**BAF – Funding Proposal**

**Monitoring and evaluation plans**

Due to the open approach of the proposed GCF-Programme, the final sub-projects are still to be selected on the basis of a GCF-specific open call for proposals. The sub-projects have to submit a logical framework with objectives and results and indicators, which form the basis of the monitoring and evaluation by the NGOs on project level.

BAF in coordination with IUCN undertakes monitoring and selected reviews of the sub-projects funded by the GCF Programme to assess implementation progress and to monitor progress in achieving outputs and evidence of use of funds.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Monitoring** | | | | |
| Data/Source | Collection Tool\* | Frequency | Indicator | Indicative Budget |
| *Fund Level Impact Indicator* |  |  |  |  |
| Monitoring Reports from BAF; Mid-term review and final evaluation reports | *Other (please specify)* | *Monitoring Reports: Annual* | A1.2 Number of men and women benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate-resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.) | *Part of BAF monitoring budget* |
| Monitoring Reports from BAF; Mid-term review and final evaluation reports | *Other (please specify)* | *Monitoring Reports: Annual* | A4.1 Scale of additional ecosystems (mangroves, coral reefs, seagrass) sustainably and effectively protected in response to climate change | *Part of BAF monitoring budget* |
| *Programme Outcome Indicator* |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Reports from sub-projects;  Mid-Term and Final Monitoring Reports | *Other (please specify)* | *Annual* | By one year after the end of the Programme, the biomass of one or more relevant umbrella/ indicator species (such as fish stocks) is maintained or increasing in the sub-project areas | *Part of BAF monitoring budget* |
| *Outcomes* |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Reports from sub-projects; Mid-Term and Monitoring and Evaluation Reports from BAF | *Other (please specify)* | *Annual* | Number and size of new marine and coastal protected areas; Number and size of protected areas with improved protection level and efficient management | *Part of BAF monitoring budget* |
| Annual Reports from sub-projects; Mid-Term and Monitoring and Evaluation Reports from BAF | *Other (please specify)* | *Annual* | Size of marine and coastal ecosystems rehabilitated/restored | *Part of BAF monitoring budget* |
| Annual Reports from sub-projects; Mid-Term and Monitoring and Evaluation Reports from BAF | *Other (please specify)* | *Annual* | Lessons learned / best practices are documented and published in renowned regional and international media; number of local, national and international institutions with which lessons learned were disseminated and actively shared | *Part of BAF monitoring budget* |

\*in several cases, multiple collection tools will be used.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation** | | | |
| Type | Timing | Independent/Self-evaluation | Indicative Budget |
| *Process* | Mid-Term Review | **Independent** |  |
| *Impact* | Final Evaluation | **Independent** |  |

\*in several cases, multiple collection tools will be used.

Mid-term and Final Evaluation of sub-projects will be carried out by BAF as well as by experts from IUCN, with technical expertise in the field of EbA approaches in coastal and marine ecosystems.

KfW is member of the Supervisory Board of BAF and has a strong role in monitoring and evaluation of the BAF and its funding activities. As Accredited Entity for the GCF-Programme, this role is even enhanced. KfW will therefore closely accompany the Programme implementation and its results and impacts. The Final Evaluation (Impact Evaluation) of the whole GCF Programme will be carried out by KfW through its Independent Evaluation Unit.