
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title: Fiji Urban Water Supply and Wastewater Management Project (FP008)

Accredited Entity: Asian Development Bank (ADB)

Date of Report submission: 12 May 2026

Version number V1.0



Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs). Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)

Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.

A.1. Date of report submission		12 May 2026													
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Public												
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>GCF Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 31.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants</td> <td>Mill. USD 31.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Co-Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 374.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SeniorLoans</td> <td>Mill. USD 224.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td>Mill. USD 150.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Financing</td> <td>Mill. USD 405.1</td> </tr> </table>			GCF Financing	Mill. USD 31.0	Grants	Mill. USD 31.0	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 374.1	SeniorLoans	Mill. USD 224.0	Other	Mill. USD 150.1	Total Financing	Mill. USD 405.1
	GCF Financing	Mill. USD 31.0													
	Grants	Mill. USD 31.0													
	Co-Financing	Mill. USD 374.1													
	SeniorLoans	Mill. USD 224.0													
	Other	Mill. USD 150.1													
	Total Financing	Mill. USD 405.1													
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	NA (draft)														
A.6. Implementation period	From 11 January 2018 to 17 January 2026														
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to GCF revised <u>Environmental and Social Policy</u> and GCF ESS Standards to assess your FP category.)			Category B												
A.8. Executing Entity Information															
Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Entity type	Role in project	Focal Point(s) and email(s)											
Ministry of Finance	Fiji	Executing Agency	Executing Agency	Mr. Shiri Gounder Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Finance shiri.gounder@finance.gov.fj											

A.9. Co-Financier information

Full legal name	Country of registration (or, for intl orgs, country of HQ)	Focal Point(s) and email(s)	Ownership Type	Beneficial Owner(s)
Asian Development Bank	Philippines (the)	Albert Cerelala	Multilateral Institution	Developing Member Country
European Investment Bank	Luxembourg	Marco Beros	Multilateral Institution	Developing Member Country
Government of Fiji	Fiji	Shiri Gounder	Government	Developing Member Country

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP <i>(NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)</i>				
Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project.Beneficial owner(s)
Fiji	21 April 2026	To support Fiji's adaptation priorities, the NCCP sets out 5 high-level objectives that set the premise, mandate, and cross-sectoral intent for all current and future iterations of the National Adaptation Plan. Objective 3.1: To integrate the consideration of climate change projections, articulation of risk reduction responsibilities, and formulation of resilience building objectives across all sector plans and strategies. Objective 3.2: To increase ecosystem protection, natural resource redundancy, and environmental resilience through nature-based solutions. Objective 3.3: To secure equal and sustainable access to the produce, products, resources, and services that support human health and wellbeing. Objective 3.4: To implement climate change adaptation solutions which are inclusive, equitable, and locally-driven. Objective 3.5: To integrate climate adaptation and disaster risk management priorities. 3	The Project is aligned with the following objectives: 3.1; 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5	100%

A.11. Estimated duration of impact	
A.12 Report to be shared with NDA	Yes ☐ No ☐

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

The Rewa River Water Supply Scheme (RWWSS) project built infrastructure to improve access to safe water systems in the greater Suva area of Fiji, by creating a new river water intake station and water treatment plant (WTP) on the River Rewa.

Over half of Fiji’s population is urban with further growth expected, particularly around Suva City, the national capital. Urban infrastructures are vulnerable to extreme droughts and flooding as well as sea level rise, causing threats to the environment, health, and social and economic development. Urban water supply and sanitation were particularly under strain, with service interruptions common during both drought periods and heavy rainfall periods. Existing sewerage infrastructure covered only 20% of the Suva City area in 2015. Improving water supply and wastewater management is considered essential to Fiji’s sustainable development, but its current debt levels constrain its ability to fund such vital adaptation measures.

The project has strengthened water supply through the design and construction of a new water intake by the River Rewa, with a pumping station, waste washwater treatment plant, clear water reservoir, and pipeline to increase water production by 40,000 m³ per day. This has improved climate resilience by taking water from further up the river system to avoid salinity. Wastage is being reduced through demand management, meter replacement and improved leak detection and repairs.

The project also strengthened water management and delivery capacity of the responsible institutions.

Tranche 2 – the wastewater component – was cancelled and the first phase of the Kinoya WWTP Upgrading Project was transferred to the new HOWSIP project, along with the Performance Based Contract for NRW Reduction.

The main impact of the RWWSS was to provide an additional 40 MLD of climate resilient water supply capacity, with the potential to upgrade to 80 MLD and ultimately 100 MLD in future phases.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. 1,500 words)

During 2025 there were 4 active contracts under tranche I. The targeted and actual dates of each contract award were given in APR 2024 and are not repeated here.

- Design-build-operate (DBO) contract ICB 1.1.1 – the 7-year Operation Phase commenced on 1 April 2024, and was managed by WAF Operations Dept as part of “business-as usual”. Review and approval of outstanding contractor’s submittals such as O&M Manuals continued through the year, and the contractor’s claims in relation to the impact of Covid-19 were settled for both the DBO and GM contracts.
- Gravity main contract ICB 1.1.2 – the 2-year Defects Notification Period was completed on 19 November 2025, however defects notified to the contractor prior to that date [corrosion at 6 pipe bridges] were still outstanding at the end of the year, and the Performance Certificate had not been issued yet.
- Project Management Unit (PMU) consultant contract CS01B was extended until 31 January 2026 to allow for ongoing assistance to WAF with management of the DBO and GM contracts, reporting, and preparation of technical parts of tender documents for the Kinoya WWTP Compliance Project.
- Construction Supervision consultant contract CS02B was completed on 31 December 2025. The consultant wrote to WAF on 2 December detailing a number of actions required in relation to the DBO and GM contracts, and subsequently issued letters to the contractor and to WAF on 30 December listing the remaining actions required to close out the GM contract.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The “cumulative value” will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:

- *Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation.*
- *Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or programme implementation.*
- *Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or programme.*

Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.

Should the project be Multicounty, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.

Should any prefilled information/data in the Logical Framework be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

GCF Outcome Level: Standardized Indicators

PMF/IRMF Results Management Framework

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by result area.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Result Areas (Adaptation)	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Health and well-being, and food and water security	174,512	0	290,854	289,249	6,551	295,800

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Female	85,511	0	142,518	141,732	3,210	144,942
Male	89,001	0	148,336	147,517	3,341	150,858

(PMF) A1 and A2 Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (IRMF) Core 2 Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) cumulatively receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions, disaggregated by Country.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Fiji	174,512	0	290,854	289,249	6,551	295,800

Health and well-being, and food & water security

No indicators/questions defined.

(PMF) A2.3 Number of males and females with year round access to reliable and safe water supply despite climate shocks and stresses (IRMF) Supplementary 2.3 Beneficiaries (female/male) with more climate-resilient water security						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) with improved access to and adoption of climate-resilient and reliable water supply in climate-stressed areas, disaggregated by gender.						
Unit						No. of Beneficiaries
Disaggregation by: Gender	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Male	85,511	0	148,336	147,517	3,341	150,858
Female	85,511	0	142,518	141,732	3,210	144,942

(PMF) A2.3 Number of males and females with year round access to reliable and safe water supply despite climate shocks and stresses (IRMF) Supplementary 2.3 Beneficiaries (female/male) with more climate-resilient water security						
Provide the total number of direct beneficiaries (female/male) with improved access to and adoption of climate-resilient and reliable water supply in climate-stressed areas, disaggregated by country.						
Unit						Enter unit
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Fiji	174,512	0	290,854	289,249	6,551	295,800

Optional Co-Benefit Indicators

No indicators/questions defined.

Total number of direct and indirect jobs (measured in full-time equivalents, female/male) created or maintained
Provide the total number of indirect jobs (measured in full-time equivalents, female/male) created or maintained.

Unit					No. of Full-time equivalent jobs
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final target			
0	0	0	1	0	1

Total number of institutions or decision-makers, including local actors, using climate information products or services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors						
Provide the total number of institutions or decision-makers, including local actors.						
Unit						No. of Actors
Disaggregation by: Decision-making entity type	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Institutions	0	0	3	1	1	2

Total number of institutions or decision-makers, including local actors, using climate information products or services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors						
Provide the total number of institutions or decision-makers, including local actors, disaggregated by country.						
Unit						No. of Actors
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Fiji	0	0	3	1	1	2

Total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms to support climate-resilient and low-emission development						
Provide the total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms.						
Unit					No. of Items	
Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)	
	Mid-term	Final target				
0	0	3	1	2	3	

Total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms to support climate-resilient and low-emission development						
Provide the total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms, disaggregated by country.						
Unit						No. of Items
Disaggregation by: Project Countries	Baseline	Mid-term	Final target	Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value
Fiji	0	0	3	1	2	3

Project Specific Indicators							
Indicator	Unit	Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual value	Cumulative Value (automatically calculated)
			Mid-term	Final target			
5.1 Institutional and regulatory systems that improve incentives for low emissions planning and development and their effective implementation	No. of Institutions	0	0	3	1	2	3
M5.1 Institutional and regulatory systems that improve incentives for	No. of Policy Instruments	0	0	3	1	2	3

lowemission planning and development and their effective implementation							
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Activities				
Activity Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
Project Activity 1.1: Rewa Water Treatment Plant & 1.2 Gravity Main	100	31,000,000	31,000,000	100

C.2.1. Subprojects							
Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).							
Title	Currency	GCF Funds	Co-Financing	Country	GHG Emissions Reduced	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C.3. Compliance with FAA covenants				Yes ☐ No ☒			
Some project covenants were complied with late, or only partially complied with. For details, see Appendix							
C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year				Yes ☒ No ☐			

Tranche 2 has been cancelled, and the first phase of the Kinoya WWTW will be carried out under the new Healthy Oceans and Water Supply Improvement Project (HOWSIP). The Performance Based Contract for NRW reduction in the GSA has been transferred to HOWSIP.

D. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

Risk 1	Project financing is involved in money laundering or terrorist financing activities.		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Money Laundering (ML) & Terrorist Financing (TF)		
Description	There is an insignificant risk that proceeds under this project are involved in money laundering and terrorist financing. These risks thrive where incentives meet weak oversight, particularly in economies with large informal sectors, weakly governed institutions, and inconsistently enforced regulations. Structural vulnerabilities in the financial system — such as opaque shell companies, complex cross-border transactions, and gaps in project, executing and/or implementing agency, or procurement due diligence — allow illicit funds to be layered and integrated with legitimate money (i.e., this project's proceeds).		
Consequences	Presence of money laundering and terrorist financing connections would result in immediate cessation of activities financed by this project, leading to severe regulatory penalties and fines, loss of banking and financial relationships and access, and severe reputational damage for all institutions and parties involved.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	4 - High	4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	ADB has strict mandatory due diligence procedures that includes a universal application of AML/CFT risk assessments for all operations and co-financing. The process is guided by our internal staff instructions on integrity due diligence for all operations and co-financing. In addition, ADB conducts proactive integrity reviews of operations on a regular basis to ensure compliance and mitigate any risks of AML/CFT.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low

Comments	N/A		
Risk 2	potential for FP to engage entities that may have been sanctioned by other actors		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Sanctions		
Description	There is an insignificant risk that the project may engage entities (executing, implementing, or through procurement) that are under sanctions by other actors for fraudulent practices, including corrupt, coercive, collusive or obstructive practices.		
Consequences	If the project was to unknowingly or knowingly engage sanctioned parties, then the FP would be in violation of ADB and GCF procedures and processes, resulting in immediate cessation of activities, need for corrective actions, and potentially more severe penalties depending on independent assessments. This would impede project delivery and eventual delivery of results.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 3 - Medium	Severity 3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	ADB verifies during due diligence, contracting, and implementation, as to whether an entity that will be or is engaged in the project is on our risk integrity portal or sanctions list. To ensure a consistent approach, MDBs, including ADB, have agreed on harmonized principles for sanctions and treatment of corporate groups. Potential instances that deem further investigation are reported directly to the ADB Office of Anti-Corruption and Integrity (OAI) that investigates such claims and recommends appropriate action. OAI also carries out proactive integrity reviews (PIRs) of ongoing operations to help prevent and detect such violations. PIRs assess project integrity risks by identifying any red flags through capacity assessments, inspection of project outputs and implementation, procurement and financial assessments. PIRs recommend measures to protect project resources. Follow-up reviews are conducted to assess the implementation progress of the PIR recommendations, and to assist the executing/implementing agencies and ADB in implementing any recommendations.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 1 - None	Severity 1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 3	Potential contractors or engaged agencies engage in fraudulent practices, including corrupt, coercive, collusive or obstructive practices.		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Fraud / Bribery & Corruption / Collusion and any other prohibited practice		
Description	The FP engages entities or the AE itself engages in corrupt, fraudulent, coercive, collusive and abusive practices. Reasons to engage in such behavior could be because of individual and corporate pressures or incentives to win contracts or to cover up mismanagement of resources or past behaviors or be in positions of undue influence. These behaviors are exacerbated by weak controls and governance processes.		
Consequences	While this is an insignificant risk in this FP, the discovery of such behavior could lead to (a) suspension of activities under this FP, (b) reputational damage that affects the AE, GCF, government, and private entities involved in delivering the FP, (c) mandatory internal investigations, remediation, and potential legal and/or regulatory proceedings leading to disbarment, loss of licenses to operate, or access to markets, capital facilities, and/or procurement processes, amongst any other consequences so recommended by internal investigations.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood 1 - Unlikely	Impact 4 - High	Severity 4 - Medium
Mitigation Measure(s)	ADB verifies during due diligence, contracting, and implementation, as to whether an entity that is engaged in the project is on our risk integrity portal or sanctions list. To ensure a consistent approach, MDBs, including ADB, have agreed on harmonized principles for debarment, sanctions and treatment of corporate groups. Potential instances that deem further investigation are reported directly to the ADB Office of Anti-Corruption and Integrity (OAI) that investigates such claims and recommends		

	appropriate action. OAI also carries out proactive integrity reviews (PIRs) of ongoing operations to help prevent and detect such violations. PIRs assess project integrity risks by identifying any red flags through capacity assessments, inspection of project outputs and implementation, procurement and financial assessments. PIRs recommend measures to protect project resources. Follow-up reviews are conducted to assess the implementation progress of the PIR recommendations, and to assist the executing/implementing agencies and ADB in implementing any recommendations.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	2 - Low	2 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 4	Involved stakeholders fail to report and manage conflict of interest		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Integrity		
Risk Sub-area	Conflict of interest		
Description	The FP engages entities or the AE itself fails to: (a) appropriately disclose; and (b) take reasonable measures to manage a conflict of interest which is any situation in which these parties have interests that could improperly influence their performance of official duties or responsibilities, contractual obligations, or compliance with applicable laws and regulations.		
Consequences	While this is an insignificant risk in this FP, the discovery of such behavior could lead to (a) suspension of activities under this FP, (b) reputational damage that affects the AE, GCF, government, and private entities involved in delivering the FP, (c) mandatory internal investigations, remediation, and potential legal and/or regulatory proceedings leading to disbarment, loss of licenses to operate, or access to markets, capital facilities, and/or procurement processes, amongst any other consequences so recommended by internal investigations.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	3 - Medium	3 - Low
Mitigation Measure(s)	ADB verifies during due diligence, contracting, and implementation, as to whether an entity that is engaged in the project is on our risk integrity portal or sanctions list. To ensure a consistent approach, MDBs, including ADB, have agreed on harmonized principles for debarment, sanctions and treatment of corporate groups. Potential instances that deem further investigation are reported directly to the ADB Office of Anti-Corruption and Integrity (OAI) that investigates such claims and recommends appropriate action. OAI also carries out proactive integrity reviews (PIRs) of ongoing operations to help prevent and detect such violations. PIRs assess project integrity risks by identifying any red flags through capacity assessments, inspection of project outputs and implementation, procurement and financial assessments. PIRs recommend measures to protect project resources. Follow-up reviews are conducted to assess the implementation progress of the PIR recommendations, and to assist the executing/implementing agencies and ADB in implementing any recommendations.		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	1 - Unlikely	1 - None	1 - Low
Comments	N/A		
Risk 5	Operational risks		
Risk Origin	Project Related		
Risk Area	Design and Implementation		
Risk Sub-area	N/A		
Description	See Annex 10		
Consequences	See Annex 10. Note that the table below is completed for the sake of being able to submit the report but it is over simplistic and not valid.		
Inherent Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	3 - Medium	6 - Medium

Mitigation Measure(s)	See Annex 10		
Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Current Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Severity
	2 - Possible	2 - Low	4 - Medium
Comments	See Annex 10		

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

(this section is confidential)

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Fiji	Grants	USD	31,040,000	31,040,000	1,877,305.49	27,340,472.27	0%	100%
Total		USD	31,040,000	31,040,000	1,877,305.49	27,340,472.27	N/A	N/A

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-financier	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
EIB	Loans	USD	24,200,000	21,751,399	1,101,778	21,751,399	5%	95%
Total		USD	24,200,000	21,751,399	1,101,778	21,751,399	N/A	N/A

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF Policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

No additional finance mobilized information provided.

File Name: 2025 APR grant-financial-progress-detail-FP008.xlsx

File Name: Report on AE Fee FP008 FY2025.pdf

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

Key Environmental and Social Changes

Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates

Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☒ No ☐

See Annexes:

- Covenants compliance table
- RRWSS Safeguards Final Report - draft

F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.

Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?

Yes ☒ No ☐

The process of application for a water abstraction licence has stalled, as reported in previous years, with the issue having been referred to Cabinet for resolution. This is due to the refusal of the Vanua of Viria to sign the Waiver of Fishing Rights, which is a precondition for the Fisheries Impact Assessment that would determine what compensation they would be entitled to.

F.3. ESS standards implementation progress

Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If you tick 'Yes', please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring

Outputs during the reporting period	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
Remarks/Notes	
F.4. Sub-projects information	
Have any subprojects been implemented?	Yes ☒ No ☐
Title of the sub-project	Rewa River Water Supply Scheme
ESS management plan/instrument in place	
The sub-project was implemented under two construction contracts (DBO and GM) both of which had an approved Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP). The updated Resettlement Plan was split into several volumes to facilitate progressive submission and approval, thereby avoiding delay to the entire sub-project whilst land acquisition was still in progress	
Stakeholder engagement plan in place	
There were no further actions during the reporting period as the construction work was already completed and the WTP was already in operation since late 2023.	
Activities/engagement conducted with Indigenous peoples	

Title of the sub-project	Rewa River Water Supply Scheme
Not applicable - there were no Indigenous Peoples impacts or activities in this project.	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during this reporting period	
Awaiting details from WAF on risk assessment / environmental management of WTP under operation phase	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	
There are no issues that require GCF's attention. Awaiting statement from WAF on abstraction licence issue.	

F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan	
Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?	Yes ☐ No ☒

No stakeholder engagement activities have been undertaken during the reporting period.

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned	
Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?	Yes ☐ No ☒
Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period	
Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities Nothing to report re indigenous peoples. The main (rather obvious) learning point from the project was the importance of completing all foreseen land acquisition before commencing implementation.	
F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances	
<i>Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:</i>	
Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project	
☐ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms.	
☒ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above	

The stakeholders were not made aware of the GCF-level grievance mechanism, which was not referenced in the approved GRM.

Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?

Yes ☐ No ☒

None. (Awaiting confirmation from WAF.) The GRC was wound up after completion of the construction works because there was no longer any impact on the neighbouring villages.

F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan

See Annex 6, where full details are provided.

Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words)

Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.

GAP Activity	
See Annex 6 for full details	
Indicator	
See Annex 6	
Rating of Indicator	GREEN (on track to be met)
Baseline	0
Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable	1
Annual progress update (Reporting Period)	
See Annex 6 for all details for all actions and targets	
Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
See Annex 6 for all details for all actions and targets	
Budget	0
Currency	USD

Timeline (Planned completion of activity)	31 January 2026
Responsibility	
WAF	
Remarks/Notes	
See Annex 6 for comments	
F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review	
Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>The project is complete, and so are the Gender Specialist's inputs. The final update on the GAP is provided in Annex 6.</i>	
Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>There was no specific budget for the GAP, apart from the provision of consultants and WAF staff inputs</i>	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>See Annex 6 for full details, including exceptions</i>	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ No ☒
<i>The GAP was revised in 2022 following the Mid-Term Review, and this was reported at the time.</i>	
Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☒ No ☐

<i>See Annex 6 for full details, including exceptions for unsuitable targets that were never achievable</i>	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>See Annex 6 for full details, including comments on unsuitable targets that were never achievable</i>	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	Yes ☒ No ☐
<i>One lesson learnt was that the timing of public consultation meetings needed to take into account the availability of women at different times of the day.</i>	
Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period	
<i>None. The project is complete.</i>	

G. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
File Name: Annex 1 - Not applicable.docx
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
File Name: Annex 2 - 240404 Fiji Water Sector Strategy 2050 - Web version 2.pdf
Annex 3: List of grievances received
No annexes provided.
Annex 4: Procurement information
File Name: Annex 4 - Updated Procurement Plan.docx
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year
File Name: Annex 5 - Updated implementation timetable.docx
Annex 6: Updated Gender Assessment and/or Action Plan
File Name: Annex 6 - Status of Gender Action Plan 2025.docx
Additional Annexes (if applicable)
File Name: Annex 7 - Status of FAA Covenants as at 31 December 2025.docx File Name: Annex 8 - RRWSS Safeguards Final Report - draft.pdf File Name: Annex 9 - Safeguards Monitoring Report July - Dec 2025_Final.docx File Name: Annex 10 – Operational Risks.docx

