



**GREEN  
CLIMATE  
FUND**

**Meeting of the Board**

29 June – 2 July 2026

Dushanbe, Tajikistan

Provisional agenda item 11

**GCF/B.45/07**

8 June 2026

---

**Consideration of funding proposals:  
Consideration of a request for changes to the  
scope of funded activity FP034 (“Building  
Resilient Communities, Wetland Ecosystems and  
Associated Catchments in Uganda”)**

---

**Summary**

This document presents a request to approve a reduction in the scope of the funded activity FP034 resulting in changes to the impact and end-of-project targets in the logical framework.

## I. Introduction

1. Funded activity FP034, titled “Building Resilient Communities, Wetland Ecosystems and Associated Catchments in Uganda”, was submitted by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the accredited entity (AE), and approved by the Board at its fifteenth meeting on 15 December 2016 with GCF funding of USD 24.14 million. The funded activity agreement became effective on 30 June 2017. At the time of writing, USD 20.11 million had been disbursed under the project, representing 83 per cent of total GCF funding.

2. The project aims to enhance the climate resilience of subsistence farmers in the south-western and eastern regions of Uganda who rely on wetlands for food security through three main outputs:

- (a) Output 1: restoration and management of wetland hydrology and associated catchments;
- (b) Output 2: improved agricultural practices and alternative livelihood options in wetland catchments; and
- (c) Output 3: strengthening access to climate and early warning information for farmers and other target communities.

3. The project has been the subject of five complaints submitted to the Independent Redress Mechanism (IRM), of which four have been found eligible and one remains under eligibility assessment. These include complaints relating to adverse livelihood impacts on communities adjacent to restored wetlands, two drowning incidents involving minors at water-retention facilities, and allegations of forced displacement, destruction of property and non-provision of alternative livelihoods. Two cases have been closed following resolution, one remains under problem-solving modality, and one is under compliance review in the context of ongoing court-annexed mediation. A further complaint concerning non-payment of project-staff salaries is undergoing eligibility determination.

4. In response to implementation and safeguards concerns, the Secretariat undertook enhanced monitoring and oversight of the project, including an ad hoc check in December 2024, following which a remedial action plan was agreed with UNDP. In April 2025, the Secretariat further intensified its oversight through additional remedial and assurance measures, including a request for an audit of the project. The audit report, submitted in September 2025, rated the project partially satisfactory, with major improvement needed, and led the Secretariat to request a deepened assessment of the portfolio. A follow-up monitoring mission undertaken by the Secretariat in March 2026 informed an additional action plan centred on project restructuring. Consequently, a restructuring proposal was submitted by the AE in April 2026 alongside a confirmation from the national designated authority of Uganda confirming its no-objection status with regard to the requested restructuring (annex II).

5. Through the restructuring proposal, the AE has requested the approval of the following changes:

- (a) Reduction in the scope of certain activities resulting in changes to the impact and end-of-project targets in the logical framework, including a reduction in:
  - (i) Direct beneficiaries from 800,000 to 681,051;
  - (ii) Households adopting resilient livelihoods from 75,000 to 59,873;
  - (iii) Wetlands restored from 64,370 ha to 54,508 ha;
  - (iv) Catchment restored from 11,630 ha to 5,309 ha;
  - (v) Agroforestry from 35,000 ha to 10,209 ha;

- (vi) Increase of agricultural incomes from 100 per cent to 20 per cent; and
  - (vii) Agricultural land made more resilient from 12,500 ha to 3,094 ha;
- together with the following consequential changes to give effect to the above reduction in scope:
- (b) Changes to the budget and financing structure of the project:
    - (i) Reallocation of USD 3,049,189.62 of GCF proceeds from output 1 and USD 751,079.38 of GCF proceeds from output 3 to output 2; and
    - (ii) Increase in total project funding from USD 44,262,160 to USD 46,175,353.83 through increases in co-financing from UNDP (increase of USD 250,000) and the Government of Uganda acting through the Ministry of Water and Environment (MWE) (increase of USD 1,663,193.83); and
  - (c) An extension of the closing date by 18 months and of the completion date by 12 months.

6. Following an assessment by the Secretariat, the consequential changes referred to in paragraph 5(b) and 5(c) above were determined not to constitute a “Major Change” and were approved by the Secretariat subject to the Board approving the Major Change referred to in paragraph 5(a) above. The primary request to reduce the scope of certain activities resulting in changes to the impact and end-of-project targets in the logical framework referred to in paragraph 5(a) was determined to constitute a Major Change as further elaborated in paragraph 17 below and therefore needs to be presented to the Board for approval.

## II. Assessment

### 2.1 Description and rationale for requested changes

7. The proposed restructuring is focused on revising the scale, allocation of resources and implementation arrangements of the project, while maintaining its original objective. The main changes requested include reductions in the scope of certain activities, leading to a reduction in selected results targets, reallocation of budget from outputs 1 and 3 to output 2 and, taking into account the lessons learned from implementation to date, updates and strengthening of implementation instruments, including the Wetland Wise Use and Alternative Livelihood Framework and the livelihood implementation plan to provide for the continued implementation of the restructured project.

8. Revised targets include a reduction in the number of direct beneficiaries from 800,000 to 681,051 (-15 per cent) and in the number of households adopting resilient livelihoods from 75,000 to 59,873 (-20 per cent). The reduction in the number of beneficiaries is related to the reassessment of the number of community members eligible to receive livelihood support and the decrease in ecosystem restoration targeted under the project, including 15 per cent reduction in wetland restoration, 54 per cent reduction in catchment restoration and 71 per cent reduction in agroforestry, resulting in a 37 per cent decrease in hectares of natural resource areas brought under improved low-emission and/or climate-resilient management practices under the project. The revised logical framework reflects changes in the core and supplementary indicators and provides updated project-level targets. In addition, minor adjustments have been made to the project’s inputs. These revisions ensure that the logical framework is aligned with the revised scope of the project. Table 1 presents key changes to the logical framework.

**Table 1: Key changes to logical framework**

Indicator	Final target in funding proposal	Revised final target
Core 2: Number of direct and indirect beneficiaries	Direct beneficiaries: 800,000 Indirect beneficiaries: 4,000,000 (estimate)	Direct beneficiaries: 681,051 Indirect beneficiaries: 3,759,452
Supplementary 2.1: Beneficiaries adopting improved and/or new climate-resilient livelihood options	75,000 households	59,873 households equivalent to 251,467 beneficiaries
Supplementary 2.4: Beneficiaries covered by new or improved early warning systems (Unit: number of individuals)	70% of target population receiving climate information and early warnings, estimated as 4,000,000 individuals	94% of target population receiving climate information and early warnings, estimated at 3,759,452 individuals
Core 4: Hectares of natural resource areas brought under improved low-emission and/or climate-resilient management practices	Total: 111,000 ha Wetlands: 64,370 ha Catchment: 11,630 ha Agroforestry: 35,000 ha	Total: 70,026 ha Wetlands: 54,508 ha Catchment: 5,309 ha Agroforestry: 10,209 ha
Project-specific indicators:		
Percentage increase in agricultural incomes and alternative livelihoods in the project sites	100% increase in agricultural incomes	20% increase in agricultural incomes
Hectares of agricultural land made more resilient	12,500	3,094

9. The financing plan is revised accordingly to reflect the reduced scope of the project described above, with GCF allocation to output 2 increasing from USD 8.67 million to USD 12.47 million, through budget reallocations. In addition to the reallocation of GCF funding, MWE is increasing its co-financing to the project by USD 1.66 million to sustain momentum and support the successful completion and consolidation of project outcomes following full utilization of the initially committed co-financing. The contribution by UNDP will increase by USD 0.25 million to be allocated to outcome 2 for pre- and post-distribution technical and enterprise support and strengthening of the grievance redress mechanism (GRM) function. A summary of the changes in budget allocations is provided in table 2 below.

**Table 2: Revised budget allocations in United States dollars**

	Total	Total change	GCF	GCF change
<b>Output 1</b>	21,696,941	(1,426,982)	7,569,462	(3,049,190)
<b>Output 2</b>	18,798,536	4,124,517	12,474,288	3,800,269
<b>Output 3</b>	4,564,858	(784,342)	2,981,390	(751,079)
<b>Project Management Cost</b>	1,115,020	-	1,115,020	-
<b>Project total</b>	<b>46,175,354</b>	<b>1,913,194</b>	<b>24,140,160</b>	<b>-</b>

## 2.2 Assessment of the requested changes

10. The rationale for the restructuring is premised on the gap in livelihood delivery and the need to align remaining resources, targets, and implementation arrangements with verified beneficiary data and delivery capacity. According to the livelihood delivery stocktake undertaken by the project in 2025, only 41 per cent of verified eligible households had received support and close to 17,800 households remained to be assisted. The restructuring focuses on addressing this issue through a concentration of available resources on outstanding livelihood delivery, with the revised impact targets reflecting beneficiary numbers derived from the stocktake and curtailing further ecosystem restoration work in view of limited resources available to support additional restoration efforts and communities beyond those already profiled by the project.

11. Equally important, the focus on supporting communities is reflected in the strengthened delivery arrangements building on lessons learned and challenges observed during implementation to date. A newly developed Wetland Wise Use and Alternative Livelihood Framework and the livelihood implementation plan set out a revised delivery model, aligned with the original premise of the funding proposal, based on group enterprises, but incorporating stronger project control points, especially additional validation and documentation of eligibility, participatory selection of livelihood options within models that are viable and scalable within the district context, capacity assessment and building, costed delivery planning, clearer accountability of decision-making and financial controls on implementation of the delivery plans, more robust preparation for the exit strategy and strengthened monitoring, grievance, and operation and maintenance arrangements.

12. The proposed reduction in restoration targets, combined with a stronger focus on improving the livelihoods of communities residing adjacent to restored wetlands, is expected to have a positive effect on the sustainability of project interventions. Implementation experience has shown that in sites where communities have not yet received alternative livelihood support, the absence of viable livelihood options significantly increases the risk of wetland re-encroachment and reinforces perceptions of wetland restoration as punitive rather than supportive.

13. At the same time, the inconsistency between the group-based approach envisaged in the funding proposal and applied in some districts, and the individual household-based support provided during implementation in other districts, has created expectations for support to be delivered at individual household level. In practice, this approach has proven unfeasible within the project's budget constraints, difficult to sustain from the perspective of capacity-building and extension services, and not readily scalable or replicable. The restructuring therefore appropriately returns from one-off asset distribution toward a more integrated livelihood systems approach as originally envisaged in the funding proposal, combining physical inputs with sustained technical support, business development services, and market linkages. This responds to observed implementation limitations, where asset provision in isolation did not generate viable or durable outcomes. With USD 4.4 million of total funding allocated to outcome 2 in the final two years of implementation and almost 17,800 households to be reached, the average cost per household is close to USD 250, which is broadly comparable to other GCF-funded projects supporting climate-resilient agriculture interventions. However, the success of the revised approach will depend not only on improved design, but on disciplined execution, consistent messaging to and engagement with communities, and the ability to translate technical support, business development, and market linkages into demonstrable income and resilience gains within the remaining implementation period. A detailed risk assessment is included in the limited distribution addendum I to this document.

## 2.3 Environmental and social safeguards

14. Taking into account the key implementation gaps and lessons learned during implementation of the project to date, including the complaints received by the IRM, as part of the restructuring package for FP034, UNDP shared the updated versions of the social and environmental screening procedure, the stakeholder engagement plan (SEP) and the GRM manual. An environmental and social action plan (ESAP) is proposed in the context of the restructuring proposal which sets out the actions required to manage the remaining environmental and social risks of the project and translates existing safeguard instruments into time-bound actions, responsibilities, monitoring measures, including budget allocations, to strengthen compliance of the funded activity with the applicable environmental and social standards. The ESAP has proposed enhanced monthly to quarterly monitoring by MWE with oversight from UNDP, reinforced measures on community health and safety such as the maintenance of installed perimeter fences and signage to deter unauthorized entry to communities to prevent any safety risks and provided a dedicated budget that is inclusive of the GRM. The ESAP, SEP and GRM build on experiences and lessons learned during earlier implementation and further provided justification on how restructuring will ensure an orderly completion and closure of the project. The updated GRM builds on the functionality review of the existing mechanism, taking into consideration incidents and thematic complaints from earlier GRM cases, successes and challenges, with a view to allowing aggrieved stakeholders to obtain swift resolution within the existing structures. The updated SEP covers the project's outstanding activities planned to be implemented within the post-restructuring period. It proposes enhanced targeting to ensure that the participation of women and vulnerable groupings is not constrained, more proactive engagement for livelihood interventions, and maximizing on existing communication channels.

15. Moreover, the restructuring process further presented an opportunity to reassess and strengthen the project's environmental and social risk management by applying the UNDP social and environmental standards (SES) that are consistent with the requirements of the GCF environmental and social safeguards standards applicable to the funding proposal since the time of Board consideration. The updated social and environmental screening report presented the outcome from the re-screening of project activities against the eight SES. Screening against the SES on biodiversity, community health and safety, displacement and resettlement, labour and working conditions, pollution prevention and resource efficiency showed a moderate risk, while climate change, cultural heritage and Indigenous Peoples had a low risk. Overall, the project environmental and social risk categorization was retained as moderate as project activities had potential adverse social and environmental risks and impacts that are limited in scale and can be mitigated during project implementation.

16. The approach adopted in the project is precautionary and construction activities in the wetlands and catchment restoration will be sited to avoid any physical and economic displacement. While there are no further wetland restoration activities to be conducted, site-specific screenings of catchment restoration will confirm if there is still the potential risk of economic displacement. Potential economic displacement from wetland and catchment restoration, due to restricted access, will be addressed through the delivery of alternative livelihoods and application of wise-use principles. UNDP has committed to ensuring compliance with the SES on displacement and resettlement, and implementation of the updated livelihood restoration plan. The post-restructuring period will prioritize the delivery of alternative livelihoods and related enterprise capacity-building to address gaps in implementation in the project to date.

## 2.4 Determination of the nature of the changes

17. The Secretariat through its Operations Committee has determined that the request of the AE referred to in paragraph 5(a) to change the scope of the project by reducing the scope of ecosystem restoration and focusing on introducing economically viable and sustainable livelihood and income-generating interventions constitutes a Major Change in itself pursuant to paragraph 16(b) of the GCF Policy on Restructuring and Cancellation and the accreditation master agreement entered into with the AE. In reaching this conclusion, the Secretariat considered the following:

- (a) The Policy on Restructuring and Cancellation (para. 16(b)) states that a change in the scope of a project will be deemed to be a Major Change if it results in a material and adverse deviation from the intended objectives or outcomes of the project, in particular its climate or environmental outcomes;
- (b) Clause 1.01 of the accreditation master agreement between GCF and the AE defines a Major Change as any restructuring of a funded activity that involves a major change in its scope, structure or design, a major change in its objectives, or a reallocation of GCF proceeds affecting the funded activity's scope or objectives, or any other change that substantially alters the purpose or benefit of the funded activity; and
- (c) With the proposed change referred to in paragraph 5(a) above, the scope of the project will be significantly reduced, thereby materially and adversely affecting the intended outcomes of the project.

18. Having evaluated the request and the justifications provided by the AE, the Secretariat acknowledges the proposed changes to the scope of the project and recommends the requested Major Change be considered by the Board.

### **III. Recommendation**

19. In light of the above considerations, the Secretariat recommends that the Board approve the following Major Change to the project, as further described in paragraph 5(a) above:

(a) Reduction in scope of certain activities, resulting in changes to the impact and end-of-project targets in the logical framework.

20. Accordingly, it is recommended that the Board adopt the draft decision contained in annex I.

## Annex I: Draft decision of the Board

The Board, having considered document GCF/B.45/07 titled “Consideration of funding proposals: Consideration of a request for changes to the scope of funded activity FP034 (“Building Resilient Communities, Wetland Ecosystems and Associated Catchments in Uganda”)” and its limited distribution addendum Add.01:

- (a) Approves the Major Change with respect to funded activity FP034 titled “Building Resilient Communities, Wetland Ecosystems and Associated Catchments in Uganda” as described in document GCF/B.45/07; and
- (b) Authorizes the Secretariat to negotiate and execute relevant amendments to the funded activity agreement for FP034 to reflect the changes hereby approved.



## Annex II: Confirmation of no-objection

Telephone : 256 41 4232095/341286  
Fax : 256 41 4233524  
Email : [finance@finance.go.ug](mailto:finance@finance.go.ug)  
Website : [www.finance.go.ug](http://www.finance.go.ug)  
Plot No. 2-8 Apollo Kaggwa Road  
In any correspondence on  
This subject please quote No. DARC 79/251/03



Ministry of Finance,  
Planning & and Economic  
Development,  
P.O Box 8147  
Kampala, Uganda

14<sup>th</sup> April, 2026

The Executive Director  
Secretariat of the Green Climate Fund  
**SOUTH KOREA**

### **NO OBJECTION TO THE RESTRUCTURING PROPOSAL FOR THE PROJECT TITLED, “FP034 BUILDING RESILIENT COMMUNITIES WETLAND ECOSYSTEMS AND ASSOCIATED CATCHMENTS IN UGANDA.”**

We refer to the project titled, “FP034 Building Resilient Communities Wetland Ecosystems and Associated Catchments in Uganda,” and to the Funding Proposal and the Funded Activity Agreement (FAA) signed between the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the Green Climate Fund (GCF) on 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.

The Ministry of Finance Planning and Economic Development (MFPED), is the National Designated Authority of Uganda, with the undersigned as the duly authorized representative.

We hereby communicate our no-objection to the Restructuring Proposal of the project, “FP034 Building Resilient Communities Wetland Ecosystems and Associated Catchments in Uganda.”

By communicating our no-objection, it is implied that:

- a) The Government of Uganda has no- objection to the Restructuring Proposal as submitted to the GCF by UNDP.
- b) The Restructuring Proposal as included has been prepared in due consultations with all responsible parties and is in conformity with national priorities, strategies and plans of Uganda; and
- c) In accordance with GCF’s environmental and social safeguards, the project as included in the Restructuring Proposal is in conformity with relevant laws and regulations.

Mission

*“To formulate sound economic policies, maximize revenue mobilization, ensure efficient allocation and accountability for public resources so as to achieve the most rapid and sustainable economic growth and development”*



We also confirm that our national process for ascertaining no-objection to the restructuring proposal has been duly followed.

We also confirm that our no-objection applies to all activities to be implemented within the scope of the project.

We acknowledge that this letter will be made publicly available on the GCF website.

Patrick Ocaïlap

**FOR: PERMANENT SECRETARY/SECRETARY TO THE TREASURY AND  
NATIONAL DESIGNATED AUTHORITY TO THE GREEN CLIMATE FUND**

Copy to: The Resident Representative, United Nations Development  
Programme

The Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Water and Environment

*Mission*

*"To formulate sound economic policies, maximize revenue mobilization, ensure efficient allocation and accountability for public resources so as to achieve the most rapid and sustainable economic growth and development"*

## **Annex III: Restructuring proposal**

*The restructuring proposal is contained below.*

FP034

Building Resilient Communities, Wetland Ecosystems and Associated Catchments in Uganda

Change Request

# General Information - v4 2026-05-27 00:46 +09:00

## Change Request -General Information

Welcome to the change Request module. This is an on-demand module. You can submit as many requests as the project needs at any point of the implementation time.

[Policy on restructuring and cancellation \(https://www.greenclimate.fund/document/policy-restructuring-and-cancellation\)](https://www.greenclimate.fund/document/policy-restructuring-and-cancellation)

This form should be completed by Accredited Entities in the preparation of a restructuring proposal to a Funded Activity under implementation. For guidance on restructuring, please refer to the Policy on Restructuring and cancellation.

Please fill out the mandatory fields in this form. Note that this form, the General Information form, and the Closing form are required for every request. Please select the (+ Choose Forms) button to access the different optional forms, which contain the types of changes. Please select as many type of changes as you need.

### Section I. Funded Activity information

Funding proposal reference number	<input type="text" value="FP034"/>		
Funded Activity Name	<input type="text" value="Building Resilient Communities, Wetland Ecosystems and Associated Catchments in Uganda"/>		
AE Name	<input type="text" value="UNDP"/>		
Currency	<input type="text" value="usd"/>		
Total GCF funding	<input type="text" value="24,140,160"/>	Total Co-Financing	<input type="text" value="20,122,000"/>
Total Disbursed amount (USD)	<input type="text" value="20,109,366"/> <input type="text" value="USD"/>	Total Disbursed amount (EUR)	<input type="text" value="0"/> <input type="text" value="EUR"/>
% total GCF funding disbursed	<input type="text"/>		
Date of Effectiveness	<input type="text" value="2017-06-30"/>	Closing Date	<input type="text" value="2025-12-31"/>
		Funded Activity Completion date	<input type="text" value="2026-12-31"/>

### Section II. Overall summary of the Change Request

Please ensure that this request pertains only to amendments and restructuring. All waiver and extension requests for reports, such as APRs, Inception Reports, and Baseline Reports, should be submitted exclusively through the Waiver/Extension for Report Submission module under the Report tab.

**Use the (+Choose forms) option above to select the types of changes to include**

#### Title of Change Request \*

#### Summary of proposed changes to the Funded Activity \*

A key change relates to impact potential, which will be revised from the original direct beneficiary target of 800,000 people to 681,051 people, reflecting updated verification of eligible beneficiaries and a more realistic assessment of what can be achieved within the remaining implementation period. This adjustment ensures that project resources are aligned with verified demand and can deliver tangible and equitable outcomes. Other downward changes in project targets include:

- Number of households adopting resilient livelihoods: 75,000 households (equivalent of 315,000 beneficiaries) → 59,873 households (equivalent of 251,467 beneficiaries)
- Catchment restoration: 11,630 hectares → 5,309 hectares
- Area of agroforestry: 35,000 hectares → 10,209 hectares
- Area of agricultural land made more resilient: 12,500 hectares → 3,094 hectares
- Improved agricultural income from alternative livelihoods: 100% → 20%

A major component of the restructuring is the reallocation of budget across outputs beyond the 10 percent threshold, with approximately US\$ 3.05million shifted from Output 1 (wetland restoration) and US\$0.75 million from Output 3 (climate information and early warning systems) to Output 2 (alternative livelihoods). This reallocation prioritizes livelihood support as a critical pathway to achieving both resilience and social stability, particularly in light of identified gaps and outstanding beneficiary needs.

In addition, UNDP will provide an additional US\$250,000 in co-financing, bringing total additional resources for livelihood support to nearly US\$4.3 million. This contribution will primarily support capacity building, enterprise development, and coordination, including engagement of third-party service providers to enhance the business viability and sustainability of livelihood interventions.

Additional co-financing of the project to the tune of US\$1,663,193.83. The funds shall be used for the following purposes:

- Support contracts committee meetings during the procurement of alternative livelihoods assets
- Support the distribution of livelihood assets by the district local governments
- Undertaking remedial and corrective works across all project investment sites
- Salaries for project staff to support all outputs

The restructuring introduces key implementation improvements based on lessons learned. The updated Livelihood Framework clarifies sustainable livelihood definitions, eligibility, and alignment with wetland wise use. The Implementation Plan operationalizes delivery through a standardized, step-by-step process, introduces district-level budget caps, and strengthens expenditure tracking through UNDP direct payments. Newly introduced District Operational Plans (DOPs) consolidate field-level procedures, defining roles, asset management, O&M, safeguards, and monitoring consistently across districts. The updated Communication Strategy and Stakeholder Engagement Plan strengthen community engagement, clarify delivery processes, and reduce grievance risks.

Community enterprises will receive structured project support for capacity building, enterprise development, and linkages to relevant service providers and financing opportunities where appropriate. Access to external financing, including through the Microfinance Support Center Ltd (MSC), may be explored on a voluntary basis by eligible groups to support enterprise growth beyond project-financed livelihood delivery.

Under the restructured project, the group-based enterprise approach will be applied for the remaining beneficiaries. This reflects lessons learned and is intended to strengthen sustainability, collective management, operational oversight, and enterprise viability. Enterprise groups adopting this approach will also receive targeted project capacity-building support. A risk remains, however, as livelihood assets were previously delivered to individuals and some stakeholders may continue to expect individual support. To mitigate this risk, the Communication Strategy and Stakeholder Engagement Plan have been revised under the overarching Alternative Livelihood Framework and wetland wise use principles to strengthen transparency, manage expectations, and support informed participation. This will be complemented by strengthened livelihood-related grievance handling alongside the existing project GRM.

Overall, the proposed changes represent a pragmatic, risk-informed adjustment that maximizes achievable results, strengthens sustainability, and addresses implementation challenges, while accepting moderate reductions in certain originally planned outputs.

Please provide a brief description of the proposed changes to the Funded Activity, please make sure to mention the rationale and justification for the restructuring changes including the objectives and primary measurable benefits. The detailed description can be elaborated in each relevant section.

### Section III. NDA consultation

Please provide evidence of consultation with the NDA.

#### Evidence of consultation with the NDA

[2026. 04. 14 NDA letter on no-objection to the restructuring proposal.pdf \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4783/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=field\\_EhDylxui:PIQMOARu\)](#)

If you wish to continue without attaching evidence of consultation, please justify why evidence of consultation with the NDA is not available/required. Please note that NDA consultation is mandatory for requests that fall under the scope of paragraphs 11, 13, 23, 28 or 30 of the Policy on Restructuring and Cancellation and for the addition of countries to programmes. Please contact your GCF focal point if you require more guidance.

# Extension of Funded Activity completion and closing date - v4 2026-05-27 00:46 +09:00

## Change Request - 3. Extension of Funded Activity completion and closing date

Accredited Entities will use this form to request extension of Funded Activity's completion and/or closing dates.

### I. Change request

#### Extension Closing/Completion Date \*

Extension closing date, Extension completion date

### Request for change of Funded Activity closing date

#### Requested change

Extension of Closing Date

#### Initial Information

##### Initial Closing Date

2025-12-31

#### Requested Changes

##### Requested Closing Date \*

2027-06-30

#### References to FP /Conditions/FAA

FAA

### Request for change of Funded Activity completion date

#### Requested change

Extension of Completion Date and Restructuring

#### Initial Information

##### Initial Completion Date

2026-12-31

#### Requested Changes

##### Requested Completion Date \*

2027-12-31

#### References to FP /Conditions/FAA

FAA

### II. Justification and assessment of impact on the implementation of the funded activity

Please provide a justification for the change request. This can include evidence supporting the reasons for the request (including justification for the requested length of extension), assessment of changes in circumstances, market conditions, underlying rationale for the Funded Activity, and associated benefits and risks to the implementation of the funded activity. In case of a request for extension of Closing or Completion Date that has lapsed, please elaborate on the relevant background or context. Please also clarify whether the extension is being requested due to an on-going delay in the completion of the Funded Activity or its major components, and whether such a delay materially and adversely affects the achievement of the intended outcomes.

During 2025, a substantial proportion of the project's implementation time was devoted to addressing corrective actions arising from the Cure Period notification and the agreed Risk Mitigation Action Plan. In parallel, the project was subject to an audit conducted by the UNDP Office of Audit and Investigations (OAI). While these processes were critical to strengthening compliance, governance, and risk management, their combined effect constrained

the pace of programmatic implementation. As a result, the project experienced delays in achieving several targets articulated in the Logical Framework of the approved Funding Proposal. Against this backdrop, the request for a project extension is premised on the need to complete outstanding activities and to effectively deliver against the redefined indicator targets emerging from the project restructuring. The extension will enable the project to fully implement these adjusted targets, consolidate corrective actions already undertaken, and ensure that intended outcomes are achieved. In addition, there remains a backlog of beneficiaries yet to receive alternative livelihood assets. Granting the extension will provide sufficient time to address this gap and ensure that all targeted beneficiaries are supported with appropriate alternative livelihood opportunities, thereby safeguarding the project's intended outcomes and overall impact.

### III. Impact on Funded Activity management cost

Please estimate the increase in Funded Activity management cost (if any) during the extended implementation period and explain how it would be financed. If no extra costs are required, please explain how the available Funded Activity management budget is going to be spread across the extended time and be sustainable to guarantee key management and technical positions continuing implementing the Funded Activity. Please ensure consistency with the attached budget.

During the approved extension period, Project Management Costs (PMC) will be fully covered within the existing approved PMC budget of the project. No increase in total PMC allocation is proposed as part of the extension. The extension focuses on:

- Completion of remaining implementation activities under the restructured workplan
- Continued safeguards oversight, fiduciary management, monitoring, reporting, and coordination
- Close-out-oriented management functions rather than scale-up or expansion

As a result, PMC requirements during the extension are managed through reprofiling of existing PMC allocations as illustrated in the budget and budget notes across the remaining years, and efficiency measures implemented following project restructuring.

### IV. Other information relevant to the assessment of the request

Please provide any additional information relevant to the assessment of the change request, which may include any consequential change in the FAA arising due to extension of Closing or Completion Date (e.g, extension of loan tenor along with the extension of Completion Date, extension to the FAA Tenor, or extension/adjustment of certain covenants or reporting requirements linked to Closing or Completion Date).

The change request will have a consequence on the disbursement schedules on the FAA as follows:

- 7th disbursement \_ July 2026
- 8th disbursement \_ April 2027

### V. Attachments

If the requested extension would not result in change in the original budget and disbursement plan (including any change in Funded Activity management costs), and/or implementation plan set out in the Schedules to the FAA, then please elaborate on your assessment in the Section III above, in which case (subject to GCF's confirmation), submission of the relevant attachments may not be required.

**Revised "Budget and Disbursement Plan"**

Revised "Budget and Disbursement Plan" (Schedule 2 to the FAA) in comparison to the original plan (possibly with track changes)

**Revised "Implementation Plan"**

[FP034\\_Annex 8\\_Livelihood Implementation Plan-26 May.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4788/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clonenDKRE3le\\_field\\_KsDoRr67:yTIq0pJl\)](#)

Revised "Implementation Plan" (Schedule 5 to the FAA, possibly with track changes)

**Any other documentation**

[FP034\\_Annex 5\\_Stakeholder Engagement Plan.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4788/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clone0MimS4N6\\_field\\_KsDoRr67:YZef3JmQ\)](#)

[FP034\\_Annex 10\\_Updated GRM Manual.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4788/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clone0MimS4N6\\_field\\_KsDoRr67:cUtalwTy\)](#)

[FP034\\_Annex 12\\_Communication Strategy.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4788/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clone0MimS4N6\\_field\\_KsDoRr67:HxzM9FzQ\)](#)

[FP034\\_Annex 7\\_Updated Timetable.xlsx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4788/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clone0MimS4N6\\_field\\_KsDoRr67:6i17324l\)](#)

[FP034\\_Annex 14\\_Costed remedial works.xlsx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4788/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clone0MimS4N6\\_field\\_KsDoRr67:k10hbPnv\)](#)

[FP034\\_Annex 15\\_MoFPED Project staff structure 2026.pdf \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4788/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clone0MimS4N6\\_field\\_KsDoRr67:3tldcdSp\)](#)

[FP034\\_Annex 19\\_Signed IRM Case 0010 Agreement Addendum.pdf \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4788/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clone0MimS4N6\\_field\\_KsDoRr67:Ji1NgE4S\)](#)

[FP034\\_Annex 6\\_Alternative Livelihood Framework.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4788/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clone0MimS4N6\\_field\\_KsDoRr67:mNUzIB41\)](#)

# Scope of the FA - v3 2026-05-27 00:46 +09:00

## Change Request - 5. Scope of the FA

Accredited Entities will use this request form to officially submit the Restructuring Proposal Template of an FA project. Managing team will revise the request and provide the AE with decision.

### I. Change Request

Please provide concise information to explain the subject of the request, status in the approved FP, Board conditions and/or signed FAA, how the change will affect the impact of the project as compared to the approved FP, requested change(s) and references to legal agreements and/or approved FP relevant for the request. Please add rows if needed to capture all relevant requests.

**Use 'Add Row' button to add multiple Requests related to changes in Scope of the FA**

Subject of the request	
Change in Results Framework	
<b>Requested change</b>	
Fund level Impact	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· End of Project (EOP) target 64,370 ha restored wetland to 54,508 ha</li> <li>· EOP 11,630 ha restored catchment to 5,309 ha</li> <li>· EOP 35,000 ha agroforestry to 10,209 ha</li> <li>· EOP 75,000 hh to 59,873 hh</li> <li>· EOP 12,500 ha resilient agricultural land to 3,094 ha</li> </ul>	
For details on the impact level output, sub activity level target changes please refer to the attached logical framework. _ Annex 11_ Logical Framework	
<b>Approved FP/Conditions/FAA</b>	<b>References to FP/Conditions/FAA</b>
Logical framework	Funding Proposal section H.1
What were the approved terms in the FP/Conditions/FAA?	Which FP/Conditions/FAA is the request referring to?

Subject of the request

Change to Components and Cost

**Requested change**

It is proposed that a total of US\$3,800,269 be allocated from Outputs 1 and 3 to Output 2. For more details please refer to the Annex 13\_ Component and Cost.

**Approved FP/Conditions/FAA**

Please refer to Annex \_ Component and Cost

**References to FP/Conditions/FAA**

FAA schedule 2

What were the approved terms in the FP/Conditions/FAA?      Which FP/Conditions/FAA is the request referring to?

Subject of the request

Change in Implementation Schedule

**Requested change**

The closing date of the project as amended by the side letter No.1 is 31 December 2025 and we are requesting for that closing date to be changed to 30 June 2027 and the completion date to 31 December 2027.

**Approved FP/Conditions/FAA**

Closing date of the project

**References to FP/Conditions/FAA**

FAA as amended by side letter No.1

What were the approved terms in the FP/Conditions/FAA?      Which FP/Conditions/FAA is the request referring to?

Subject of the request

Change in Risk Analysis

**Requested change**

The current overall social and environment risk category of the project is moderate.

**Approved FP/Conditions/FAA**

The overall social and environmental risk category for this project is low.

**References to FP/Conditions/FAA**

Funding Proposal Section F.3. part 130

What were the approved terms in the FP/Conditions/FAA?      Which FP/Conditions/FAA is the request referring to?

**II. Justification and assessment of impact on the implementation of the funded activity**

Please provide a justification for the change request. This can include evidence supporting the reasons for the request, assessment of changes in circumstances, market conditions and/or underlying rationale for the project/programme.

The proposed change is to enable the project to improve delivery, sustainability, and risk management while maintaining its overall objective of enhancing climate resilience of wetland-dependent communities.

The restructuring introduces key implementation improvements based on lessons learned. The updated Livelihood Framework clarifies sustainable livelihood definitions, eligibility, and alignment with wetland wise use. The Implementation Plan operationalizes delivery through a standardized, step-by-step process, introduces district-level budget caps, and strengthens expenditure tracking through UNDP direct payments. Newly introduced District Operational Plans (DOPs) consolidate field-level procedures, defining roles, asset management, O&M, safeguards, and monitoring consistently across districts. The updated Communication Strategy and Stakeholder Engagement Plan strengthen community engagement, clarify delivery processes, and reduce grievance risks.

Community enterprises will receive structured project support for capacity building, enterprise development, and linkages to relevant service providers and financing opportunities where appropriate. Access to external financing, including through the Microfinance Support Center Ltd (MSC), may be explored on a voluntary basis by eligible groups to support enterprise growth beyond project-financed livelihood delivery.

Through a livelihood stock-take assessment conducted in 2025, the project identified 17,758 households (equivalent of 74,584 beneficiaries) who are yet to receive alternative livelihood support, while the original budget allocation for Output 2 has largely been exhausted. It is therefore proposed that a total of US\$3,800,269 be reallocated from Outputs 1 and 3 to Output 2. This strategic reallocation is essential to enable the project to fulfill its commitment to households that voluntarily vacated wetlands and are still awaiting livelihood assistance. Fulfilling this commitment is also a critical risk mitigation measure to address gaps in livelihood delivery and minimize related grievances, particularly given that only 41% of newly verified eligible households have received support to date.

### III. Technical assessment of the requested change(s)

Please provide a technical assessment of the requested changes. This may include:

Description of the change in scope (including climate, sectoral, and geographical aspects; baseline assessments covering the revised scope; proposed technology, measures, or innovation; assessment of materiality of proposed changes that are deemed to be significant)

Description of changes to the economic and financial analysis, including co-benefit areas (please attach economic and financial analysis)

Description of rationale for inclusion/exclusion of countries, regions, or project sites (including market studies, climate and sectoral impact, risk assessment)

Description of changes in the logical framework with revised GHG reduction spreadsheet and/or revised beneficiary calculation

Please clarify whether the requested change would result in a material and adverse deviation from the intended objectives or outcomes that the AE seeks to achieve from the implementation of the project/programme, in particular its climate and/or environmental outcomes as set out in the funding proposal and/or FAA

A key change relates to impact potential, which will be revised from the original direct beneficiary target of 800,000 people to 681,051 people, reflecting updated verification of eligible beneficiaries and a more realistic assessment of what can be achieved within the remaining implementation period. This adjustment ensures that project resources are aligned with verified demand and can deliver tangible and equitable outcomes. Other downward changes in project targets include:

- Number of households adopting resilient livelihoods: 75,000 households (equivalent to 315,000 beneficiaries) → 59,873 households (equivalent to 251,467 beneficiaries)
- Catchment restoration: 11,630 hectares → 5,309 hectares
- Area of agroforestry: 35,000 hectares → 10,209 hectares
- Area of agricultural land made more resilient: 12,500 hectares → 3,094 hectares Improved Agricultural income from alternative livelihoods: 100% → 20%

The resulted change will not result in a material and adverse deviation from the intended objectives or outcomes that the AE seeks to achieve from the implementation of the project/programme.

However, in the remaining duration of the project, it will use the group-based approach to livelihood delivery – the approach that was inconsistently adopted in the earlier part of the project implementation although it was an underlying design principle of the original funding proposal. The group-based approach, with structured project support targeting enterprise groups for capacity building, enterprise development, and linkages to relevant service providers and financing opportunities, will strengthen sustainability, collective management, operational oversight, and enterprise viability. This support will be complemented by strengthened livelihood-related grievance handling alongside the existing project GRM.

### IV. Other information relevant for the assessment of the request

Please provide any additional information relevant to the assessment of the change request.

**Below we provide a brief methodology for the calculation of ecological indicators and direct beneficiaries. A detailed calculation methodology for the indicators is provided in Annex 9.**

Ecological Indicators

The estimates for the ecological indicators (4.1, 4.2, 1.4) were calculated using baseline spatial data from wetland inventories, the feasibility studies, remote sensing imagery baseline to map out the degraded areas and identify target restoration zones for both wetland and catchment restoration. Additionally, based on assessment of restoration achievement so far, and determination to re-align remaining project resources towards livelihood implementation, no additional activities towards these ecological targets will be undertaken beyond what was reported in the 2025 APR. The exception to this is the catchment restoration activity which will continue under the restructured phase of the project. Additional 1,300 ha of catchment is expected to be restored, bringing the total EOP target to 5,309 ha

Direct Beneficiary calculations

The number of beneficiaries used in indicators 1.2, 7.1 and related activity level indicators is based on household population estimates. According to the Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS) 2024 National Population and Housing Census data, the average size of a household is 4.2 people. The average household size is multiplied by the number of households who receive livelihood assets or interventions to arrive at the total number of direct beneficiaries. According to the 2025 APR, the total number households who have received livelihood support is 42,115 (current cumulative figure). Per the livelihood stock-take assessment, the remaining number of households who have been profiled to receive direct livelihood support is 17,758. Thus, the project estimates that when livelihoods are provided to the remaining beneficiaries, the EOP target after restructuring will be the sum of 2025 APR cumulative figure and those remaining to receive livelihoods (59,873 households or 251,467 beneficiaries).

Additionally, other direct beneficiaries are estimated from data compiled from Project Districts in 2025 (yet to be validated) as follows:

- Workers at project investment sites and other beneficiaries who learn from the sites to replicate on their own land – 24,097 households (101,207 beneficiaries).
- Catchment productivity improvement for 21,102 households (88,628 beneficiaries)
- Wetland capture fisheries for 36,863 households (154, 825 beneficiaries )
- Irrigation farming for 18,927 households (79,493 beneficiaries)
- Apiary for 1,293 households (5,431 beneficiaries) .

The total of all these sub-categories is 102,282 households (417,005 beneficiaries). This is added to the total number of direct livelihood support beneficiaries of 251,467 to arrive at a grand total of 681,051 direct beneficiaries.

\* Risks to project implementation related to implementing partner capacity community adoption of the livelihood delivery approaches and others including mitigation measures is provided for in Annex 2.

## V. Attachments

Please provide any additional information relevant to the assessment of the change request.

**Feasibility study and/or technical due diligence report(s) and/or market study and/or climate vulnerability assessment study**

**Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) / Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) / Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS)**

[FP034 \\_ Annex 3\\_ Updated SESP.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4791/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clonext7ZR2IW\\_field\\_ceP3WLvG:pAwnTgNI\)](/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/4791/75431/project_report?attachment_attr=clonext7ZR2IW_field_ceP3WLvG:pAwnTgNI)

[FP034 \\_ Annex 4\\_ Environmental and Social Action Plan.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4791/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clonext7ZR2IW\\_field\\_ceP3WLvG:uMILCPnM\)](/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/4791/75431/project_report?attachment_attr=clonext7ZR2IW_field_ceP3WLvG:uMILCPnM)

**GHG emission reduction spreadsheet and narrative and/or Adaptation beneficiary calculation and narrative. \***

[GHG Calculations.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4791/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clonek213POv7\\_field\\_ceP3WLvG:tXVVvQ1M\)](/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/4791/75431/project_report?attachment_attr=clonek213POv7_field_ceP3WLvG:tXVVvQ1M)

**Baseline assessment**

**Economic and Financial Analysis (EFA)**

**FAA Schedule 2. Budget and Disbursement Plan**

**FAA Schedule 5. Implementation Plan (in track change)**

**Any other documentation**

[FP 034 \\_ Annex 13 \\_ Change to Component and Cost.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4791/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=cloner4Z24lxC\\_field\\_ceP3WLvG:3vLivmmT\)](/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/4791/75431/project_report?attachment_attr=cloner4Z24lxC_field_ceP3WLvG:3vLivmmT)

[FP034\\_ Annex 2\\_ Risk Assessment and Management.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4791/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=cloner4Z24lxC\\_field\\_ceP3WLvG:HsxUCU0h\)](/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/4791/75431/project_report?attachment_attr=cloner4Z24lxC_field_ceP3WLvG:HsxUCU0h)

## Budget and/or financing structure - v3 2026-05-27 00:46 +09:00

### Change Request - 7. Budget and/or financing structure

#### I. Change Request

Please provide concise information to explain the subject of the request, status in the approved FP, Board conditions and/or signed FAA, requested change(s) and references to legal agreements and/or approved FP relevant for the request. Please add rows if needed to capture all relevant requests.

#### Type of change

Budget change

Please select from the droptown the type of change to request

#### Budget Change

Please include the following information (columns) in the revised budget spreadsheet: (1) current allocation, (2) current cumulative budget execution, (3) proposed allocation per year of remaining implementation. Please make sure you also include revised budget notes to justify the allocations.

#### Requested change

1. A total of US\$3,800,269 be allocated from Outputs 1 and 3 to Output 2 broken down as follows
  - USD 3,049,189.62 from output 1
  - USD 751,079.38 from output 3
2. Extension of the project closing date from 31st December 2025 to 30th June 2027
3. Additional GoU cofinancing

Short summary: e.g change in budget for subcomponent 1.1 Technical assistance

#### Revised budget as per the FAA (excel spreadsheet) with notes \*

[FP034\\_Annex 1\\_Revised budget and budget notes \(6\).xlsx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4793/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=field\\_jA1dKV3B:VpsO2llq\)](#)

#### II. Justification and assessment of impact on the implementation of the funded activity

Please provide a detailed description and justification for the request. This can include evidence supporting the reasons for the request, assessment of changes in circumstances, market conditions, underlying rationale for the Funded Activity, and associated benefits and risks to the implementation of the funded activity.

Please include an assessment of the impact on the outcomes and outputs as per the FP, FAA and logical framework.

It is proposed that a total of US\$3,800,269 be allocated from Outputs 1 and 3 to Output 2. This strategic reallocation is essential to address a critical gap identified in the project's livelihood stock-take, which revealed that only 41% of newly verified eligible households have received support. By prioritizing these remaining resources, the project can fulfill its commitment to the 17,758 households who voluntarily vacated wetlands and are still waiting for alternative livelihood assistance.

Fulfilling this commitment is a vital risk mitigation measure to address gaps in the delivery of alternative livelihoods and minimize related grievances. The project has already faced multiple complaints, including through the project GRM and concerns filed with the GCF's Independent Redress Mechanism (IRM), regarding delays in alternative livelihoods and the socioeconomic impacts on wetland-dependent communities. Accelerating the delivery of assets to those who have vacated wetlands directly addresses these concerns and stabilizes community relations.

Furthermore, to ensure the long-term success of these investments, UNDP has agreed to provide US\$250,000 in cash. These additional resources will be used primarily to engage specialized third parties and NGOs to deliver tailored business development services, including technical training, financial management, and market linkage support. This integrated approach ensures that the supported enterprises move beyond a "hand-out" model toward becoming viable, sustainable group-based businesses capable of achieving economies of scale and financial independence.

Additional co-financing of the project to the tune of US\$1,663,193.83. The funds shall be used for the following purposes:

- Support contracts committee meetings during the procurement of alternative livelihoods assets
- Support the distribution of livelihood assets by the district local governments
- Undertaking remedial and corrective works across all project investment sites
- Salaries for project staff to support all outputs

### III. Scope change impact

**Is the change in budget part of a scope change of the funded activity/change in technical design? \***

- Yes, there is a change in scope of the Funded Activity.
- No, there is no change in scope of the Funded Activity.

**Please make sure your submission includes the following request type: 6.1 Change in scope should be filled out \***

- Yes, it is included

### IV. Other information relevant for the assessment of the request

Please provide any additional information relevant to the assessment of the change request.

Part of the rationale for the restructuring of the project is to ensure remaining gaps, community concerns, and sustainability of investments can be assured to enable a responsible exit to the project. The restructuring does not alter the fundamental sustainability rationale of the project, which remains grounded in restoring ecosystem services and supporting climate-resilient livelihoods. However, it significantly strengthens the operational approach to sustainability based on lessons learned during implementation.

Field observations and the livelihood stocktake identified critical gaps affecting sustainability, including limited viability of livelihood enterprises, inadequate operation and maintenance of physical assets, weak market linkages, and insufficient access to working capital. The restructuring directly addresses these constraints through a more integrated and systemic approach.

First, the project shifts from one-off asset distribution toward sustained enterprise development, including business planning, technical support, and market integration. Second, financial sustainability is strengthened through linkages with the Microfinance Support Centre (MSC), enabling beneficiary groups to access parallel concessional working capital beyond initial grant support. The financing from MSC is considered parallel, as this is implemented outside GCF resources and is aligned to livelihood asset delivery -financed with GCF resources- to contribute to sustainable livelihood outcomes, although these outcomes do not depend on the MSC resources. Third, a structured framework for operation and maintenance is introduced, with clear roles, responsibilities, and budgeting provisions embedded within district-level plans and handover arrangements. Fourth, strengthened application of safeguards and grievance response mechanisms, based on lessons learned will be ensured.

In addition, the group-based enterprise approach will be reinforced through the wetland wise use principles, enhancing economies of scale, risk sharing, and access to markets and finance, while strengthening social cohesion and accountability. Institutional sustainability will be further strengthened by integrating project responsibilities into local government systems and standardized implementation frameworks.

Overall, the restructuring enhances the long-term financial viability and sustainability of project outcomes by ensuring that livelihood interventions are commercially viable, investments are maintained with handover plans, safeguards are supported by appropriate financing mechanisms, and mechanisms are underpinned by robust institutional and maintenance systems.

V. Attachments

**If changes to financing structure: updated financing information per section C.1 of the Funding Proposal \***

[FP 034 Annex - Change to Component and Cost.docx \(/GCF/programme\\_form/download\\_attachment/4793/75431/project\\_report?attachment\\_attr=clonext7ZR2IW\\_field\\_ceP3WLvG:2I8EUtRE\)](/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/4793/75431/project_report?attachment_attr=clonext7ZR2IW_field_ceP3WLvG:2I8EUtRE)

## Closing Section - v4 2026-05-27 00:46 +09:00

---

### Change Request - Closing section

This form should be completed by Accredited Entities in the preparation of a restructuring proposal to a Funded Activity under implementation.

#### Section I. Changes in Logical Framework

**Please check the box as applicable: \***

- The Funded Activity's Logical Framework remains unchanged.
- The Funded Activity's Logical Framework requires changes in relation to the request.

Please attach the revised Logical Framework (in track change) based on the format used in the Schedule "Logical Framework" to the FAA or in the original Funding Proposal. In case of changes to mitigation targets please attach a revised GHG reduction calculation and narrative. In case of changes to beneficiary numbers, please attach a revised beneficiary calculations and accompanying narrative.

#### Updated Logical Framework

Additional attachments (if applicable): Revised GHG calculation and narrative & Revised beneficiary (direct and indirect) calculation and narrative

---

#### Section II. Performance against GCF investment criteria

**Please check the box as applicable: \***

- The Funded Activity remains aligned with the GCF investment framework criteria as set out in the Funding Proposal.
- The following changes in alignment with the GCF investment framework criteria are noted in relation to the change request
- 

#### Section IV. Updated risk assessment

**Please check the box as applicable: \***

- The Funded Activity's risk assessment remains aligned with that in the original Funding Proposal (or updated risk assessment, if any).
- The following changes in the Funded Activity's risk assessment are noted in relation to the change request.
- 

#### Acknowledgement

\*

- We hereby represent that all information included in the above change request is true and complete as of the date submitted.