (vs. 1990) and progress toward carbon neutrality by 2060.	The project supports the reduction of emissions intensity in logistics and urban mobility	0%
Nepal	14 May 2025	Keep national emissions on a low-emissions pathway and achieve net-zero CO ₂ emissions by 2045, avoiding fossil-fuel lock-in.	The proposed project will support enabling Nepal to avoid fossil-fuel lock-in in a rapidly growing transport sector.	0%

A.11. Estimated duration of impact	
A.12 Report to be shared with NDA	Yes ☐ No ☐

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

The program comprises 3 physical investment and 1 technical assistance components. In 2025, due diligence and preparation of Component 1, 2, and 3 for 6 subprojects (Armenia, Georgia, Indonesia, Kyrgyz Republic, Nepal, Uzbekistan) are underway with the project concept notes approved for further preparation by 31 December 2025. Out of 6 subprojects, 5 are investment loan projects including 4 new subprojects (Armenia, Georgia, Nepal, and Uzbekistan) and 1 additional financing to an existing ADB-funded project (Kyrgyz Republic), and 1 is Result Based Lending subproject (Indonesia).

The TA component is also under implementation to help the program countries prepare the ensuing subprojects, design e-mobility policies and agendas, build the capacity to implement the ensuing projects, and create an enabling environment for e-mobility. GCF has disbursed \$5 million for ADB to implement the TA. The TA co-financed Regional Meeting and Workshop on Unlocking Finance for Scaling Up E-Mobility in Asia and the Pacific attended by 184 participants (30% female). This was co-hosted with UNEP, UNESCAP, amongst others, drawing in additional partners to this effort. The TA helped prepare the battery charging station policy in Kyrgyz Republic. In addition, with its own resources, under the program, ADB delivered electric mobility roadmaps in Indonesia and Uzbekistan.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. 1,500 words)

Component 1, 2, and 3. The subprojects have been formulated in all program countries (except Kazakhstan) and the subproject processing is underway. The physical works have not started. No progress achieved under MRA2 (low emission transport), ARA3 (infrastructure and environment built), outputs 1.1, 2.1, and 3.1, co-benefits 1 and 2,

Component 4. Output 4.1: A climate resilient e-mobility ecosystem integrated with urban transport is established to enable uptake of e-mobility activities are progressing. Consultants have been recruited to monitor the program and to prepare the subprojects. A regional workshop on the e-mobility was conducted.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The "cumulative value" will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:

- *Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation.*
- *Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or programme implementation.*
- *Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or programme.*

Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.

Should the project be Multicountry, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.

Should any prefilled information/data in the Logical Framework be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

GCF Outcome Level: Standardized Indicators

PMF/IRMF Results Management Framework

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) M1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO ₂ eq) reduced as a result of GCF-funded projects/programmes (IRMF) Core 1 Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered						
Provide the total GHG emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered (tCO ₂ eq) as a result of GCF support.						
Unit					Tonnes of CO ₂ -equivalent	
Baseline	Target			Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target	Lifetime			
0	853,235	1,819,573	11,415,080	0	0	0

(PMF) M1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO ₂ eq) reduced as a result of GCF-funded projects/programmes (IRMF) Core 1 Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered							
Provide the total GHG emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered (tCO ₂ eq) as a result of GCF support, disaggregated by result area.							
Unit							Tonnes of CO ₂ -equivalent
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Mitigation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Lifetime	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Low Emission Transport	0	853,235	1,819,573	11,415,080	0	0	0

(PMF) M1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO ₂ eq) reduced as a result of GCF-funded projects/programmes (IRMF) Core 1 Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered							
Provide the total GHG emissions reduced, avoided or removed/sequestered (tCO ₂ eq), disaggregated by Country.							
Unit							Tonnes of CO ₂ -equivalent
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Lifetime	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Armenia	0	184,146	368,292	2,202,463	0	0	0
Georgia	0	164,346	328,692	1,970,623	0	0	0
Indonesia	0	88,920	177,840	1,041,742	0	0	0
Kazakhstan	0	167,514	335,028	2,061,003	0	0	0
Kyrgyzstan	0	151,764	303,528	1,770,868	0	0	0
Nepal	0	96,546	193,092	1,028,103	0	0	0
Uzbekistan	0	0	113,102	1,340,279	0	0	0

Low emission transport

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) M8.2 Vehicle fuel economy and energy source as a result of Fund support (IRMF) Supplementary 1.5 Improved low-emission vehicle fuel economy					
Please provide the total improved vehicle fuel economy and/or energy source, as a result of GCF support. Ensure to provide the unit in question M 2_A-3.					
Unit					Enter unit
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	11,259	23,896	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Infrastructure and built environment	0	0	1,000,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	0	470,000	0	0	0
Female	0	0	530,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Georgia	0	0	317,000	0	0	0
Kyrgyzstan	0	0	209,000	0	0	0
Nepal	0	0	474,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Infrastructure and built environment	0	0	2,700,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	1,296,000	1,296,000	0	0	0
Female	0	1,404,000	1,404,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Georgia	0	0	770,000	0	0	0
Kyrgyzstan	0	0	880,000	0	0	0
Nepal	0	0	1,050,000	0	0	0

Infrastructure and built environment

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A3.1 Number and value of physical assets made more resilient to climate variability and change, considering human benefits (reported where applicable) (IRMF) Core 3 Value of physical assets made more resilient to the effects of climate change and/or more able to reduce GHG emissions					
Provide the total estimated value (USD) of physical assets made more resilient to climate change impacts in the targeted geographic areas.					
Unit					United States dollars
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value <i>(automatically calculated)</i>
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	45,000,000	45,000,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A3.1 Number and value of physical assets made more resilient to climate variability and change, considering human benefits (reported where applicable) (IRMF) Core 3 Value of physical assets made more resilient to the effects of climate change and/or more able to reduce GHG emissions						
Provide the total estimated value (USD) of physical assets made more resilient to climate change impacts in the targeted geographic areas, disaggregated by country.						
Unit						United States dollars
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Georgia	0	0	15,000,000	0	0	0
Kyrgyzstan	0	0	15,000,000	0	0	0
Nepal	0	0	15,000,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A3.1 Number and value of physical assets made more resilient to climate variability and change, considering human benefits (reported where applicable) (IRMF) Core 3 Value of physical assets made more resilient to the effects of climate change and/or more able to reduce GHG emissions						
Provide the total estimated value (USD) of physical assets made more resilient to climate change impacts in the targeted geographic areas, disaggregated by asset type.						
Unit						United States dollars
Disaggregation by: Physical asset types (made more resilient)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Transport infrastructure	0	0	45,000,000	0	0	0

Optional Co-Benefit Indicators

No indicators/questions defined.

Total number of direct and indirect jobs (measured in full-time equivalents, female/male) created or maintained					
Provide the total number of direct jobs (measured in full-time equivalents, female/male) created or maintained.					
Unit					No. of Full-time equivalent jobs
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value <i>(automatically calculated)</i>
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	0	210	0	0	0

Total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms to support climate-resilient and low-emission development					
Provide the total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms.					
Baseline	Target		Unit	Annual value	No. of Items
	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value		Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
0	2	3	0	0	0

Project Specific Indicators							
Indicator	Unit	Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
			Mid-term	Final target			
Reduced amount of pollutants	Tonnes of NOx/SOx/PMs	0	690	756	0	0	0
E-bus fleets deployed	No. of Items	0	400	857	0	0	0
Trainings and capacity building events realized e-mobility roadmaps	No. of knowledge-sharing events/platforms	0	2	3	0	0	0
Institutional and regulatory systems that improve incentives for climate resilience and their effective implementation	No. of Policy Instruments	0	1	2	0	0	0
Electric buses are integrated with PT and NMT / micro-mobility measures	No. of Projects	0	2	4	0	0	0
Electrified urban mobility projects with climate resilience components	No. of Projects	0	3	3	0	0	0
Increase in number of PT users and number of NMT users on cycle lanes	No. of Persons	0	22,000	22,000	0	0	0

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
Component 4: Technical assistance and project structuring	10	224,159	9,920,000	2

C.2.1. Subprojects

Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).

Title	Currency	GCF Funds	Co-Financing	Country	GHG Emissions Reduced	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C.3. Compliance with FAA covenants

Yes ☒ No ☐

FFA complied

C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year

Yes ☐ No ☒

No change is expected in Schedule 3 (Implementation Arrangement). We proposed however to amend the FAA in relevant sections to include the possible use of Result Based Lending modality to finance subprojects.

D. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF's policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

Risk 1	Program implementation delays		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	The delays are caused by subproject unreadiness		
Consequences	Program extension		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 3 - Likely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 9 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	Accelerate project preparation with closer supervision by the program team.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 2	Project financing is involved in money laundering or terrorist financing activities.		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Money Laundering (ML) & Terrorist Financing (TF)		
Description	There is an insignificant risk that proceeds under this project are involved in money laundering and terrorist financing. These risks thrive where incentives meet weak oversight, particularly in economies with large informal sectors, weakly governed institutions, and inconsistently enforced regulations. Structural vulnerabilities in the financial system — such as opaque shell companies, complex cross-border transactions, and gaps in project, executing and/or implementing agency, or procurement due diligence — allow illicit funds to be layered and integrated with legitimate money (i.e., this project's proceeds).		

Consequences	Presence of money laundering and terrorist financing connections would result in immediate cessation of activities financed by this project, leading to severe regulatory penalties and fines, loss of banking and financial relationships and access, and severe reputational damage for all institutions and parties involved.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 4 - High	Severity 4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	ADB has strict mandatory due diligence procedures that includes a universal application of AML/CFT risk assessments for all operations and co-financing. The process is guided by our internal staff instructions on integrity due diligence for all operations and co-financing. In addition, ADB conducts proactive integrity reviews of operations on a regular basis to ensure compliance and mitigate any risks of AML/CFT		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 3	potential for FP to engage entities that may have been sanctioned by other actors		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Sanctions		
Description	There is an insignificant risk that the project may engage entities (executing, implementing, or through procurement) that are under sanctions by other actors for fraudulent practices, including corrupt, coercive, collusive or obstructive practices.		
Consequences	If the project was to unknowingly or knowingly engage sanctioned parties, then the FP would be in violation of ADB and GCF procedures and processes, resulting in immediate cessation of activities, need for corrective actions, and potentially more severe penalties depending on independent assessments. This would impede project delivery and eventual delivery of results.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	ADB verifies during due diligence, contracting, and implementation, as to whether an entity that will be or is engaged in the project is on our risk integrity portal or sanctions list. To ensure a consistent approach, MDBs, including ADB, have agreed on harmonized principles for sanctions and treatment of corporate groups. Potential instances that deem further investigation are reported directly to the ADB Office of Anti-Corruption and Integrity (OAI) that investigates such claims and recommends appropriate action. OAI also carries out proactive integrity reviews (PIRs) of ongoing operations to help prevent and detect such violations. PIRs assess project integrity risks by identifying any red flags through capacity assessments, inspection of project outputs and implementation, procurement and financial assessments. PIRs recommend measures to protect project resources. Follow-up reviews are conducted to assess the implementation progress of the PIR recommendations, and to assist the executing/implementing agencies and ADB in implementing any recommendations.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 4	Potential contractors or engaged agencies engage in fraudulent practices, including corrupt, coercive, collusive or obstructive practices.		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Fraud / Bribery & Corruption / Collusion and any other prohibited practice		
Description	The FP engages entities or the AE itself engages in corrupt, fraudulent, coercive, collusive and abusive practices. Reasons to engage in such behavior could be because of individual and corporate pressures or incentives to win contracts or to cover up mismanagement of resources or past behaviors or be in positions of undue influence. These behaviors are exacerbated by weak controls and governance processes.		

Consequences	While this is an insignificant risk in this FP, the discovery of such behavior could lead to (a) suspension of activities under this FP, (b) reputational damage that affects the AE, GCF, government, and private entities involved in delivering the FP, (c) mandatory internal investigations, remediation, and potential legal and/or regulatory proceedings leading to disbarment, loss of licenses to operate, or access to markets, capital facilities, and/or procurement processes, amongst any other consequences so recommended by internal investigations		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 4 - High	Severity 4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	ADB verifies during due diligence, contracting, and implementation, as to whether an entity that is engaged in the project is on our risk integrity portal or sanctions list. To ensure a consistent approach, MDBs, including ADB, have agreed on harmonized principles for debarment, sanctions and treatment of corporate groups. Potential instances that deem further investigation are reported directly to the ADB Office of Anti-Corruption and Integrity (OAI) that investigates such claims and recommends appropriate action. OAI also carries out proactive integrity reviews (PIRs) of ongoing operations to help prevent and detect such violations. PIRs assess project integrity risks by identifying any red flags through capacity assessments, inspection of project outputs and implementation, procurement and financial assessments. PIRs recommend measures to protect project resources. Follow-up reviews are conducted to assess the implementation progress of the PIR recommendations, and to assist the executing/implementing agencies and ADB in implementing any recommendations.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 5	Involved stakeholders fail to report and manage conflict of interest		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Conflict of interest		
Description	The FP engages entities or the AE itself fails to: (a) appropriately disclose; and (b) take reasonable measures to manage a conflict of interest which is any situation in which these parties have interests that could improperly influence their performance of official duties or responsibilities, contractual obligations, or compliance with applicable laws and regulations.		
Consequences	While this is an insignificant risk in this FP, the discovery of such behavior could lead to (a) suspension of activities under this FP, (b) reputational damage that affects the AE, GCF, government, and private entities involved in delivering the FP, (c) mandatory internal investigations, remediation, and potential legal and/or regulatory proceedings leading to disbarment, loss of licenses to operate, or access to markets, capital facilities, and/or procurement processes, amongst any other consequences so recommended by internal investigations		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	ADB verifies during due diligence, contracting, and implementation, as to whether an entity that is engaged in the project is on our risk integrity portal or sanctions list. To ensure a consistent approach, MDBs, including ADB, have agreed on harmonized principles for debarment, sanctions and treatment of corporate groups. Potential instances that deem further investigation are reported directly to the ADB Office of Anti-Corruption and Integrity (OAI) that investigates such claims and recommends appropriate action. OAI also carries out proactive integrity reviews (PIRs) of ongoing operations to help prevent and detect such violations. PIRs assess project integrity risks by identifying any red flags through capacity assessments, inspection of project outputs and implementation, procurement and financial assessments. PIRs recommend measures to protect project resources. Follow-up reviews are conducted to assess the implementation progress of the PIR recommendations, and to assist the executing/implementing agencies and ADB in implementing any recommendations		

Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	N/A		

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

(this section is confidential)

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Armenia	Grants	USD	4,410,000	712,121.21	50,106.56	50,106.56	100%	0%
Armenia	Loans	USD	20,000,000	0	0	0	100%	0%
Georgia	Grants	USD	12,670,000	843,434.34	59,346.07	59,346.07	50%	50%
Georgia	Loans	USD	36,000,000	0	0	0	50%	50%
Indonesia	Grants	USD	1,410,000	712,121.21	50,106.56	50,106.56	100%	0%
Indonesia	Loans	USD	65,000,000	0	0	0	100%	0%
Kazakhstan	Grants	USD	660,000	333,333.33	23,454.14	23,454.14	100%	0%
Kazakhstan	Loans	USD	10,000,000	0	0	0	100%	0%
Kyrgyzstan	Grants	USD	19,670,000	843,434.34	59,346.07	59,346.07	50%	50%
Kyrgyzstan	Loans	USD	10,000,000	0	0	0	50%	50%
Nepal	Grants	USD	21,670,000	843,434.34	59,346.07	59,346.07	50%	50%
Nepal	Loans	USD	15,000,000	0	0	0	50%	50%
Uzbekistan	Grants	USD	4,410,000	712,121.21	50,106.56	50,106.56	100%	0%
Uzbekistan	Loans	USD	25,000,000	0	0	0	100%	0%
Total		USD	245,900,000	4,999,999.98	351,812.03	351,812.03	N/A	N/A

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-financier	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
ADB	Grants	USD	20,480,000	0	0	0	78%	22%
ADB	Loans	USD	240,000,000	0	0	0	78%	22%
Armenia	In-kind	USD	3,000,000	0	0	0	0%	100%
Georgia	In-kind	USD	5,000,000	0	0	0	0%	100%
Indonesia	In-kind	USD	5,000,000	0	0	0	0%	100%
Kyrgyzstan	In-kind	USD	3,000,000	0	0	0	0%	100%
Nepal	In-kind	USD	5,000,000	0	0	0	0%	100%
Uzbekistan	In-kind	USD	3,000,000	0	0	0	0%	100%
Total		USD	284,480,000	0	0	0	N/A	N/A

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF Policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

No additional finance mobilized information provided.

File Name: Report on AE Fee FP225 FY2025.pdf

File Name: 2025 APR grant-financial-progress-detail-FP225.xlsx

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

Key Environmental and Social Changes

Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates

Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Schedule 9 FAA: Sub-Projects shall have applied the Accredited Entity's Safeguard Policy Statement 2009 *for concepts approved on or before 31 December 2025 or the Environmental and Social Framework 2024 as amended to date for concepts approved on or after 1 January 2026*, and the Program's environmental and social management framework.

F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.

Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?

Yes ☐ No ☒

F.3. ESS standards implementation progress

Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If you tick 'Yes', please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring

Outputs during the reporting period	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
Remarks/Notes	
F.4. Sub-projects information	
Have any subprojects been implemented?	Yes ☐ No ☒
N/A	N/A

F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan	
Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Activities implemented during the reporting period	
All program countries: safeguard and gender general consultation during Regional Meeting and Workshop on Unlocking Finance for Scaling Up E-Mobility in Asia and the Pacific Armenia subproject: two public consultations were held, the safeguard and gender consultation has not started yet.	

Indonesia subproject: stakeholder consultation during project fact-finding mission, safeguard due diligence, and gender consultations Nepal: Consultation mission with the government stakeholders
Dates and venues of engagement activities
All program countries: Bangkok, 1-4 December 2025 Armenia subproject: First public consultation was on 20 February 2026, Yerevan Municipality Building. Second public consultation was in March 2026 Indonesia subproject: fact-finding mission 8-15 September 2025, safeguard due diligence: April and June 2025 at 3 DAMRI depots where the subproject will be implemented. Gender consultation: 15 July, 7 August, and 22 August Nepal: 18-28 November (Physical) & 7 December (Virtual) 2025
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male + female = total)
All program countries: 29 participants (8 female, 21 male) Armenia subproject: First consultation: 30 participants (7 female, 23 male) - government officials, NGOs, experts. Second consultation: 8 participants (2 female, 6 male) Indonesia subproject: fact-finding mission: 30 participants (6 female, 24 male), safeguard: 6 persons (4 male, 2 female). Gender: 16 participants (8 male and 8 female) Nepal: 17 participants (1 female and 16 male)
Information shared with stakeholders
All program countries: safeguard due diligence and documentation requirements, gender perspective for the program Armenia subproject: Project Introduction and the objectives – brief overview of the project and its components. Initial Findings and review of challenges of existing public transportation in relation of the findings. Government officials, NGOs, and experts attended the consultations. Indonesia subproject. Project design, cost, financing, safeguard, gender sensitive designs. The project plan and potential impacts, discussion on AP's perception/opinion on the project plan and proposed mitigation measure to address the project/program impacts. No NGOs are invited – the subproject is within the borrower's asset. Nepal: Gandaki Pradesh Public Transport Authority establishment plan, Yatayat SPV setup plan, route rationalization and consolidation of bus operations plan, e-bus infrastructure Facilities, project financing plan, Intelligent Transport System components, and capacity development and institutional support activities
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
None Indonesia subproject. The AHs support the project, yet they have concerns on their business activities to be affected and will be no longer able to stay at DAMRI premises. The upgrading of the depots will be conducted in the existing depots areas. No additional land is required for the program activities. A total of 3.68 Ha of land has been available for the program activities. The impact on IR is not significant, only 2 HHs (9 APs) to be affected by the program activities.
Remarks/Notes
None.

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned	
Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period	
Safeguard due diligence for subprojects under preparation.	
Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities	
Subprojects are under preparation.	
F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances	
<i>Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:</i>	
Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project	
☒ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms. ☐ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above	
Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
No grievances	
F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan	
The activities agreed in the GAP remains valid. No change in the activities and indicators and their targets. The implementation however is delayed and therefore the GAP activity's years are updated accordingly.	
Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?	Yes ☐ No ☒

Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words)

Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.

GAP Activity	
Usage of a gender lens when designing the roadmap for different EV segments and EV support policies	
Indicator	
100% of policies and roadmaps supported by the Program are reviewed with gender lens	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	11,625
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
None	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Usage of a gender lens when designing the roadmap for different EV segments and EV support policies	
Indicator	
At least one Gender focal point will be directly involved in preparing investment plans appointed in each of the implementing agencies responsible for investment project	

Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	11,625
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team led with support of gender consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
Increased awareness about gender equality gaps and opportunities in EV sector	
Indicator	
At least 30% of members of the exchange platform attending trainings and capacity building activities on EV are women	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	30
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	14,250
Currency	USD

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team led with support of gender consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
Increased awareness about gender equality gaps and opportunities in EV sector	
Indicator	
Female staff of Implementing agencies regularly join knowledge sharing events organized on women in e-mobility to share and exchange experiences, promote mentorship and produce knowledge	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	14,250
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team led with support of gender consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
To increase knowledge on how to include gender equality in Urban Transport projects via capacity building online	
Indicator	

Online module of training on Gender and Urban Transport developed and launched	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	14,250
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team led with support of gender consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
To increase knowledge on how to include gender equality in Urban Transport projects via capacity building online	
Indicator	
At least one staff of implementing agencies attend the online training module on gender and urban transport	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	14,250

Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team led with support of gender consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
Gender equality is included in the investment plans	
Indicator	
All (100%) of projects supported by the program categorized as GEN/EGM with a GAP which contains specific and measurable gender targets and activities	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	19,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
Project owners with the help of gender consultant	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
Improved sex-disaggregated data collection on urban transport and monitoring the experience of women in the new technology vehicles (buses and taxis).	

Design, implement and analyze a comprehensive multimodal transport pilot survey (as relevant to the project scope) that gathers information about users, destinations, waiting time, means of transportation, affordability, private transportation use, etc. All information should be disaggregated by sex and presented to show differences between men and women and intersectionality of different population groups	
Indicator	
Endline user satisfaction survey conducted, for each investment project, with results disaggregated by sex, shared on the platform	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	4
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	38,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team support of gender consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
Conduct a gender-sensitive evaluation or survey (and its recommendations) at the user level to better understand the different needs and perspectives of women and men in terms of access to services and infrastructure. It will include a violence against women component.	
Indicator	
Baseline user survey conducted to better understand the different needs and perspectives of women and men, for each investment project, with results disaggregated by sex	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	4
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	9,500
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team with support of gender consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
Conduct a gender-sensitive evaluation or survey (and its recommendations) at the user level to better understand the different needs and perspectives of women and men in terms of access to services and infrastructure. It will include a violence against women component.	
Indicator	
Number of presentations/workshops held (webinars, face-to-face events) in which results and recommendations were presented	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	2
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	9,500
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029

Responsibility	
TA team with support of gender consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Conduct a gender-sensitive evaluation or survey (and its recommendations) at the user level to better understand the different needs and perspectives of women and men in terms of access to services and infrastructure. It will include a violence against women component.	
Indicator	
Published studies with respective recommendations based on the implemented survey	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	9,500
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team with support of gender consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Conduct a gender-sensitive evaluation or survey (and its recommendations) at the user level to better understand the different needs and perspectives of women and men in terms of access to services and infrastructure. It will include a violence against women component	
Indicator	

At least 80% of training participants report on improved knowledge and skills in designing or operating transport systems	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	80
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	9,500
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team with support of gender consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
Improved women's access to jobs	
Indicator	
At least 210 women ((seeking to obtain e-buses, e-taxis and e-vans licenses) benefit from financial support to attend professional driving programs	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	210
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	

Budget	15,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
ADB Gender team with support of consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Improved women's access to jobs	
Indicator	
At least 4 investment projects include gender target for at least 35% of skilled jobs related directly to the project to be allocated to women	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	4
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	15,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
ADB Gender team with support of consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Delivery of communication campaigns about sexual harassment in public transport	
Indicator	
At least 4 awareness raising campaigns about sexual harassment in public transportation conducted	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	4
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	29,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team with support from External consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Raise awareness on SEAH (sexual exploitation abuse and harassment) in public transport through capacity building	
Indicator	
At least 7 Workshops on SEAH in the transport sector delivered, including content about protocols and referrals for survivors	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	7
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	6,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team led by ADB with support from External consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Raise awareness on SEAH (sexual exploitation abuse and harassment) in public transport through capacity building	
Indicator	
All investment projects with staff (drivers, fleet managers, managers) trained in the protocols to follow when responding to incidents of sexual harassment	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	7
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	6,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team led by ADB with support from External consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Raise awareness on SEAH (sexual exploitation abuse and harassment) in public transport through capacity building	
Indicator	
% of investment projects with communication campaigns aiming at preventing SEAH in areas of boarding	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	6,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team led by ADB with support from External consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Raise awareness on SEAH (sexual exploitation abuse and harassment) in public transport through capacity building	
Indicator	
% of investment projects with reporting systems available for survivors of sexual exploitation abuse and harassment	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	7
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	

None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	6,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team led by ADB with support from External consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Promotion of gender equality at the work place	
Indicator	
Capacity building for the staff of implementing agencies on gender equality and work-life balance issues via workshop	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	4
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	7,250
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team with support from External consultants	
Remarks/Notes	

None	
GAP Activity	
Promotion of gender equality at the work place	
Indicator	
At least 2 implementing agencies develop policies to address work-life balance and/or anti sexual harassment policies	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
None	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
None	
Budget	7,250
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	5 November 2029
Responsibility	
TA team with support from External consultants	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review	
Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.	Yes ☒ No ☐
The GAP implementation plan for 2025 was not achieved. A 2026 GAP implementation plan is submitted.	
Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the	Yes ☒ No ☐

reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	
Yes	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>Delays in subproject approval and implementation.</i>	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>Yes mainly on the implementation years, attached to this report.</i>	
Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>The program has just started, no subproject under implementation</i>	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☐ No ☒
No	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>Subprojects are under preparation</i>	
Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period	

Recruitment of a gender consultant under the program, baseline surveys, workshop.

G. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
File Name: Guidelines.docx File Name: Monitoring Sheet.xlsx
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
No annexes provided.
Annex 3: List of grievances received
No annexes provided.
Annex 4: Procurement information
File Name: 18 Mar E-Mobility Program Procurement - APR.xlsx
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year
File Name: Updated Schedule APR 2025.xlsx
Annex 6: Updated Gender Assessment and/or Action Plan
File Name: Updated GAP - APR 2025.docx
Additional Annexes (if applicable)
No annexes provided.

