
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title: Pacific Resilience Project Phase II for RMI (FP066)

Accredited Entity: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development and
International Development Association (WorldBank)

Date of Report submission: 16 April 2026

Version number V1.0



Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs). Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)

Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.

A.1. Date of report submission		16 April 2026												
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Public											
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>GCF Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 25.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. USD 25.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Co-Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 34.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. USD 34.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 59.9</td> </tr> </table>				GCF Financing	Mill. USD 25.0	Grants	Mill. USD 25.0	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 34.9	Grants	Mill. USD 34.9	Total Financing	Mill. USD 59.9
	GCF Financing	Mill. USD 25.0												
	Grants	Mill. USD 25.0												
	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 34.9												
	Grants	Mill. USD 34.9												
Total Financing	Mill. USD 59.9													
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	NA (draft)													
A.6. Implementation period	From NA to 13 February 2024													
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to GCF revised <u>Environmental and Social Policy</u> and GCF ESS Standards to assess your FP category.)			Category B											
A.8. Executing Entity Information														
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)										
Ministry of Finance, Banking and Postal Services	Marshall Islands	Public	Project Management Agency	Malie Tarbwillin (mtarbwillin@gmail.com)										
Ministry of Public Work, Infrastructure and Utilities	Marshall Islands	Public	Project Implementation Agency	Walter Myazoe (wmyazoe@gmail.com)										

A.9. Co-Financier information

Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)
IDA	Marshall Islands	Malie Tarbwillin (mtarbwillin@gmail.com)	Public	Ministry of Finance, Banking and Postal Services

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP <i>(NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)</i>				
Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project.Beneficial owner(s)
Marshall Islands	9 December 2023	To mitigate climate risks (sea-level rise, drought, storms), strengthen infrastructure, and secure sustainable funding through 2030	PREP II provided funding for developing the RMI's NAP and financed critical infrastructure for early warning and coastal protection that are closely aligned with NAP of RMI.	24%

A.11. Estimated duration of impact	
A.12 Report to be shared with NDA	Yes ☐ No ☒

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

Notable progress has been made since Jan 2025. The EC network now provides full population coverage across RMI’s 24 atolls through 71 installations; The EC network demonstrated its operation during the July 2025 tsunami watch by enabling timely communications from Ebeye to outer islands.

The seawall contract continues to progress well. On November 11, 2025, the GoRMI was notified by the contractor and the Engineer to the Contract (Engineer) of a rock shortfall based on the contractor’s latest rock stockpile survey. On December 22, 2025, GoRMI made the timely decision to instruct the contractor to order additional rock to ensure seawall completion by project closing date of June 30, 2026. To date, 1.603 km (88 percent) of rock wall has been completed out of a total 1.823 km. All seven pedestrian access stairs, two access ramps, and seven drainage pipe enveloper sleeves have been installed and are substantially completed.

Project management is functioning effectively with strong support from OCS, MOFBPS, and Ebeye Kwajalein Atoll Development Authority (KADA). The civil engineering adviser has assumed the role of project manager, with responsibilities shared among two other members of the PIU. A local communication specialist and a government completion report author have been recruited to provide support to closing the project.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. 1,500 words)

The EC network now provides full population coverage across RMI's 24 atolls through 71 installations. The seawall contract continues to progress. To date, 1.603 km (88 percent) of rock wall has been completed out of a total 1.823 km. All seven pedestrian access stairs, two access ramps, and seven drainage pipe enveloper sleeves have been installed and are substantially complete.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The "cumulative value" will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:

- *Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation.*
- *Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or programme implementation.*
- *Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or programme.*

Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.

Should the project be Multicounty, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.

Should any prefilled information/data in the Logical Framework be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

GCF Outcome Level: Standardized Indicators

PMF/IRMF Results Management Framework

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Health and well-being, and food and water security	0	0	2,100	300	1,640	1,940

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	3,500	8,000	19,000	19,000	0	19,000
Female	3,500	8,000	19,000	19,000	0	19,000

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Marshall Islands	7,000	9,000	38,000	38,000	0	38,000

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Health and well-being, and food and water security	0	5,400	8,400	0	6,500	6,500

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	1,500	4,200	0	3,250	3,250
Female	0	1,500	4,200	0	3,250	3,250

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Marshall Islands	0	5,400	8,400	0	6,500	6,500

Livelihoods of people and communities

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A7.2 Number of males and females reached by [or total geographic coverage of] climate related early warning systems and other risk reduction measures established/ strengthened (IRMF) Supplementary 2.4 Beneficiaries (female/male) covered by new or improved early warning systems						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) with increased capacity to anticipate, respond to, and act on climate-related early warnings, disaggregated by gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	3,500	4,500	19,000	19,000	0	19,000
Female	3,500	4,500	19,000	19,000	0	19,000

(PMF) A7.2 Number of males and females reached by [or total geographic coverage of] climate related early warning systems and other risk reduction measures established/ strengthened (IRMF) Supplementary 2.4 Beneficiaries (female/male) covered by new or improved early warning systems						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries with increased capacity to anticipate, respond to, and act on climate-related early warnings, disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Enter unit
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Marshall Islands	0	9,000	38,000	38,000	0	38,000

Optional Co-Benefit Indicators

No indicators/questions defined.

Total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms to support climate-resilient and low-emission development						
Provide the total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms.						
Unit					No. of Items	
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)	
	Mid-term	Final target				
0	1	1	1	0	1	

Project Specific Indicators							
Indicator	Unit	Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
			Mid-term	Final target			
Communications stations operating in line with Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) in Outer Island network	Percentage	0	20	80	100	0	100
National disaster management office (NDMO) facilities modernized and operating in accordance with agreed roadmap	No. of Strategic Frameworks	0	1	1	1	0	1
Number of metres of coast with reduced vulnerability to flooding and storm surge	Metres	300	750	2,100	1,903	0	1,903
Number of people with reduced risks to coastal hazards and the effects of climate change	No. of Persons	0	5,000	8,400	6,500	0	6,500

The government has developed and adopted procedures to clarify the governance mechanism of the JNAP	No. of Policy Instruments	0	1	1	1	0	1
Time taken to receive funds from contingency emergency component for an eligible emergency.	No. of Days	0	42	42	0	0	0
A5.2 Number and level of effective coordination mechanisms	No. of Coordination Mechanisms	3	3	3	3	0	3
A6.1 Use of climate information products/services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors	No. of Institutions	0	1	1	1	0	1

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
Sub-component 1.1: Institutional strengthening, and central agency capacity building in risk governance, and early warning modernization of the national disaster management office's facilities	100	4,480,337.65	4,480,337.65	100
Sub-component 1.2: Strengthening Adaptation Capacity	100	13.5	13.5	100
Sub-component 2.1 Coastal Vulnerability Assessment and Protection Investments	89	19,653,780.81	19,653,780.81	100
Sub-component 4.1 Project Management	100	865,868.07	865,868.07	100

C.2.1. Subprojects							
Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).							
Title	Currency	GCF Funds	Co-Financing	Country	GHG Emissions Reduced	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C.3. Compliance with FAA covenants	Yes ☒ No ☐
All legal agreements are complied with	
C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year	Yes ☐ No ☒
The implementation arrangements are not envisaged to change	

D. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF's policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

Risk 1	Temporary relocation of residents		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Environmental and Social		
Risk Sub-area	Environmental and Social Safeguards		
Description	During a preconstruction survey conducted in July 2024, the contractor has identified 25 properties at high risk of vibration-induced construction damage due to their heavily dilapidated state and proximity to the works (0-20 m). GoRMI consulted with 141 project affected peoples (PAPs) and the owners of the 25 properties in early November 2024.		
Consequences	Properties at high risk of vibration-induced construction damage due to their heavily dilapidated state and proximity to the works (0-20 m).		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 4 - High	Severity 8 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	An ARAP was prepared in December 2024. The following relocation assistance has been agreed with all PAPs: (a) offered temporary apartment accommodation in Ebeye for a period up to 2 weeks; (b) PAPs are to be provided with transportation to and from the temporary apartment; and (c) food and necessities to a value of US\$25 or equivalent per person per day while in temporary accommodation (option of receiving this in cash). PIU has developed a handbook that outlines the detailed procedures and protocols for the temporary relocation of Project Affected Parties (PAPs) and also details stakeholder roles and responsibilities.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 2 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 2	Money Laundering & Terrorist Financing		

Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Money Laundering (ML) & Terrorist Financing (TF)		
Description	N/A - nothing to report		
Consequences	N/A - nothing to report		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	N/A - nothing to report		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 3	Sanctions		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Sanctions		
Description	N/A - nothing to report		
Consequences	N/A - nothing to report		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	N/A - nothing to report		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 4	Fraud		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Fraud / Bribery & Corruption / Collusion and any other prohibited practice		
Description	N/A - nothing to report		
Consequences	N/A - nothing to report		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	N/A - nothing to report		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 5	Conflict of interest		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Conflict of interest		
Description	N/A - nothing to report		
Consequences	N/A - nothing to report		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	N/A - nothing to report		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

(this section is confidential)

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Marshall Islands	Grants	USD	25,000,000	25,000,000	9,475,712	25,000,000	0%	0%
Total		USD	25,000,000	25,000,000	9,475,712	25,000,000	N/A	N/A

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-financier	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
International Development Association	Grants	USD	50,790,000	36,340,000	23,873,393	36,340,000	0%	0%
Total		USD	50,790,000	36,340,000	23,873,393	36,340,000	N/A	N/A

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF Policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

No additional finance mobilized information provided.

File Name: Section 3.pdf

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

Key Environmental and Social Changes

Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates

Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.

Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?

Yes ☒ No ☐

F.3. ESS standards implementation progress

Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you tick 'Yes', please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring

<i>The temporary relocation of residents from their homes to minimize safety risks due to vibration has been completed and PIU reports that all measures were implemented successfully, including the payment of compensation. External inspections of PAP properties have been conducted by Resident Engineer and government, with no visual damage or complaints reported.</i>	
Outputs during the reporting period	
<i>116 Project Affected People (PAPs) have been relocated, and no further relocations are required going forward. Close engagement with impacts households and the wider community has been undertaken throughout this process.</i>	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation	
<i>During a preconstruction survey conducted in July 2024, the contractor has identified 25 properties at high risk of vibration-induced construction damage due to their heavily dilapidated state and proximity to the works (0-20 m).</i>	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
No	
Remarks/Notes	
F.4. Sub-projects information	
Have any subprojects been implemented?	Yes ☐ No ☒
N/A	N/A

F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan	
Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Activities implemented during the reporting period	
Stakeholder engagement during the reporting period closely linked to the implementation of the PAP relocation programme and associated community safety measures. Initial consultations in March 2025 established a clear understanding of relocation processes, entitlements, and grievance mechanisms among affected households and local representatives. During implementation, continuous household-level engagement was undertaken to support the temporary relocation of approximately 149 households, including targeted support for vulnerable individuals.	

Engagement also included grievance resolution and community coordination, such as site-specific household consultations, management of noise-related concerns, and ongoing collaboration with local police to ensure the security of vacated properties and public safety. Additional consultations were undertaken during the July 2025 World Bank mission through focus group discussions with PAPs and community stakeholders.
Dates and venues of engagement activities
Community PAP consultations, 10–11 March 2025; Household grievance resolution (North Ramp), 14 & 22 May; Community safety coordination (police support), May–Aug 2025; PAP relocation engagement (rolling programme), May–Sept 2025; World Bank mission consultations / focus groups, 15–17 July 2025; Vulnerable household relocation support, 20–21 Aug 2025; Community grievance management (noise impacts), 9 Sept 2025: all in Ebeye
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male + female = total)
Sex-disaggregated participation data was not systematically recorded in contractor and project records; however, engagement activities were designed to be inclusive of both men and women, including direct engagement with affected households.
Information shared with stakeholders
N/A
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
N/A
Remarks/Notes
N/A

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned	
Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period	
Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities	
F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances	
<i>Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:</i>	
Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project	

☒ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms.	
☐ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above	
Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>The World Bank cannot share the list of grievances received by the project or by the World Bank due to confidentiality concerns. For grievances received by the World Bank, publicly available information can be found on the GRS website, which contains the GRS Annual Reports that includes a register with the list of GRS cases.</i>	
F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan	
Seawall in Ebeye has progressed from 300 meters to 1960 meters, providing protection to 6500 local residents, 50% are women; Emergency communication network has now reached full coverage in RMI, providing early warning and forecast to 38000 residents, 50% are women.	
Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words) Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.</i>	
GAP Activity	
Install new hazard forecast and early warning communication infrastructure in outer islands	
Indicator	
Increased coverage of hazard forecast and warning messages to population at risk in outer islands	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	30
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
Completed by end of 2025	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	

Completed by end of 2025	
Budget	3,342,533
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 December 2025
Responsibility	
IOM and NDMO	
Remarks/Notes	
100% of population in outer islands has access to warning messages, of which 50% are female	
F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review	
Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>The PIU has engaged a social/gender specialist who has played a crucial role in advancing the gender action plan</i>	
Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>The budget is allocated to the three gender action plan activities on building seawall and installing Emergency Communication Network.</i>	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>EC network has been fully completed; Seawall will be completed by June 2026.</i>	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>No updates</i>	

Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>On track to meet the sex-disaggregated targets.</i>	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>No challenges on gender action plan</i>	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>The project has added a footpath to the seawall based on strong request from the local woman communities.</i>	
Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period	
<i>No</i>	

G. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
File Name: Annex 1 Upload.docx
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
No annexes provided.
Annex 3: List of grievances received
File Name: Section 5 - Annex 3 upload.docx
Annex 4: Procurement information
No annexes provided.
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year
No annexes provided.
Annex 6: Updated Gender Assessment and/or Action Plan
No annexes provided.
Additional Annexes (if applicable)
No annexes provided.

