
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title:	Integrated physical adaptation and community resilience through an enhanced direct access pilot in the public, private, and civil society sectors of three Eastern Caribbean small island developing states (FP061)
Accredited Entity:	Department of Environment, Ministry of Health and Environment, Government of Antigua and Barbuda (DOE_ATG)
Date of Report submission:	19 July 2026
Version number	V2.0



Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs). Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)

Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.

A.1. Date of report submission		19 July 2026												
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Public											
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>GCF Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 20.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. USD 20.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Co-Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 2.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td>Mill. USD 2.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 22.6</td> </tr> </table>				GCF Financing	Mill. USD 20.0	Grants	Mill. USD 20.0	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 2.6	Other	Mill. USD 2.6	Total Financing	Mill. USD 22.6
	GCF Financing	Mill. USD 20.0												
	Grants	Mill. USD 20.0												
	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 2.6												
	Other	Mill. USD 2.6												
Total Financing	Mill. USD 22.6													
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	NA (draft)													
A.6. Implementation period	From NA to 16 June 2027													
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to GCF revised <u>Environmental and Social Policy</u> and GCF ESS Standards to assess your FP category.)			Category B											
A.8. Executing Entity Information														
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)										
Ministry of Climate Resilience, the Environment and Renewable Energy	Grenada	Government	Executing Entity	Ms. Peron Johnson - PS@CRE.GOV.GD										
Ministry with responsibility for Environment	Dominica	Government	Executing Entity	Mr. Michael Savarin - SAVARINM@DOMINICA.GOV.DM										
Department of Environment	Antigua and Barbuda	Government	Accredited Entity and Executing Entity	Mrs. Diann Black-Layne - Diann.Black-Layne@ab.gov.ag										

A.9. Co-Financier information

Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)
Government of Dominica	Dominica	Denise Edwards - FINANCIALSECRETARY@DOMINICA.GOV.DM	Public	Public

Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)
Government of Grenada	Grenada	Peron Johnson - PS@CRE.GOV.GD	Public	Public
Government of Antigua and Barbuda	Antigua and Barbuda	Peter Abraham Jr. - PETER.ABRAHAM-JR@AB.GOV.AG	Public	Public

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP (NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)

Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project. Beneficial owner(s)
Antigua and Barbuda	2 September 2021	This NDC has its strategy and approaches aligned with the developmental priorities of the country and where appropriate, examined a sector coupling approach for the energy and agricultural sectors, energy and resilience building, Energy and Transportation and decoupling of energy for economic growth. If successful, this approach should reduce the overall cost of mitigation and adaptation projects, while reducing the importation of fossil fuel and concentrating on the abundant solar and wind energy resources. This approach also recognizes the transitional risks that may result from implementing an inclusive energy transition, considering that the roles and hopes of men, women and youth are different; thus, the process should ensure differences are respected and supported to ensure that no one is left behind.	FP061 directly supports Antigua and Barbuda's NDC adaptation targets by financing locally led climate resilience interventions that strengthen community adaptive capacity and reduce climate vulnerability. Through the Enhanced Direct Access mechanism, the project contributes to the NDC target of protecting all domestic waterways from flooding and associated health impacts by 2030, supports the strengthening of climate-resilient community infrastructure, and advances national efforts to improve disaster risk management and climate resilience planning. The project also aligns with NDC commitments to increase access to climate finance, strengthen institutional capacity for adaptation implementation, and empower local communities to identify and implement adaptation solutions. Percentage of target met with proposed project cannot be provided at this time.	0%
Grenada	30 November 2020	Grenada's Second Nationally Determined Contribution reaffirms the country's commitment to limiting the increase in global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels while pursuing efforts to limit warming to 1.5°C. The NDC confirms	FP061 contributes to the achievement of Grenada's NDC objectives by leveraging the mitigation co-benefits of adaptation actions while advancing climate-resilient development pathways. Percentage of target met with	0%

Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project.Beneficial owner(s)
		Grenada's ambitious conditional target of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 40% below 2010 levels by 2030 through interventions in the Energy (including Transport), Waste, Forestry, and Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU) sectors, while also leveraging the mitigation co-benefits of adaptation actions.	proposed project cannot be provided at this time.	
Dominica	4 July 2022	Dominica's updated NDC reaffirms its commitment to reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 45% below 2014 levels by 2030 and is unique in incorporating targets across the three pillars of climate action: mitigation, adaptation, and resilience. The NDC is designed to support the country's transition to low-carbon, climate-resilient development, strengthen adaptation and resilience across key sectors, and advance Dominica's national goal of becoming the world's first climate-resilient nation.	Through FP061's focus on community-driven adaptation investments, the project supports Dominica's commitment to advancing adaptation and resilience actions as part of its climate-resilient development pathway and its ambition to become the world's first climate-resilient nation. Percentage of target met with proposed project cannot be provided at this time.	0%

A.11. Estimated duration of impact	
A.12 Report to be shared with NDA	Yes ☒ No ☐
5 June 2026	

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

Pursuant to notification letters DMA/2022/267, DMP/2024/062, DLAC/OCIO/2024/028, and recently, DLAC/OCIO/2026/013, the FP061 has been granted four (4) extensions to both the Closing Date and Completion Date, as defined under Clauses 1.04(e) and 1.04(f) of the FAA. These extensions have carried FP061 into its seventh year of implementation, beyond the original four-year duration. Following the most recent no-cost extension (DLAC/OCIO/2026/013), the current closing date is established as 16 December 2026, particularly to support the implementation of Output 2 across the pilot countries.

Overall performance remained mixed across outputs and countries. Outputs 3 and 4 demonstrated the strongest progress and are projected to be completed ahead of the revised timeline. Current implementation progress:

Output 1: Remains at 69% with Activity 1.3. closing out at 34% completion (As reported in APR 2023).

Output 2: Antigua at 94%, Dominica at 75% and Grenada at 87%

Output 3: Antigua at 97%, Dominica at 99%, Grenada at 93%

Output 4: Antigua at 100%, Dominica at 100%, Grenada at 99%

In Grenada, key achievements included completion of the rehabilitation of the Programme for Adolescent Mothers facility under Output 3, incorporating climate-resilient infrastructure improvements and water harvesting systems. Under Output 4, the Climate Adaptation Revolving Loan Fund achieved a disbursement rate exceeding 97.24%, with cumulative expenditures surpassing USD 2.03 million. Seven new loans valued at approximately USD 83,400 were approved using reflows, while 54% of beneficiaries were female-headed households.

In Dominica, Output 2 experienced delays due to the late approval of the ESIAMP and GAP, delaying contractor mobilization and commencement of works. Additional delays in Grenada were linked to ongoing revisions to the project designs for the Lauriston sub-project in support of the revised ESIA.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. 1,500 words)

Overall project performance remained mixed across outputs and pilot countries. Outputs 3 and 4 demonstrated the strongest progress and are projected to be fully completed well before the revised project timeline. In Grenada, major achievements included the completion of the rehabilitation of the Programme for Adolescent Mothers (PAM) facility under Output 3, which incorporated climate-resilient infrastructure improvements and water harvesting systems. Under Output 4, the Revolving Loan mechanism continued to perform strongly, achieving a disbursement rate exceeding 97.24%, with cumulative expenditures surpassing USD 2.03 million. Seven new loans valued at approximately USD 83,400 were approved using reflows, demonstrating progress toward a self-sustaining climate finance mechanism.

In Dominica, Outputs 3 and 4 also progressed favorably. Under Output 3, all grant recipients successfully received their final disbursements, marking substantial completion of community-based adaptation activities. Under Output 4, the Government Housing Loans Board (GHLB), acting as the service provider for the revolving loan facility, received three disbursements, with approximately EC\$1.84 million approved for climate adaptation loans. Final outstanding payments were completed during the first quarter of 2025, and the revolving structure is expected to support additional lending through reflows beginning as early as Q1 2026.

The primary implementation challenge during the reporting period remained Output 2 in Dominica and Grenada. In Dominica, delays were caused by the prolonged approval process for the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment and Management Plans (ESIAMPs) and Gender Action Plans (GAPs) for Activity 2.2. Although the ESIAMP and GAP were submitted to the Fund on 20 May 2025, approval was only granted on 1 October 2025, after the project's previous closing date of 16 September 2025. In accordance with GCF policy, construction activities could not commence until all safeguard instruments received prior approval. As a result, contractor mobilization and implementation activities could not proceed, contributing directly to the approval of no-cost extension DLAC/OCIO/2026/013. Despite these delays, two line ministries finalized and signed the necessary agreements to facilitate contractor procurement once approvals were received.

In Grenada, delays under Output 2 also continued. Revised project designs for Sub-project 3 (Lauriston Project) remain under refinement to support the recategorization of the sub-project to Category B or below and facilitate the resubmission of the associated ESIAMP. Additionally, the Construction Environmental Management Plans (CEMPs) for Sub-projects 1 and 2 remain under preparation. These delays affected overall implementation progress under Output 2 across Dominica and Grenada, accounting for the under performance of Activity 2.3 during the reporting period. Under Output 3, Rotary Club of Grenada for Grand Anse School for Special Education signed its contract for the commencement of sub-project activities in March 2025, with the kick-off meeting held in May 2025. The project commenced during this reporting period and is approximately 15% completed at the end of September 2025.

In Antigua and Barbuda, a consultant was brought on to support the construction of a culvert to improve the hydraulic capacity of the briggins system under activity 2.3. Drawings to support the installation of the culvert have been completed and outline the proposed scope of works for the intervention. Discussions were also initiated regarding the feasibility of repurposing modified shipping containers as culvert structures. Technical coordination was subsequently undertaken with Alpha Engineering out of Trinidad and Tobago to explore a retrofitted container design capable of supporting the proposed drainage intervention.

Output 1 also continued to face implementation constraints. As reported in APR CY2023, Output 1 is unlikely to achieve full completion due to delays in conducting gap assessments for the nominated entities, which are required to support reapplication for Readiness funding and the accreditation process to the Adaptation Fund, to facilitate the fast-track accreditation to the GCF. Output 1 currently remains at 69% completion, with Activity 1.3 closing at 34% completion. While the DOE ATG, acting as both the Accredited Entity (AE) and Executing Entity for Output 1, has continued to be open to provide full support to the accreditation processes in Grenada and Dominica, further progress depends on both countries advancing the required processes. At this stage, it is anticipated that Output 1 will not be completed within the revised project implementation timeline.

Despite ongoing implementation challenges, the project continues to demonstrate measurable progress toward strengthening decentralized climate finance systems and supporting climate resilience across the pilot countries. Key lessons learned during implementation include the significant impact that safeguard approval timelines can have on infrastructure delivery, the importance of maintaining strong coordination among implementing partners and line ministries, and the value of revolving financing mechanisms in supporting long-term climate adaptation investments through reflows and continued lending capacity.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The "cumulative value" will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:

- *Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation.*
- *Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or programme implementation.*
- *Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or programme.*

Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.

Should the project be Multicounty, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.

Should any prefilled information/data in the Logical Framework be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

GCF Outcome Level: Standardized Indicators

PMF/IRMF Results Management Framework

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Livelihoods of people and communities	0	6,600	13,200	3,902	46	3,948
Infrastructure and built environment	0	6,600	13,200	1,445	0	1,445
Ecosystem and ecosystem services	0	6,600	13,200	1,445	0	1,445

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	6,600	13,200	1,665	2	1,667
Female	0	6,600	13,200	1,675	9	1,684

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.
--

Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Antigua and Barbuda	0	6,600	13,200	2,074	0	2,074
Dominica	0	6,600	13,200	1,163	24	1,187
Grenada	0	6,600	13,200	668	22	690

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Livelihoods of people and communities	0	34,050	68,100	1,445	11	1,456
Infrastructure and built environment	0	34,050	68,100	0	0	0
Ecosystem and ecosystem services	0	34,050	68,100	0	0	0

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Female	17,025	17,250	34,050	703	2	705
Male	17,025	17,250	34,050	742	9	751

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of indirect beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Antigua and Barbuda	0	3,000	6,000	0	0	0
Dominica	0	3,000	6,000	0	0	0
Grenada	0	3,000	6,000	0	21	21

Livelihoods of people and communities

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.) (IRMF) Supplementary 2.1 Beneficiaries (female/male) adopting improved and/or new climate-resilient livelihood options	
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) adopting diversified or strengthened climate-resilient livelihood strategies (including agriculture, fisheries, natural resource-based, and informal livelihoods), disaggregated by gender.	

Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	0	14,000	31,300	1,665	0	1,665
Female	0	14,000	31,300	1,675	0	1,675

(PMF) A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.)

(IRMF) Supplementary 2.1 Beneficiaries (female/male) adopting improved and/or new climate-resilient livelihood options

Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) adopting diversified or strengthened climate-resilient livelihood strategies (including agriculture, fisheries, natural resource-based, and informal livelihoods), disaggregated by country.

Unit						Enter unit
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Antigua and Barbuda	0	14,000	31,300	2,074	0	2,074
Dominica	0	14,000	31,300	668	24	692
Grenada	0	14,000	31,300	1,136	10	1,146

Infrastructure and built environment

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A3.1 Number and value of physical assets made more resilient to climate variability and change, considering human benefits (reported where applicable)

(IRMF) Core 3 Value of physical assets made more resilient to the effects of climate change and/or more able to reduce GHG emissions

Provide the total estimated value (USD) of physical assets made more resilient to climate change impacts in the targeted geographic areas.

Unit					United States dollars
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	1,700,000	2,580,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A3.1 Number and value of physical assets made more resilient to climate variability and change, considering human benefits (reported where applicable)

(IRMF) Core 3 Value of physical assets made more resilient to the effects of climate change and/or more able to reduce GHG emissions

Provide the total estimated value (USD) of physical assets made more resilient to climate change impacts in the targeted geographic areas, disaggregated by country.

Unit						United States dollars
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Antigua and Barbuda	0	1,700,000	2,580,000	0	0	0
Dominica	0	1,700,000	2,580,000	0	0	0
Grenada	0	1,700,000	2,580,000	0	0	0

(PMF) A3.1 Number and value of physical assets made more resilient to climate variability and change, considering human benefits (reported where applicable)

(IRMF) Core 3 Value of physical assets made more resilient to the effects of climate change and/or more able to reduce GHG emissions

Provide the total estimated value (USD) of physical assets made more resilient to climate change impacts in the targeted geographic areas, disaggregated by asset type.

Unit						United States dollars
Disaggregation by: Physical asset types (made more resilient)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Buildings	0	1,700,000	2,580,000	0	0	0

Ecosystems and ecosystem services

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change					
Provide the total hectares of natural ecosystems protected, restored, conserved, or managed to reduce climate risks to ecosystem services and dependent people and communities.					
Unit					Hectares
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	30	45	0	35	35

(PMF) A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change						
Provide the total hectares of natural ecosystems protected, restored, conserved, or managed to reduce climate risks to ecosystem services and dependent people and communities, disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Antigua and Barbuda	0	30	45	0	35	35
Dominica	0	30	45	0	0	0
Grenada	0	30	45	0	0	0

(PMF) A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change						
Provide the total hectares of natural ecosystems protected, restored, conserved, or managed to reduce climate risks to ecosystem services and dependent people and communities, disaggregated by ecosystem type.						
Unit						Hectares
Disaggregation by: Ecosystem types	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Wetland	0	30	45	0	35	35

Optional Co-Benefit Indicators

No indicators/questions defined.

Total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms to support climate-resilient and low-emission development					
Provide the total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms.					
Unit					No. of Items
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	3	6	3	0	3

Total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms to support climate-resilient and low-emission development						
Provide the total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms, disaggregated by country.						
Unit						No. of Items
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Antigua and Barbuda	0	3	6	3	0	3
Dominica	0	3	6	0	0	0
Grenada	0	3	6	0	0	0

Project Specific Indicators							
Indicator	Unit	Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
			Mid-term	Final target			
5.2 Number and level of effective coordination mechanisms strengthening of finance related by-laws, regulations and operational procedures	No. of Coordination Mechanisms	0	3	6	3	0	3
7.1 Number of transparent sustainable financing mechanisms supporting adaptation in the OECS sub-region	No. of Coordination Mechanisms	0	3	6	1	0	1
7.1 Use by vulnerable households, communities, businesses and public-sector services of Fund-supported tools, instruments, strategies and activities to respond to climate change and variability (Households: disaggregated by male-headed and female-headed)	No. of Households	0	6,600	13,200	250	34	284
7.2 Number of direct beneficiaries (dis-aggregated by gender) of Fund-supported small grants for adaptation to respond to climate change and variability	No. of Persons	0	30	60	13	1	14
7.3 Number of vulnerable households and businesses that use Fund-supported instruments to respond to climate change and variability (Households: disaggregated by male-headed and female-headed)	No. of Households	0	200	400	241	34	275
A5.2 Number and level of effective coordination mechanisms	No. of Coordination Mechanisms	0	3	6	3	0	3

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
Activity 1.1 Establish implementation and oversight mechanisms with adequate capacity	100	179,674.95	139,500	128
Activity 1.2 Design a Sustainable Procurement system for construction supplies in pilot countries	100	122,746	199,864.1	61
Activity 1.3 Support accreditation of direct access entities in the Eastern Caribbean	74	113,875.88	159,500	71
Activity 1.4 Facilitate effective monitoring and evaluation, and lessons learned consistent with an Enhanced Direct Access approach	100	370,417.95	521,135.9	71
Activity 2.1 Competitively solicit priority adaptation interventions for adaptation in the public sector	100	119,687.49	181,171.75	66
Activity 2.2 Undertake due diligence and studies on public sector adaptation interventions as needed	99	596,591.07	1,388,209.69	42
Activity 2.3 Implement pilot approaches for adaptation in public infrastructure	50	2,922,044	7,283,098.09	40
Activity 3.1 Select community adaptation projects through a competitive small grants facility	100	244,605.74	299,999.57	81
Activity 3.2 Communities implement adaptation projects with tangible benefits	90	2,557,868.42	2,700,000	94
Activity 4.1 Identify and strengthen existing systems and procedures for the revolving fund loan programme for adaptation	100	428,862.6	480,000.43	89
Activity 4.2 Launch the private-sector revolving fund for adaptation in buildings via calls for application; details of individual projects made accessible via website	100	5,559,000	5,520,000	100

C.2.1. Subprojects

Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).

Title	Currency	GCF Funds	Co-Financing	Country	GHG Emissions Reduced	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
Lauriston Restoration and Rehabilitation Project Carriacou	USD	0	N/A	Grenada	N/A	0	0
Enhancing Water and Food Security in the Palmiste Dig Watershed	USD	0	N/A	Grenada	N/A	0	0
Physical Adaptation to enhance youth inclusiveness in the fishing community of Old Road.	USD	0	N/A	Grenada	N/A	0	0
Improvement Along the Pointe Mitchell – Soufriere Road	USD	0	N/A	Dominica	N/A	0	0
Disaster Risk Management Project – Horse Back Ridge - Kalinago Territory	USD	0	N/A	Dominica	N/A	0	0
Resilience to Climate Change Impacts in the Cashewhill Sub-Watershed	USD	2,580,000	84,800	Antigua and Barbuda	N/A	1,445	N/A

C.3. Compliance with FAA covenants	Yes ☒ No ☐
The Accredited Entity remains compliant with FAA Clause 10.02. No GCF Proceeds have been transferred to any Executing Entity and/or TA Beneficiary where a default has occurred under any Subsidiary or TA Agreement (10.02(a)). Adequate measures are in place to ensure that no persons or activities included in the excluded activity list receive GCF Proceeds (10.02(c)), and that Project Activities, Final Beneficiaries, and/or TA Beneficiaries are selected in accordance with the Eligibility Criteria (10.02(d)). The AE complies with requirements related to amendments or modifications to Subsidiary and TA Agreements (10.02(e)), and applies its fiduciary principles and standards relating to AML/CFT (10.02(i)). Requirements regarding authorized representatives for Requests for Disbursement (10.02(j)), disbursements in Dominica (10.02(k)), APR reporting requirements (10.02(l)), and reporting on leveraged funds (10.02(n)) have been met. Clauses 10.02(m)(i) and (ii) were fulfilled, with the GCF Accreditation Panel confirming satisfactory evidence on 27 June 2024 (GCF/B.39/03/Add.08).	
C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year	Yes ☒ No ☐
Following the most recent no-cost extension (DLAC/OCIO/2026/013), the current closing date is established as 16 December 2026.	

D. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

Risk 1	Money Laundering & Terrorist Financing		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Money Laundering (ML) & Terrorist Financing (TF)		
Description	Money Laundering (ML) and Terrorist Financing (TF) risks are low. Project implementation is undertaken through established institutional, fiduciary, procurement, and financial management systems that operate within applicable national legal and regulatory frameworks and are supported by internal controls, oversight mechanisms, financial reporting requirements, and audit processes.		
Consequences	As above, no adverse consequences are anticipated.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	Mitigation measures include the provision of comprehensive and regular capacity-building and training for relevant personnel on anti-money laundering and countering the financing of terrorism (AML/CFT), procurement procedures, environmental and social safeguards, gender policies, and financial management requirements. These measures support continued compliance with applicable policies and laws, strengthen institutional capacity, and promote effective risk management throughout project implementation. In addition, the AE's provision of independent annual audits conducted by a reputable audit firm provide an additional layer of fiduciary oversight and assurance, supporting transparency, accountability, and the integrity of project financial management systems.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Comments	N/A		

Risk 2	Sanctions		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Sanctions		
Description	Sanction risks are low. Project implementation is undertaken through established institutional, fiduciary, procurement, and financial management systems that operate within applicable national legal and regulatory frameworks and are supported by internal controls, oversight mechanisms, financial reporting requirements, and audit processes.		
Consequences	As above, no adverse consequences are anticipated.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	Mitigation measures include the provision of comprehensive and regular capacity-building and training for relevant personnel on anti-money laundering and countering the financing of terrorism (AML/CFT), procurement procedures, environmental and social safeguards, gender policies, and financial management requirements. These measures support continued compliance with applicable policies and laws, strengthen institutional capacity, and promote effective risk management throughout project implementation. In addition, the AE's provision of independent annual audits conducted by a reputable audit firm provide an additional layer of fiduciary oversight and assurance, supporting transparency, accountability, and the integrity of project financial management systems.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 3	Fraud / Bribery & Corruption / Collusion and any other prohibited practice		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Fraud / Bribery & Corruption / Collusion and any other prohibited practice risks are low. Project implementation is undertaken through established institutional, fiduciary, procurement, and financial management systems that operate within applicable national legal and regulatory frameworks and are supported by internal controls, oversight mechanisms, financial reporting requirements, and audit processes.		
Consequences	As above, no adverse consequences are anticipated.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	The investigation, reporting, and prosecution of fraud within the Government of Antigua and Barbuda are governed by the Integrity in Public Life Act 2004 and the Prevention of Corruption Act 2004. The Integrity Commission, established under the Integrity in Public Life Act, is responsible for receiving declarations, investigating complaints of non-compliance, conducting investigations into corruption offences, appointing auditors to verify declarations and investigate financial irregularities, and examining government entities for corrupt practices. The Commission may conduct inquiries, hear evidence, and submit reports to the Governor General and Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) for further action. The Prevention of Corruption Act defines corruption offences and provides for the referral of evidence to the DPP, whose consent is required for prosecution. Additionally, the Audit Committee is empowered to seek legal counsel and investigate irregularities, providing an important mechanism for early detection and escalation of potential fraud and corruption cases.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 4	Conflict of Interest		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		

Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Conflict of Interest (COI) risk is low due to the robust governance, ethics, and accountability mechanisms established within the Department of Environment. The Department's Code of Conduct and Ethics applies to all employees, contractors, consultants, committee members, and other signatories, and requires adherence as a condition of employment or engagement. The Code defines real, apparent, and potential conflicts of interest and establishes clear procedures for their prevention, disclosure, review, and resolution.		
Consequences	As above, no adverse consequences are anticipated.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	Conflict of interest risks are managed through the Department of Environment's Code of Conduct and Ethics, which establishes mandatory requirements for the identification, prevention, disclosure, and resolution of real, apparent, and potential conflicts of interest. All employees, contractors, consultants, and committee members are required to adhere to the Code as a condition of their employment or engagement.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Comments	Any reported conflict of interest is subject to review by the Department's Ethics Committee, which is mandated to conduct independent and impartial assessments, determine whether a conflict exists, and recommend appropriate corrective actions. Where necessary, individuals may be required to modify or discontinue activities that give rise to the conflict. These measures support transparency, accountability, and impartial decision-making throughout project implementation.		
Risk 5	Risks related to inflation and commodity prices		
Risk Origin	External Factors		
Risk Area	Macro-economics		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Implementation of infrastructure activities under Output 2 is exposed to macroeconomic risks arising from inflationary pressures and increases in commodity, material, labour, and transportation costs. Due to the extended implementation timeline, contractors in Dominica were required to submit revised cost estimates to reflect prevailing market conditions. An assessment undertaken by the Assistant Quantity Surveyor determined that the revised rates were consistent with current local construction market trends and that cost increases were primarily attributable to inflation-driven escalation in material inputs, labour costs, and transportation expenses. There is a high probability that this risk will be relevant to all pilot countries.		
Consequences	If not adequately managed, these cost escalations may require reallocation of resources, or adjustments to implementation schedules, potentially impacting the timely achievement of activity 2.3.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	3 - Likely	3 - Medium	9 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	Regular cost reviews, contractor engagement, and budget monitoring serve as key risk management measures for addressing this macro-economic risk. These measures support the assessment of budget adequacy, and maintenance of project viability throughout implementation.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	3 - Likely	2 - Low	6 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	3 - Likely	2 - Low	6 - Medium
Comments	N/A		
Risk 6	Completion of ESMP		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Environmental and Social		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		

Description	The Environmental and Social Management Plans for the Grenada sub-projects under Output 2 have not yet been finalized and approved by the GCF Secretariat. As implementation activities under output 2 cannot proceed until approval is obtained, there is a risk that Output 2 activities will not be completed before the current project closing date.		
Consequences	Delayed implementation of Output 2 activities, reduced achievement of project outputs, and additional project extension may be requested by the pilot country to complete outstanding activities.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 3 - Likely	Impact 4 - High	Severity 12 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	The Accredited Entity continues to work with the executing entities and the pilot country to ensure that the ESMP is finalized promptly and Secretariat comments addressed. Progress is being closely monitored.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Comments	N/A		
Risk 7	Budget absorption and staff cost		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Financial		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Multiple no-cost extensions have extended the project implementation period beyond the original timeframe, placing additional pressure on project staffing cost and increasing the risk of staff budget exhaustion before project completion.		
Consequences	Reduced capacity to effectively manage and supervise project implementation if project staffing costs become insufficient.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	The Government of Grenada has absorbed project staff costs into the national budget, thereby reducing pressure on the project budget and ensuring continued implementation support without requiring additional project financing for staffing.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 4 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 2 - Possible	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 4 - Medium
Comments	N/A		
Risk 8	Underachievement of indicator targets		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	Fund-level and output-level project indicators contain ambitious numerical targets relative to the implementation context in the pilot countries. As implementation nears completion, there is a risk that some quantitative targets may not be fully achieved despite substantial progress in delivering project outcomes.		
Consequences	Partial achievement of project indicators may affect overall assessment of project performance and reported results.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 3 - Likely	Impact 4 - High	Severity 12 - Medium High
Mitigation Measure(s)	The Accredited Entity continues to support pilot countries in accelerating implementation and beneficiary outreach where feasible. Progress against indicators is being monitored regularly, with emphasis on maximizing achievement of results while ensuring that reported values accurately reflect cumulative implementation progress.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 3 - Likely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 6 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 3 - Likely	Impact 2 - Low	Severity 6 - Medium
Comments	N/A		

Risk 9	Multi-country execution challenges		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	As a multi-country project, implementation is dependent on the institutional capacity, administrative procedures and decision-making processes of each participating pilot country. Differences in approval processes result in varying implementation timelines that are outside the direct control of the Accredited Entity, creating uneven progress across countries.		
Consequences	Delays in country-level implementation may affect the timely delivery of activities, reduce overall implementation efficiency, and increase the likelihood that some outputs are not completed within the current project implementation period.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	3 - Medium	6 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	The Accredited Entity will continue to monitor implementation on a country-by-country basis, maintain regular engagement with the respective executing entities and provide targeted technical and operational support according to each country's specific constraints.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	3 - Medium	6 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	3 - Medium	6 - Medium
Comments	N/A		

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

(this section is confidential)

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Antigua and Barbuda	Grants	USD	7,393,500	13,437,273.49	77,181.51	7,055,964.24	0%	100%
Dominica	Grants	USD	6,298,500	3,604,976.81	527,520.91	3,283,130	0%	100%
Grenada	Grants	USD	6,308,000	2,757,749.7	100,000	3,698,623.08	0%	100%
Total		USD	20,000,000	19,800,000	704,702.42	14,037,717.32	N/A	N/A

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-financier	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Government of Dominica	In-kind	USD	555,120	717,099.3	119,190	717,099.3	0%	100%
Government of Grenada	In-kind	USD	555,120	774,712.9	78,290	774,712.9	0%	100%
Government of Antigua & Barbuda	In-kind	USD	1,459,120	1,459,120	0	1,459,120	0%	100%
Total		USD	2,569,360	2,950,932.2	197,480	2,950,932.2	N/A	N/A

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF Policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

No additional finance mobilized information provided.

File Name: GCF EDA - Financial Statements 2025(draft).pdf

File Name: FP061-APR 2025 Draft_Revised CY2025_updated.xlsx

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

Key Environmental and Social Changes

Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates

Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.

Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?

Yes ☐ No ☒

The project remains in full compliance with the national laws of Grenada, Antigua and Barbuda, and Dominica, as well as the relevant covenants under the Funded Activity Agreement (FAA), particularly Covenant 10.02(h).

F.3. ESS standards implementation progress

Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you tick 'Yes', please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring

In Grenada, termite treatment and site clearing works were completed at the Programme for Adolescent Mothers (PAM) facility to support structural integrity and site safety. Key activities implemented during the reporting period included the completion of climate-resilient infrastructure improvements at the PAM facility, installation of rainwater harvesting systems to strengthen water security, and continued monitoring of construction activities and loan-financed interventions. Collectively, these interventions contributed to enhanced resilience to climate variability and climate-related hazards, particularly water scarcity and extreme weather events.

Outputs during the reporting period

100% completion of the Programme for Adolescent Mothers (PAM) roof rehabilitation under Output 3 as of July 18, 2025.

Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation

During implementation, potential water scarcity during the dry season was identified as a key climate-related risk that could affect the long-term sustainability and functionality of the Programme for Adolescent Mothers (PAM) facility. To address this risk, a rainwater harvesting system was incorporated as a project variation to strengthen water security and improve the facility's resilience to climate variability and prolonged dry conditions. The intervention was designed to reduce reliance on inconsistent water supply sources and enhance the facility's capacity to maintain operations during periods of reduced rainfall and drought. By integrating climate-resilient water management measures into the rehabilitation works, the project contributed to improving the adaptive capacity of the facility and its beneficiaries to climate-related environmental stresses. No significant adverse environmental, social, or gender-related impacts were reported during the reporting period. Implementation activities continued to align with approved safeguard requirements, while ongoing monitoring was undertaken to ensure compliance with environmental and social management measures throughout project execution.

Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention

No pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's and GCF attention.

Remarks/Notes

F.4. Sub-projects information

Have any subprojects been implemented?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Title of the sub-project

Resilience to Climate Change Impact in the Cashewhill Sub-Watershed

ESS management plan/instrument in place

ESMP for construction projects under Output 2 in Antigua and Barbuda was completed and approved by the Fund on 8th December 2021.

Stakeholder engagement plan in place

No additional stakeholder engagement activities specifically related to environmental and social safeguards (ESS) or gender were undertaken during the reporting period. Activity 2.3 has reached an advanced stage of implementation, limiting the need for further consultations or stakeholder engagement activities. Existing stakeholder engagement,

Title of the sub-project	Resilience to Climate Change Impact in the Cashewhill Sub-Watershed
environmental and social safeguard considerations, and gender-related requirements had been integrated into project implementation during earlier phases of the activity and continued to guide implementation through to completion.	
Activities/engagement conducted with Indigenous peoples	
No activities or consultations with Indigenous Peoples were undertaken under the Output 2, as Antigua and Barbuda does not have an Indigenous Peoples population or any legally recognised Indigenous Peoples as defined under the Fund's Indigenous Peoples Policy.	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during this reporting period	
No key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during this reporting period.	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
No key environmental, social and gender issues needing AE actions and GCF attention.	

F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan	
Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Activities implemented during the reporting period	
In Grenada, regular site meetings and consultations were conducted between the Project Engineer, Contractor, and the Programme for Adolescent Mothers (PAM) administration throughout implementation of Output 3. These engagements facilitated ongoing communication among key stakeholders, supported effective management of environmental and social considerations during construction, and helped ensure continuity of services and minimal disruption to adolescent mothers utilizing the facility during the rehabilitation works. Training was also held under Output 3, focusing on building the capacity of the Community based organizations in Project Management techniques and Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation strategies. A total of 11 participants attended the session from various community-based organizations and Government Ministries (Rotary Club, GRENCODA, TAMCC, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Tourism, St. George's University). Under Component 4, the Grenada Development Bank (GDB) undertook ongoing stakeholder engagement activities to communicate information on the Climate Adaptation Revolving Loan Fund, including loan application and repayment procedures, beneficiary responsibilities, and monitoring requirements. Engagement was conducted through pop-up information stalls, town hall meetings, and weekly media and radio outreach sessions.	
Dates and venues of engagement activities	
Engagements meetings took place primarily at the PAM facility, through pop-up information stalls, town hall meetings, and weekly media and radio outreach sessions throughout the reporting period. Training on Basic Project Management and Climate Change Impact was held during the period March 31st to April 2nd 2025, with 11 participants (9 females, 2 males).	
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male + female = total)	
Not available at this time.	
Information shared with stakeholders	
Under component 3, updates were shared on construction progress, project timelines, implementation activities, and measures to minimize disruptions to the PAM facility and the Grenada School for Special Education during ongoing works.	

During stakeholder engagement under output 4, the Grenada Development Bank communicated information about Revolving Fund implementation, loan repayment procedures, beneficiary responsibilities, and monitoring requirements to beneficiaries through pop up stall, town hall meeting and weekly media and radio session.
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
Stakeholder engagement remained an important component of implementation under Components 3 and 4. Regular site meetings and consultations ensured that users of the Programme for Adolescent Mothers (PAM) facility were appropriately accommodated during rehabilitation works, with particular attention given to the needs of adolescent mothers utilizing the facility. Key concerns raised by stakeholders included temporary disruptions associated with construction activities and communication regarding project timelines. Similarly, implementation of activities at the Grenada School for Special Education considered the needs of facility users throughout the project lifecycle to minimize disruptions and maintain continuity of services. Under component4, loan repayment obligations under the Revolving Loan Fund. These concerns were addressed through ongoing consultations, direct engagement with beneficiaries by the Grenada Development Bank (GDB).
Remarks/Notes
N/A

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned	
Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period	
No major ESS activities are currently anticipated under components 3 and 4 beyond the continued implementation and monitoring of approved environmental and social safeguard measures associated with ongoing project activities. Lessons learned during the reporting period highlighted the importance of maintaining regular stakeholder engagement and clear communication throughout implementation, particularly where construction activities may affect facility users or beneficiaries. Construction works under activity 2.3 have yet to begin in Grenada and Dominica.	
Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities	
F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances	
<i>Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:</i>	
Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project	
☒ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms.	
☐ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above	

Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
n/a	
F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan	
While Activity 2.13 has not yet commenced in Dominica and Grenada, substantial progress under Outputs 3 and 4 contributed to the implementation of several actions identified within the Gender Action Plan. These activities sought to strengthen the capacity of community organizations, vulnerable groups, and local stakeholders to participate effectively in climate adaptation planning and implementation while promoting inclusive and equitable access to project benefits. Under Output 3, a series of capacity-building initiatives were delivered in both Dominica and Grenada. Training packages covered a range of topics designed to enhance community resilience, preparedness, and organizational effectiveness, including Shelter Management, First Aid Training, Basic Project Management, Proposal Writing, Fire Safety Management, Organisational Development, and Radio Training and Practical Sessions. These activities supported the development of skills and knowledge necessary for communities and local organizations to respond to climate-related hazards and participate more effectively in resilience-building initiatives. The training programmes were implemented in a manner that encouraged broad stakeholder participation, including women and other vulnerable groups, consistent with the objectives of the Gender Action Plan.	
Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words)</i> <i>Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.</i>	
GAP Activity	
Train women and vulnerable groups to identify, prioritize, implement, monitor and evaluate adaptation strategies and measures	
Indicator	
% females trained in each pilot country.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	50

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
9 females trained in Grenada	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
114 females trained in Antigua and Barbuda 16 females trained in Dominica 20 females trained in Grenada	
Budget	30,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	1 April 2026
Responsibility	
Executing Entities: OECS Commission	
Remarks/Notes	
Significant project delays have drastically shifted this activity timeline from its original Year 1 (Q2) timeline.	
GAP Activity	
Inform and train women, PWDs, indigenous people, other vulnerable groups how to access and utilize the complaints mechanism and empower them as “allies” within their communities to share this knowledge with others who are likely to benefit	
Indicator	
Number of complaints received through the complaints mechanism. Number of ‘ally’ interventions or awareness sessions conducted by women.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
0 complaints received through the complaints mechanism 0 ‘ally’ interventions or awareness sessions conducted by women.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
1 complaint received through the complaints mechanism. 0 ‘ally’ interventions or awareness sessions conducted by women.	
Budget	20,000
Currency	USD

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	1 April 2026
Responsibility	
Accredited Entity; Executing Entities	
Remarks/Notes	
Awareness sessions conducted by women scheduled to be completed Q3 2026.	
GAP Activity	
Nominate 1 woman and 1 Person With Disability (PWD) / vulnerable group from an NGO/CSO to the Steering Committee (oversight function)	
Indicator	
Representation of vulnerable groups on the Steering Committee. Gender balance of the Steering Committee	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
No additions to the steering committee in this reporting period.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
At least 1 woman on steering committee in each pilot country.	
Budget	0
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	1 September 2026
Responsibility	
Accredited Entity; OECS Commission	
Remarks/Notes	
Men and women actively participate in oversight committees across all three project countries, ensuring gender-inclusive representation. However, the inclusion of a PWD has not yet been finalized.	
GAP Activity	
All Environmental Impact Assessments ("EIAs") to include gender and social inclusion assessments and action plans	
Indicator	

% EIAs that include gender and social inclusion assessments and action plans	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	100
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
EIAs under Output 2 for Dominica, to include gender and social inclusion assessments and action plans, approved this reporting period.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
66% of EIAs that include gender and social inclusion assessments and action plans.	
Budget	300,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	1 June 2026
Responsibility	
Executing Entities; OECS Commission	
Remarks/Notes	
Significant project delays in the development of the EIAs under output 2 have drastically shifted this activity timeline from its original Year 1 (Q3) timeline.	
GAP Activity	
Provide entrepreneurship training for women, PWDs, and other vulnerable groups in services related to the delivery of climate change information, adaptation and resilience services, e.g. EIAs, construction, project management, procurement, M&E, etc.	
Indicator	
Number of women, persons with disabilities (PWDs), and other vulnerable groups enrolled in continuous training in entrepreneurship related to climate change adaptation and resilience services.	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
No progress this reporting period.	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	

8 males and 7 females have been trained in Green Entrepreneurship and Climate Change-Related Services, contributing to the development of sustainable livelihoods in the sector.	
Budget	50,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	1 June 2026
Responsibility	
Executing Entities	
Remarks/Notes	
Trainings conducted this period focused on shelter management, grant writing, project management and other elements related to Output 3.	
GAP Activity	
Sustainable procurement of goods and services to include a fixed percentage of women and PWDs in tenders to ensure that they benefit from economic opportunities created through the construction and agricultural sectors.	
Indicator	
Number of contracts awarded to women and persons with disabilities (PWDs).	
Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	3
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
0 contracts awarded to women and persons with disabilities (PWDs).	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
1 contract awarded to women and persons with disabilities (PWDs).	
Budget	30,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	1 September 2026
Responsibility	
Accredited Entity; Executing Entities; OECS Commission	
Remarks/Notes	

Sustainable procurement of goods and services continues to prioritise women and PWDs in tenders to ensure that they benefit from economic opportunities created through the construction and agricultural sectors. However, these sectors are mainly male dominated spaces, creating severe limitations.

GAP Activity

Nominate 1 woman and 1 PWD from a CSO/NGO to represent these key issues on the decision-making body for the public sector / on-granting committee/loans board

Indicator

of women from CSO/NGO to represent in the decision-making body for the public sector

Rating of Indicator

AMBER (needs attention to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable

3

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

0 of women from CSO/NGO to represent in the decision-making body for the public sector

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

0 of women from CSO/NGO to represent in the decision-making body for the public sector

Budget

0

Currency

USD

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)

31 December 2022

Responsibility

NDA;Steering Committee; OECS Commission

Remarks/Notes

All National Steering Committees maintain a female representation exceeding 50%, ensuring a strong gender balance in decision-making processes.

While there are currently no CSOs or NGOs represented on the Steering Committees, Antigua's Technical Advisory Committee—which provides technical guidance to the Project Management Committee —includes CSO and NGO representatives, and 1 PWD who contribute to the integration of gender priorities in project decision-making.

GAP Activity

Train women, persons with disabilities and other vulnerable groups to ensure that community assets are more resilient to climate variability and change

Indicator

Number and percentage of women, persons with disabilities (PWDs), CSOs, and NGOs trained in protecting public infrastructure assets, including community shelters. Level of participation in resilience scenarios to protect public infrastructure assets.

Rating of Indicator	AMBER (needs attention to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

1 CSOs trained in protecting public infrastructure assets, including community shelters.
13 persons trained on Fire Safety Management

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

14 CSOs trained in protecting public infrastructure assets, including community shelters.
27 persons participating in resilience scenarios to protect public infrastructure assets.

Budget	30,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	1 June 2026

Responsibility

Executing Entities: OECS Commission

Remarks/Notes

Significant in overall project delays shifted this activity timeline from its original timeline.

GAP Activity

Launch media campaign to advertise this training and EDA Revolving Fund opportunities available

Indicator

Number of applications received Time from receipt of application to approval to first loan disbursement

Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	400

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

55 microfinance loans awarded across pilot countries

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

296 microfinance loans awarded across pilot countries	
296 loans approved in four phases, with relevant monitoring and evaluation, for the four hundred (400) proposals.	
Budget	70,000
Currency	USD
Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	1 February 2026
Responsibility	
Executing Entities; OECS Commission	
Remarks/Notes	
While the activity 4.1.3 have been completed in 2 of 3 pilot countries, the Revolving Loan mechanism is still in its early stages in all three pilot countries and has not yet generated sufficient funds for on-lending to attain the 400 loan target. However, further media campaigns will be executed once sufficient funds are accumulate in the respective countries.	

F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review

Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>At the time of reporting, a dedicated Gender Expert is not currently engaged under the project. Consequently, implementation of the Gender Action Plan is being supported by the Project Management Unit who continue to integrate gender considerations into project activities and reporting. Recognizing the importance of specialized technical support for the effective implementation, monitoring, and reporting of gender-related activities and indicators, efforts are currently underway to procure the services of a Gender Expert. The engagement of a qualified Gender Expert remains a priority.</i>	
Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Budget included in reporting above.</i>	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>At the time of reporting, FP061 is in its sixth year of implementation and has undergone three (3) No-Cost Extensions. Various delays, including procedural and procurement-related challenges, have significantly impacted the implementation timeline across all Outputs, resulting in adjustments to the timeframe for achieving activities, targets, and indicators across the project. These challenges have been consistently reported throughout the project's duration.</i>	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ No ☒

n/a	
Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>Despite implementation delays, progress achieved under Outputs 3 and 4, including strong participation by women and female-headed households, indicates that the project remains on track to achieve the sex-disaggregated beneficiary targets established in the Funding Proposal.</i>	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☐ No ☒
n/a	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>No best practices identified, or case studies prepared on beneficiaries/roles undertaken as agents of action by women and the most vulnerable people during the reporting period.</i>	
Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period	
<i>Gender-Responsive Procurement and Contracting As implementation of Output 2 advances in Dominica, procurement and contracting processes will continue to incorporate gender-responsive considerations. Efforts will focus on monitoring compliance with gender-related provisions within tendering and procurement processes and promoting equitable participation opportunities for women and vulnerable groups in project-related employment and service delivery. Gender Considerations in Recruitment Implementation partners and contractors will be encouraged to integrate gender considerations into recruitment processes for labourers, engineers, consultants, service providers, and other personnel engaged under Output 2 activities in Grenada and Dominica. This will support inclusive participation and equitable access to project-related employment opportunities. Monitor and Evaluate Gender impact Project teams will continue to monitor and report on gender-related indicators and outcomes to assess progress toward Gender Action Plan objectives. Efforts will focus on maintaining practical monitoring mechanisms that support ongoing tracking of participation, benefits, and outcomes for women and vulnerable groups. The objective is to ensure a self-sustaining monitoring and evaluation tool focused on gender impact that can be maintained by project teams, regardless of the presence of a Gender Officer, ensuring continuous gender monitoring and compliance.</i>	

G. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
No annexes provided.
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
File Name: FP061 Component 3 - Grenada - School for Special Ed.jpg File Name: Component 3 - Grenada - School for Special Education Sod Ceremony Invitation.pdf
Annex 3: List of grievances received
No annexes provided.
Annex 4: Procurement information
No annexes provided.
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year
File Name: CY2026 FP061 - EDA - Implementation Plan.xlsx
Annex 6: Updated Gender Assessment and/or Action Plan
No annexes provided.
Additional Annexes (if applicable)
No annexes provided.

