
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title: CRAFT - Catalytic Capital for First Private Investment Fund
for Adaptation Technologies in Developing Countries (FP181)

Accredited Entity: Pegasus Capital Advisors (PCA)

Date of Report submission: 17 April 2026

Version number V1.0



Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs). Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)				
Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.				
A.1. Date of report submission		17 April 2026		
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Private	
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)				
	GCF Financing		Mill. USD 100.0	
	Equity		Mill. USD 100.0	
	Co-Financing		Mill. USD 300.0	
	Equity		Mill. USD 300.0	
Total Financing		Mill. USD 400.0		
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	NA (draft)			
A.6. Implementation period	From NA to 24 December 2029			
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to GCF revised <u>Environmental and Social Policy</u> and GCF ESS Standards to assess your FP category.)			Intermediation 2	
A.8. Executing Entity Information				
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)
Lemanik Asset Management SA	Luxembourg	-	-	-
GCF CRAFT Holdings GP LLC a Delaware limited liability company	United States	-	-	-
Lightsmith Climate Resilience Partners SCSp RAIF	Luxembourg	-	-	-
Lightsmith Climate Resilience GP Sà rl	Luxembourg	-	-	-
Lightsmith Climate Resilience Management LLC	United States	-	-	-
Holdings LP	United States	-	-	-

A.9. Co-Financier information				
Full legal name	Country of registration <i>(or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)</i>	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)
Junior Investors	United States	-	-	-
Development Finance Institution	United States	-	-	-
Senior Investors	United States	-	-	-

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP <i>(NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)</i>				
Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project. Beneficial owner(s)
Brazil	1 November 2024	economy-wide target of reducing net GHG emissions between 59 to 67 percent below 2005 levels in 2035.	The Company is an agricultural technology company that has developed a suite of tech-enabled hardware, IoT, and SaaS products for monitoring and optimizing on-farm operations to reduce costs, GHG emissions, and environmental impacts, and to improve yields and farmer resilience.	0%

A.11. Estimated duration of impact	
A.12 Report to be shared with NDA	Yes ☐ No ☐

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

The initial Fund target was \$400 million, including a \$100 million commitment from the Green Climate Fund (GCF). Final close (January 27, 2022) raised \$185,290,635.15, including \$45,322,658.78 from GCF. The AE and Lightsmith consider a total fund size of nearly \$200 million to be a strong outcome given CRAFT’s differentiated investment strategy and Lightsmith being a first-time fund manager. Due to the smaller fund size, the Fund will make fewer investments and deploy less capital than initially planned, leading to a proportional reduction in expected benefits. Quantitative impact targets, such as the number of direct beneficiaries, are expected to be reduced on a pro rata basis—approximately 46% relative to the original projections.

For each investment, the Fund identifies (i) climate-related physical risks assessed and managed, including a strategy for reducing climate vulnerabilities; and (ii) additional environmental, social, and economic benefits, such as GHG emissions reductions and contributions to relevant SDGs.

GCF-supported companies under Sub-Fund 4 include:

- SOURCE Global, PBC: Investment to support deployment of over 1,500 solar-powered hydropanels in water-scarce regions of Mexico, Brazil, and South Africa. These off-grid systems generate up to five liters of safe, mineralized drinking water per day from ambient air.
- Cadmus: is a U.S.-based sustainability consultancy. The investment supported its expansion as a provider of program management and advisory services across climate, energy, biodiversity, and environmental compliance.
- Solinftec: An agricultural technology company offering IoT- and SaaS-based solutions that optimize on-farm operations, reduce emissions, and improve yields, generating cost savings of approximately 15% for farmers.
- Beep (April 2024): A Brazilian healthcare technology company providing at-home diagnostic testing and vaccination services, including for climate-sensitive diseases (e.g. dengue and malaria).
- Parsyl (December 2024): A data-driven specialty insurer enhancing global supply chain resilience by offering climate-informed marine cargo insurance and risk insights.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. 1,500 words)

This year, the portfolio companies supported 767,032 direct individual beneficiaries, including 738,372 in emerging markets. In 2025, the portfolio companies created 1,013 new jobs, 718 of which were in emerging markets and 295 in developed markets.

- Beep provided vaccines and diagnostic services to 727,574 individuals in Brazil including 373,739 women and 623,210 youth. Beep created 566 jobs in Brazil. Beep currently employs 1,162 full-time employees, of which 659 are women across nine locations in three Brazilian States: Rio de Janeiro, Sao Paulo, and Distrito Federal. In 2025, Beep provided vaccines and diagnostic tests for 727,574 individuals impacted by climate-linked diseases in Brazil. Of those, 373,739 were female and 623,210 were youth (defined as <25 years). Beep has switched its vehicle fleet's fuel to ethanol, reducing greenhouse gas emissions
- Cadmus provided resilience focused training to 10,103 individuals, 4,238 of which are from emerging markets, and enhanced the capacity of 3,292 organizations, including 481 in emerging markets. Cadmus created 306 new jobs (44 of which were in emerging markets). Cadmus supported 194 organizations (including 22 in emerging markets) to expand their capacity to assess the resilience of their assets. Through advisory services and data, Cadmus strengthens resilience and adaptive capacity of 1,037 organizations, including 131 in emerging markets. Cadmus supported the capacity of 1,127 organizations to respond to the impacts of climate change (197 in emerging markets). Individual Capacity Building: Improved education, awareness-raising, and human and institutional capacity on climate change mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction, and early warning by training 10,103 individuals, including 4,238 in emerging markets. Supported 14 organizations in establishing and achieving greenhouse gas emissions reduction goals, emissions inventories, and setting science-based emissions targets to support the implementation of emissions reduction strategies for the transition to a low-carbon economy.
- SOURCE Global provided water to 29,355 individuals, including 6,560 based in emerging markets around the world. In 2025, SOURCE Global installed 986 new hydropanels including in Zambia for the first time. In 2025, 2,580 total individuals were served, including 1,060 through residential panels, and 1,520 individuals have benefited from commercial installations. In 2025, the Company reported production of 5,813,788 liters of clean, renewably powered drinking water from the 15,166 installed hydropanels of which 2,393 were in emerging markets.
- Solinftec served 460 farming organizations, including 455 in emerging markets. Solinftec created 30 jobs in emerging markets. Solinftec helps farmers reduce their GHG impacts, primarily through fuel reductions and reduced application of ammonia-based fertilizer and of crop protection chemicals. At the farm level, fuel savings occur through route and operations optimization, resulting in less distance traveled and less vehicle idling. On average, in 2025, customers saved an average of 24.4 liters saved per hectare. Since the Fund's investment, Solinftec has produced 842,968.19 tCO₂eq of GHG emissions coming from a reduction in idle engine hours (327,313.16 tCO₂eq), displacement hours (150,537.72 tCO₂eq), and energy efficiency (365,117.31 tCO₂eq) which is validated by Control Union.
- Parsyl currently supports climate-related risk transfer for 125 organizations, including 8 in an emerging market. Parsyl created 14 new jobs in developed markets. Parsyl supports 125 customers and \$145.7 billion worth of goods from the United States, Canada, Chile, United Kingdom, Virgin Islands, Slovenia, the Netherlands, and Taiwan as well as emerging markets such as the Dominican Republic, Colombia, Argentina, Mexico, and Peru by more accurately assessing and pricing risks including the growing risks associated with climate change.
- AiDash supports 100 organizations, including 3 in emerging markets and indirectly supports 35 million people by enhancing the resilience of critical infrastructure services. AiDash created 97 new jobs, including 78 in India. In 2025, AiDash enhanced the resilience of 805,098 miles of utility lines and 100 organizations, including in El Salvador, Jamaica and Turkey. In 2025, through its services to utilities, AiDash enhanced the resilience of energy and infrastructure services for 35 million end customers as indirect beneficiaries.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The "cumulative value" will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:



- Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.*

Should the project be Multicounty, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.

Should any prefilled information/data in the Logical Framework be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

PMF/IRMF Results Management Framework

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.
--

Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Livelihoods of people and communities	0	0	0	0	35,000,000	35,000,000

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Female	0	0	0	0	17,250,000	17,250,000
Male	0	0	0	0	17,250,000	17,250,000

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Brazil	0	0	0	0	35,000,000	35,000,000

Livelihoods of people and communities

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.) (IRMF) Supplementary 2.1 Beneficiaries (female/male) adopting improved and/or new climate-resilient livelihood options						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) adopting diversified or strengthened climate-resilient livelihood strategies (including agriculture, fisheries, natural resource-based, and informal livelihoods), disaggregated by gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	429,336	15,804,880	490,745	383,516	874,261
Female	0	429,336	15,804,880	0	383,516	383,516

(PMF) A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.) (IRMF) Supplementary 2.1 Beneficiaries (female/male) adopting improved and/or new climate-resilient livelihood options						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) adopting diversified or strengthened climate-resilient livelihood strategies (including agriculture, fisheries, natural resource-based, and informal livelihoods), disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Enter unit
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Brazil	0	0	0	0	767,032	767,032

(PMF) A8.1 Number of males and females made aware of climate threats and related appropriate responses						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) made aware of climate threats and related appropriate responses, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	7,169,237	10,139,733	0	0	0
Female	0	7,169,237	10,139,733	0	0	0

Optional Co-Benefit Indicators

No indicators/questions defined.

Total number of institutions or decision-makers, including local actors, using climate information products or services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors				
Provide the total number of institutions or decision-makers, including local actors.				
Unit				No. of Actors
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value
	Mid-term	Final target		
0	493	1,010		

Project Specific Indicators							
Indicator	Unit	Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
			Mid-term	Final target			
6.1 Use of climate information products/services in decisionmaking in climate sensitive sectors	No. of Entities	0	493	1,010	0	0	0
8.1 – number of males and females made aware of climate threats and related appropriate responses	No. of Persons	0	1,024,176	14,485,332	0	0	0
A6.1 Use of climate information products/services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors	No. of Institutions	0	493	1,010	600	0	600

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C.2.1. Subprojects

Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).

Title	Currency	GCF Funds	Co-Financing	Country	GHG Emissions Reduced	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C.3. Compliance with FAA covenants

Yes ☒ No ☐

None

C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year

Yes ☐ No ☒

No changes

D. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF's policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

Risk 1	Money Laundering		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Money Laundering (ML) & Terrorist Financing (TF)		
Description	There is a risk of funds being misappropriated if strict financial controls are not implemented and monitored.		
Consequences	Loss of funding, support of nefarious activities		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	3 - Medium	6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	Implementation of strict financial control measures/processes. Financial controllers are to be screened as credible and suitable trained.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 2	Sanctions - through non-compliance		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Sanctions		
Description	Risk of sanctions against the company through non-compliant activities		
Consequences	Risk of sub-projects being sanctioned due to non-compliant activities		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	5 - Catastrophic	10 - Medium High

Mitigation Measure(s)	Implementation of a strong set of operating procedures for all activities. Training and monitoring of compliance.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Comments	N/A		
Risk 3	Business Integrity (ABC, AML, CG)		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Fraud / Bribery & Corruption / Collusion and any other prohibited practice		
Description	Risks associated with bribery, corruption and money laundering within business activities.		
Consequences	Reputation impact, sanctions, loss of revenue		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	4 - High	8 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	Adoption and implementation of a strong set of business operating procedures focused on compliance training and monitoring. Audit.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 4	Col		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Conflict of interest		
Description	Conflict of interest between operating individuals, entities and sub-projects operations.		
Consequences	Manipulation of decision making procedures for personal/organisational benefit or gain.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	4 - High	8 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	Adoption and implementation of strict integrity based operating procedures, supported by training, monitoring and audit. Declaration process required.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Comments	N/A		

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

(this section is confidential)

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Brazil	Equity	USD	18,834,571	18,834,571	7,503,906	18,834,571	0%	100%
Total		USD	18,834,571	18,834,571	7,503,906	18,834,571	N/A	N/A

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-financier	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
SF1-3	Equity	USD	62,798,361	62,798,361	19,503,610	62,798,361	0%	100%
Other	Equity	USD	267,891,898	267,891,898	1,592,170	267,891,898	0%	100%
Total		USD	330,690,259	330,690,259	21,095,780	330,690,259	N/A	N/A

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF Policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

No additional finance mobilized information provided.

File Name: annual-performance-report-financial-information-section-3_FY 2025.xlsx

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

Key Environmental and Social Changes

Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates

Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.

Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?

Yes ☐ No ☒

All sub-projects are in full compliance with local laws as well as with all GCF safeguards, as passed down through legals.

F.3. ESS standards implementation progress

Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If you tick 'Yes', please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring

Outputs during the reporting period	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
Remarks/Notes	
F.4. Sub-projects information	
Have any subprojects been implemented?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Title of the sub-project	Source Global
ESS management plan/instrument in place	
A comprehensive Environment and Social Action Plan was implemented to align corporate practices with international best practice.	
Stakeholder engagement plan in place	
Effective stakeholder engagement and a system for addressing potential grievances were identified as necessary and included in the ESAP.	
Activities/engagement conducted with Indigenous peoples	
None required.	

Title of the sub-project	Source Global
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during this reporting period	
SOURCE Global was classified as Category B due to environmental and social risks related to the product's manufacturing, distribution, and installation. Key concerns include the need for an E&S management system, occupational health and safety, and community health and safety regarding water quality.	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
There were no ongoing environmental or social issues or areas of non-compliance during the reporting period.	
Title of the sub-project	Solinftec
ESS management plan/instrument in place	
Solinftec was classified as a Category C (low Environment and Social risk) as assessed against the IFC Performance Standards and the World Bank's Environmental, Health and Safety Guidelines, as activities involving the development and sale of electronic devices and software to the agriculture sector are site-specific and readily mitigated. Solinftec has completed the Environmental and Social Action Plan required to fully bring its operations in compliance with international best practice.	
Stakeholder engagement plan in place	
Effective stakeholder engagement and a system for addressing potential grievances were identified as necessary and included in the ESAP.	
Activities/engagement conducted with Indigenous peoples	
None required.	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during this reporting period	
During the reporting period, three employees were involved accidents (2 auto-related and 1 fall), but all have made a full recovery. Solinftec appears to be following all Environmental and Social requirements including prohibitions against the use of forced or child labor, and no material environmental or social issues were reported.	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
There were no ongoing environmental or social issues or areas of non-compliance during the reporting period.	
Title of the sub-project	Cadmus
ESS management plan/instrument in place	
Cadmus Group was classified as a Category C (low Environment and Social risk) as assessed against the IFC Performance Standards due to the low E&S risk associated with strategic and technical consultancy and professional services and appropriate policies and procedures to manage its risk. No action plan was needed.	
Stakeholder engagement plan in place	
Effective stakeholder engagement and a system for addressing potential grievances were identified as necessary and included in the ESAP. Each year the Cadmus Group distributes a report assessing its sustainability and resilience objectives and goals in	

Title of the sub-project	Source Global
accordance with Global Reporting Initiative standards to share information with key stakeholders, including clients and staff.	
Activities/engagement conducted with Indigenous peoples	
None required.	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during this reporting period	
Cadmus Group prioritizes environmental and social management and undergoes a voluntary 3rd party ESG audit which addresses its internal sustainability and resilience, emergency preparedness, GHG inventories, membership in the United Nations Global Compact, and the CEO's Call to Action. Currently, there are no outstanding labor-related grievances or litigation.	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
There were no ongoing environmental or social issues or areas of non-compliance during the reporting period.	
Title of the sub-project	Beep
ESS management plan/instrument in place	
Beep's activities have been screened against the Lightsmith Exclusion List and deemed eligible for investment. Beep has been screened as a Category B as assessed against the IFC Performance Standards.	
Prior to investment, an E&S assessment against Brazilian law and the IFC Performance Standards was conducted by a third-party. While Beep Saúde has programs and procedures to identify and manage some of its environmental and occupational safety risks and presented all the required permits and licenses to operate, the Company lacked a full Environmental and Social Management System. Gaps were identified in areas related to health and safety regulations, employees' quotas required by Brazilian law, emergency plans/procedures, service providers / supply chain and employee training on how to deal with harassment. The Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) provides a list of actions to align the Company with IFC Performance Standards, local legislation and to enhance its E&S performance through specific deliverables which are prioritized with timelines. Management has dedicated senior staff, budget and appropriate resources to implement the ESAP.	
Stakeholder engagement plan in place	
Effective stakeholder engagement and a system for addressing potential grievances were identified as necessary and included in the ESAP.	
Activities/engagement conducted with Indigenous peoples	
None required.	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during this reporting period	
The primary environmental and social issues associated with the Company are the management and disposal of wastes (including medical waste); the need for adequate health and safety measures to protect both the public and clinical staff from communicable disease; labor management; and proper governance of quality of patient care.	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
There were no ongoing environmental or social issues or areas of non-compliance during the reporting period.	
Title of the sub-project	Parsyl
ESS management plan/instrument in place	

Title of the sub-project	Source Global
Parsyl which has offices in the U.S. and U.K. was screened as Category C as assessed against the IFC Performance Standards. The Company provides insurance products to clients in the supply chain which has minimal environmental and social impacts. An Environmental and Social Action Plan was not required as the Company had already implemented appropriate policies and procedures to manage its risk.	
Stakeholder engagement plan in place	
Effective stakeholder engagement and a system for addressing potential grievances were identified as necessary and included in the ESAP.	
Activities/engagement conducted with Indigenous peoples	
None required.	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during this reporting period	
Currently, there are no outstanding labor-related grievances or litigation. Parsyl's employees received 477 hours of training in 2025 on issues such as AI, Anti-Sexual Harassment, and company-specific material through Parsyl U. The Company considers gender when building a culture of equity and inclusion and ensures that these principles are incorporated into the hiring and onboarding processes.	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
There were no ongoing environmental or social issues or areas of non-compliance during the reporting period.	

F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan	
Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?	Yes ☐ No ☒

No stakeholder engagement activities have been undertaken during the reporting period.

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned	
Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period	
Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities	
None	

F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances	
Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:	
Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project	
☒ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms.	
☐ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above	
Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
None	
F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan	
CRAFT has developed an internal stakeholder engagement tracker that records the date, event, description, program, engagement type, participant type, total number of participants, number of women participants, and any gender related issues that were discussed. Additionally, each new employee (including all current employees) receives training on gender including how CRAFT tracks and reports data from its portfolio companies from the Director of Sustainability and Impact. Impact metrics related to job creation and the number of women benefitting from climate resilient products or services are currently tracked and reported. The Fund has appointed 2 women as part of its leadership and governance on the Limited Partner Advisory Committee which currently represents 33% of the total members (6 individuals). Of the five individuals on the Strategic Advisory Board, one is a woman. This year, Lightsmith engaged with stakeholders interested in potential partnerships for climate adaptation investment in Brazil including development banks and private capital investors. Lightsmith participated in meetings and engagements with stakeholders as a part of COP31 in Belém, engaging with policymakers, corporates, financial institutions, and climate resilience solutions.	
Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words)</i> Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.	
GAP Activity	
Fund Governance - Women in leadership roles	
Indicator	
Percentage of women in Fund governance roles	

Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	50
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
33% of women in the LPAC; 20% of women in Strategic Advisory	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
33% of women in the LPAC; 20% of women in Strategic Advisory	
Budget	0
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2025
Responsibility	
GP management	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
Knowledge generation and communication	
Indicator	
CRAFT will ensure equitable gender participation through the inclusion of women in public stakeholder meetings and events such as webinars and other convenings hosted by The Lightsmith Group that discuss practical approaches to adaptation and resilience investment	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
46%	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
46%	
Budget	0

Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2025
Responsibility	
GP management	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Capacity Development	
Indicator	
Ensure that gender is operationalized in the investment process by strengthening staff capacity	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
100%	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
100%	
Budget	0
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2025
Responsibility	
GP management	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Country Level Stakeholder Engagement	

Indicator	
Stakeholder Engagement informs portfolio company level gender assessments and identify opportunities to align the investment with gender-related priorities at the country level.	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
Brazil	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
Brazil	
Budget	0
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2025
Responsibility	
GP management, gender lead	
Remarks/Notes	
None	
GAP Activity	
Invest in Portfolio Companies with Women Leadership and Governance	
Indicator	
CRAFT will evaluate, and track women owned and led companies in the portfolio and require companies to report on gender-related actions or considerations taken	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
75%	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	

75%	
Budget	0
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2025
Responsibility	
Investment teams, gender lead	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Create income-generating opportunities for women	
Indicator	
CRAFT will evaluate, and track and report number of jobs created for women within Portfolio Company	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	10000
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
1,519 jobs created	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
1,591	
Budget	0
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2025
Responsibility	
Investment team, PC management, gender leads	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Increased Usage by Women of Resilient Products and / or Services	
Indicator	
Identify opportunities to extend physical products and services to climate- vulnerable women to better manage climate risks and impacts: CRAFT will evaluate, and track women user-beneficiaries	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	500000
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
373,739	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
373,379	
Budget	0
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2025
Responsibility	
GP teams	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Women Impact Monitoring and Evaluation framework	
Indicator	
Develop a Monitoring and Evaluation framework that provides granularity on the impact of women and girls	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
100%, complete	

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
100%, complete	
Budget	0
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2025
Responsibility	
Gender lead	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

GAP Activity	
Gender Safeguarding in Portfolio Companies	
Indicator	
Include protections to ensure that the employment relationship is based on the principle of equal opportunity and fair treatment and will not discriminate with respect to any aspect of the employment relationship	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
100%, complete	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
100%, complete	
Budget	0
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2025
Responsibility	
Gender lead	
Remarks/Notes	
None	

F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review	
Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.	Yes ☒ No ☐
None	
Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	Yes ☒ No ☐
None	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
None	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ No ☒
None	
Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☐ No ☒
None	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☐ No ☒

None	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	Yes ☐ No ☒
None	
Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period	
<i>Continue the implementation of gender tracking and reporting according to the Gender Action Plan.</i>	

G. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
No annexes provided.
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
No annexes provided.
Annex 3: List of grievances received
No annexes provided.
Annex 4: Procurement information
No annexes provided.
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year
No annexes provided.
Annex 6: Updated Gender Assessment and/or Action Plan
No annexes provided.
Additional Annexes (if applicable)
File Name: Annual ESG and Impact Report 033126vF.pdf

