

Annex 11 Monitoring and Evaluation Plans : IFAD- IGREENFIN

Monitoring				
Data/Source	Collection Tool	Frequency	Indicators	Indicative Budget(USD)
Impact level indicators				
Update baseline study report	Document review/Survey/Questionnaire	Once (beginning of the Project)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.)Number of males and females benefiting from introduced health measures to respond to climate-sensitive diseases due to the impact of extreme climate-related disastersTons of carbon dioxide equivalent reduced or avoided – gender sensitive energy access power generationTons of carbon dioxide equivalent reduced or avoided (including increased removals) – forest and land useCoverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change	Baseline study undertaken by PMU and covered by staff salaries.
Survey report & Technical report for the GHG monitoring	Document review/Survey/Questionnaire & GIS data	Mid-term (Year 3)		150,000
Survey report & Technical reports	Document review/Survey/Questionnaire & GIS data	End term (Year 6)		150,000
Outcome level indicators				
Outcome 1				

Programme M&E Reports	Project documentation and financial statements reviews (All 5 countries)	Annually	Number of technologies and innovative solutions transferred or licensed to support low-emission development as a result of fund support (by type and number)	100,000
Programme reports, national and regional statistic	Project documentation and bank books (annually) for the 5 countries	Annually	Number of households and individuals (males and females) with improved access to low-emission energy sources)	150,000
National energy statistics	Documents reviews	Annually	MWs of low emission energy capacity installed, generated and/or rehabilitated as a result of GCF support	
Outcome 2				
National and regional statistics every two years, Programme M&E reports	Document review/Survey/Questionnaire	Annually	Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO2 emission reductions	75,000
Outcome 3				
Programme and bank reports as well as stakeholder surveys	Document review/Key informants interviews	Annually	Use by vulnerable communities, businesses, and public sector services of fund-supported tools, instruments, strategies and activities to respond to climate	50,000
Outputs level indicators				
Output 1				

Baseline study report/Monitoring -Supervision reports	Survey/questionnaire/Field observation visits/Documents review	Annually	Number of banks with an established financing facility with two lines of credit to support concessional loans to adopt the best adaptation practices	100.000
Baseline study report/Monitoring -Supervision reports	Survey/questionnaire/Field observation visits/ Documents review	Annually	Number of banks with an established financing facility with two lines of credit to support concessional loans adopting the use of RETs to power the agricultural value chain	
Output 2				
Progress report/Monitoring reports	Survey/questionnaire	Every 6 months	Number of farmers organizations (FO), MSMES and cooperatives formed on business planning and climate resilience methods (desegregated for women and youth)	150,000
Progress reports/Monitoring reports	Document review	Annually	Number of financial institutions with strengthened capacities to lend to FOs/Cooperatives/MSMEs investing in low emission and climate smart businesses	100.000
Focus groups report/Stakeholder engagement monitoring report	Focus groups/Stakeholder map	Annually	Percentage of stakeholders who feel engaged in the implementation process	100,000
Baseline study report/Monitoring -Supervision reports	Document review/Survey/Questionnaire	Annually	Percentage of women/youth entrepreneurs engaged in EbA, RET and climate resilient agriculture	100.000

<i>Monitoring reports, M&E plan</i>	<i>Document review</i>	<i>Every 6 months</i>	<i>Number of stakeholder engagement meetings, Trainings held and knowledge management products developed</i>	75,000
<i>Monitoring reports, M&E plan</i>	<i>Document review</i>	<i>Every 6 months</i>	<i>Percentage of direct and indirect beneficiaries using the platform developed for exchange between value chain actors and financial institutions</i>	
<i>SAHEL Award reports</i>	<i>Document review/Key informants interview</i>	<i>Annually</i>	<i>Number of beneficiaries selected to compete in the SAHEL Award</i>	55, 925
<i>Baseline study report/Monitoring-Supervision reports</i>	<i>Document review/Survey/Questionnaire</i>	<i>Annually</i>	<i>Number of beneficiaries formed on adaptation measures and RET in agricultural value chains</i>	100,000
Output 3				
<i>Monitoring and evaluation plan/ Monitoring-Supervision reports</i>	<i>Document review/Key informants interview</i>	<i>Annually</i>	<i>Number of indicators monitored (multi-scale monitoring: regional, national and sub-national levels), gender disaggregated, in the results framework established and implemented by the GGW support unit</i>	50,000
<i>Monitoring-Supervision reports</i>	<i>Document review/Survey/Questionnaire</i>	<i>Every 2 years</i>	<i>Number of forum for policy dialog and knowledge sharing held</i>	
<i>Events reports Regional Support Programme</i>	<i>Document review/Key informants interview</i>	<i>Annually</i>	<i>Number of technical and programmatic events organized by the Regional Support Programme</i>	75,000

<i>Monitoring and evaluation plan</i>				
<i>Monitoring-Supervision reports</i>	<i>Document review/Survey/Questionnaire</i>	<i>Every 3 years</i>	<i>Number of strategic communication products developed for knowledge production, sharing activities/success stories/best practices to be scaled up</i>	<i>25,000</i>
<i>Monitoring-Supervision reports</i>	<i>Document review/Survey/Questionnaire</i>	<i>Every year</i>	<i>Number of participants in the learning program, designed to provide opportunities for knowledge exchange among the 11 countries under the GGW UP</i>	<i>50,000</i>
<i>Subtotal 1</i>				<i>672,370</i>

Evaluation			
Type	Timing	Independent/Self-evaluation	Indicative Budget
<i>Outcome</i>	Mid-term	Independent	200,000
<i>Participatory</i>	Annual	Independent	200,000
<i>Impact</i>	Mid-term and end of implementation	Independent	300,000
<i>Ex-poste</i>	End of implementation – Year 6	Independent	300,000
<i>Sub-total 2</i>			1,000,000
<i>Total</i>			1,336,185

M&E for the GGW Regional Support Program

Outputs	Activity	Indicators	Means of assessment
Output 3.1	Activity 3.1.1 Establishing a knowledge baseline,	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge Baseline available • KM and Communications Strategy available • Possibly # of consultations organized for the development of the KM and communications strategy 	Documents Progress reports
	Activity 3.1.2 Developing a knowledge and communication strategy and plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	
	Activity 3.1.3 Creating a knowledge center	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # key actionable findings, experiences, and lessons learned captured, evaluated, synthesized, and packaged • # of new KM outputs created and available, by type • # or % of intended users applying knowledge gained from a KM output to improve program, service delivery, training/education, or research practice • # of users per month 	Platform Analytics Surveys Annual reports

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % growth in users per month • # of page views per month • % growth in page views per month • # pages per session • % growth in number of pages per session • Bounce rate • Session duration • Top 10 page views per month 	
	Activity 3.1.4 Create communities of practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # members per community of practice • # of exchanges • Impact stories • User rating of usefulness of content/outputs shared in community of practice • # or % of group members who report knowledge sharing as a group norm • # or % of intended members applying knowledge gained from community of practice to improve program, service delivery, training/education, or research practice 	Surveys Feedback questionnaire
	Activity 3.1.5. Generating knowledge products on lessons learned, scalable	Newsletters <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of newsletters and mailers • # of subscribers 	Mailchimp, Google Analytics, Twitter and Facebook

	practices and policy recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % growth in monthly subscribers • # opens, % of opens • # of clicks, % of clicks • Top links clicked <p>Social media</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of posts • # of mentions • # of profile visits • # of impressions • # of followers, % growth in followers • Click-through-rate • # of likes • # of comments • # of shares or retweets • # of graphics or videos posted • # of social media <p>Publications</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #of products (and type) • # of people in distribution list • Resource page visits, download rate, Mailchimp and • social media click-through-rate • # of media kits distributed • # of social media posts about launch • # of media reports (articles, blog posts, etc.) 	<p>Analytics</p> <p>Feedback questionnaire</p> <p>Case studies of tools, resources, approaches</p>
	Activity 3.1.6 Organize peer-based knowledge exchanges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of outreach/advocacy events 	Annual Progress Reports

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of training/webinar events • # of programme/regional events • # of event participants • Type(s) of participants at event • # of presentations, modules # of participants • Qualitative feedback • Action taken as a result of the exchange (e.g. new networks developed, follow-up meeting, new approach adopted, etc.) • # of new members to the COPs after organization of an event 	Feedback questionnaire: satisfaction and impact surveys (after 6 months) Exchange visit report
	Activity 3.1.7. Enhance coordination & programming at country level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of commitment and support for shared vision • # of active partnerships with external organisations, initiatives, programmes • # of co-produced events, products, or campaigns • # of cross-promotions • # of meetings with external partners 	Progress reports
	Activity 3.2.1 Build a digital and innovation ecosystem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping of services and platforms available • Satisfaction rate of GCF projects related access to innovations 	Documents Surveys

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of online events to present innovations and digital solutions • # annual fairs • Satisfaction rate of participants in annual fairs • # of innovations and digital solutions replicated or scaled up 	
	Activity 3.2.2 Creation of a digital marketplace	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Market place established • # of innovations and digital services included • # of users per month • % growth in users per month • # of page views per month • % growth in page views per month • # pages per session • % growth in number of pages per session • Bounce rate • Session duration • Top 10 page views per month • User rating of usefulness of innovations/digital solutions shared in marketplace 	Analytics Surveys Progress reports
	Activity 3.2.3 Identify new opportunities for investing in climate innovation in the GGW	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of innovations and digital services identified • Reports available 	Progress reports

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of innovations/digital solutions taken on by GCF projects 	
--	--	---	--

Impact assessment

Impact evaluations are a rigorous tool to assess the contribution and impact of programs and to improve the next-generation GCF programs that can benefit from the best evidence-based design options available. The impact assessment of the Regional Support Program will focus on the 11 countries under the current Regional Support Program against all GGW countries. It will take an ex-ante/ex-post approach to assess the attribution of the Regional Support Program activities to the paradigm shift. It will entail the development of a light questionnaire to define the outcomes variables before the Regional Support Program starts. A baseline will be established at the beginning of the implementation of the Regional Support Program and the same questionnaire will be submitted upon completion to the beneficiaries, namely the 11 countries NDAs and GGW FP as well as the Accredited Entities.