

Annex III: Initial investment framework: activity-specific sub-criteria and indicative assessment factors

The Accredited Entity will develop its funding proposal with due consideration of the investment criteria and the applicable and relevant activity-specific sub-criteria and indicative assessment factors. In the formulation of the proposal, the Accredited Entity is expected to respond to all six of the investment criteria but only the applicable and relevant sub-criteria and indicative assessment factors. Not all activity-specific sub-criteria and indicative assessment factors will be applicable or relevant for every proposal.

Table 1: Activity-specific sub-criteria and indicative assessment factors

Criterion	Definition	Coverage area	Activity-specific sub-criteria	Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) ¹
Impact potential	Potential of the programme/project to contribute to the achievement of the Fund's objectives and result areas	Mitigation impact	Contribution to the shift to low-emission sustainable development pathways	Expected tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (t CO ₂ eq) to be reduced or avoided (PMF-M Core 1) ² Degree to which activity avoids lock-in of long-lived, high-emission infrastructure Expected increase in the number of households with access to low-emission energy Degree to which the programme/project supports the scaling up of low-emission energy in the affected region by addressing key barriers Expected number of MW of low-emission energy capacity installed, generated and/or rehabilitated Expected increase in the number of small, medium and large low-emission power suppliers (PMF-M 6.0 and related indicator(s)), and installed effective capacity Expected decrease in energy intensity of buildings, cities, industries and appliances (PMF-M 7.0 and related indicator(s)) Expected increase in the use of low-carbon transport (PMF-M 8.0 and related indicator(s))

¹ Indicative assessment factors include both quantitative indicators and qualitative factors.

² PMF-M Core 1 refers to a linkage with the first core indicator in the mitigation performance measurement framework, as contained in document GCF/B.08/07. PMF-A 5.0 refers to a linkage with the indicator 5.0 in the adaptation performance measurement framework and so on.

Criterion	Definition	Coverage area	Activity-specific sub-criteria	Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) ¹
				<p>Expected improvement in the management of land or forest areas contributing to emission reductions (PMF-M 9.0 and related indicator(s))</p> <p>Expected improvement in waste management contributing to emission reductions (e.g. the change in the share of waste managed using low-carbon strategies and/or the change in the share of waste that is recovered through recycling and composting); and/or</p> <p>Other relevant indicative assessment factors, taking into account the Fund's objectives, priorities and result areas, as appropriate on a case-by-case basis</p>
	Adaptation impact		Contribution to increased climate-resilient sustainable development	<p>Expected total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries, (reduced vulnerability or increased resilience); number of beneficiaries relative to total population (PMF-A Core 1), particularly the most vulnerable groups</p> <p>Degree to which the activity avoids lock-in of long-lived, climate-vulnerable infrastructure</p> <p>Expected reduction in vulnerability by enhancing adaptive capacity and resilience for populations affected by the proposed activity, focusing particularly on the most vulnerable population groups and applying a gender-sensitive approach</p> <p>Expected strengthening of institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development (PMF-A 5.0 and related indicator(s))</p> <p>Expected increase in generation and use of climate information in decision-making (PMF-A 6.0 and related indicator(s))</p> <p>Expected strengthening of adaptive capacity and reduced exposure to climate risks (PMF-A 7.0 and related indicator(s))</p> <p>Expected strengthening of awareness of climate threats and risk-reduction processes (PMF-A 8.0 and related indicator(s)); and/or</p>



Criterion	Definition	Coverage area	Activity-specific sub-criteria	Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) ¹
Paradigm shift potential	Degree to which the proposed activity can catalyse impact beyond a one-off project or programme investment	Potential for scaling up and replication, and its overall contribution to global low-carbon development pathways being consistent with a temperature increase of less than 2 degrees Celsius (mitigation only)	Innovation	Other relevant indicative assessment factors, taking into account the Fund's objectives, priorities and result areas, as appropriate on a case-by-case basis
		Potential for exporting key structural elements of the proposed programme or project elsewhere within the same sector as well as to other sectors, regions or countries (replicability)	Level of contributions to global low-carbon development pathways, consistent with a temperature increase of less than 2 degrees Celsius	Opportunities for targeting innovative solutions, new market segments, developing or adopting new technologies, business models, modal shifts and/or processes
		Potential for strengthening of knowledge, collective learning processes, or institutions	Potential for expanding the scale and impact of the proposed programme or project (scalability)	Expected contributions to global low-carbon development pathways consistent with a temperature increase of less than 2 degrees Celsius as demonstrated through:
	Contribution to the creation or results beyond completion of the intervention	Sustainability of outcomes and results beyond completion of the intervention	Market development and transformation	A theory of change for scaling up the scope and impact of the intended project/programme without equally increasing the total costs of implementation
	Contribution to the creation of an enabling environment	Market development and transformation		A theory of change for replication of the proposed activities in the project/programme in other sectors, institutions, geographical areas or regions, communities or countries
				Existence of a monitoring and evaluation plan and a plan for sharing lessons learned so that they can be incorporated within other projects
				Arrangements that provide for long-term and financially sustainable continuation of relevant outcomes and key relevant activities derived from the project/programme beyond the completion of the intervention
				Extent to which the project/programme creates new markets and business activities at the local, national or international levels

Criterion	Definition	Coverage area	Activity-specific sub-criteria	Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) ¹
		Contribution to the regulatory framework and policies	Potential for strengthened regulatory frameworks and policies to drive investment in low-emission technologies and activities, promote development of additional low-emission policies, and/or improve climate-responsive planning and development	<p>Degree to which the activity will change incentives for market participants by reducing costs and risks, eliminating barriers to the deployment of low-carbon and climate-resilient solutions</p> <p>Degree to which the proposed activities help to overcome systematic barriers to low-carbon development to catalyse impact beyond the scope of the project or programme</p> <p>Degree to which the project or programme advances the national/local regulatory or legal frameworks to systematically promote investment in low-emission or climate-resilient development</p> <p>Degree to which the activity shifts incentives in favour of low-carbon and/or climate-resilient development or promotes mainstreaming of climate change considerations into policies and regulatory frameworks and decision-making processes at national, regional and local levels, including private-sector decision-making</p>
		Overall contribution to climate-resilient development pathways consistent with a country's climate change adaptation strategies and plans (adaptation only)	Potential for expanding the proposal's impact without equally increasing its cost base (scalability)	<p>Scaling up the scope and impact of the intended project/programme without equally increasing the total costs of implementation</p> <p>A theory of change for replication of the proposed activities in the project/programme in other sectors, institutions, geographical areas or regions, communities or countries</p>
Sustainable development potential	Wider benefits and priorities	Environmental co-benefits	Expected positive environmental impacts, including in other result areas of the Fund, and/or in line with the priorities set at the national, local or sectoral level, as appropriate	<p>Degree to which the programme or project reduces proposed risks of investment in technologies and strategies that promote climate resilience in developing countries</p> <p>Degree to which the project or programme promotes positive environmental externalities such as air quality, soil quality, conservation, biodiversity, etc.</p>

Criterion	Definition	Coverage area	Activity-specific sub-criteria	Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) ¹
Needs of the recipient	Vulnerability and financing needs of the beneficiary country and population	Social co-benefits	Expected positive social and health impacts, including in other result areas of the Fund, and/or in line with the priorities set at the national, local or sectoral levels, as appropriate	Potential for externalities in the form of expected improvements, for women and men as relevant, in areas such as health and safety, access to education, improved regulation and/or cultural preservation
		Economic co-benefits	Expected positive economic impacts, including in other result areas of the Fund, and/or in line with the priorities set at the national, local or sectoral level, as appropriate	Potential for externalities in the form of expected improvements in areas such as expanded and enhanced job markets, job creation and poverty alleviation for women and men, increased and/or expanded involvement of local industries; increased collaboration between industry and academia; growth of private funds attracted; contribution to an increase in productivity and competitive capacity; improved sector income-generating capacity; contribution to an increase in energy security; change in water supply and agricultural productivity in targeted areas, etc.
Needs of the recipient	Vulnerability of the country (adaptation only)	Gender-sensitive development impact	Potential for reduced gender inequalities in climate change impacts and/or equal participation by gender groups in contributing to expected outcomes	Explanation of how the project activities will address the needs of women and men in order to correct prevailing inequalities in climate change vulnerability and risks
		Vulnerable groups and gender aspects (adaptation only)	Scale and intensity of exposure of people, and/or social or economic assets or capital, to risks derived from climate change	Intensity of exposure to climate risks and the degree of vulnerability, including exposure to slow onset events
		Economic and social development level of the country and the affected population	Comparably high vulnerability of the beneficiary groups	Size of population and/or social or economic assets or capital of the country exposed to climate change risks and impacts
			Level of social and economic development of the country and target population	Level of social and economic development (including income level) of the country and target population (e.g. minorities, disabled, elderly, children, female heads of households, indigenous peoples, etc.)



Criterion	Definition	Coverage area	Activity-specific sub-criteria	Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) ¹
		Absence of alternative sources of financing Need for strengthening institutions and implementation capacity	Opportunities for the Fund to overcome specific barriers to financing Opportunities to strengthen institutional and implementation capacity in relevant institutions in the context of the proposal	Explanation of the existing barriers that create absence of alternative sources of financing and how they will be addressed Potential of the proposed programme or project to strengthen institutional and implementation capacity
Country ownership	Beneficiary country ownership of, and capacity to implement, a funded project or programme (policies, climate strategies and institutions)	Existence of a national climate strategy	Objectives are in line with priorities in the country's national climate strategy	Programme or project contributes to country's priorities for low-emission and climate-resilient development as identified in national climate strategies or plans, such as nationally appropriate mitigation actions (NAMAs), national adaptation plans (NAPs) or equivalent, and demonstrates alignment with technology needs assessments (TNAs), as appropriate
		Coherence with existing policies	Proposed activity is designed in cognizance of other country policies	Degree to which the activity is supported by a country's enabling policy and institutional framework, or includes policy or institutional changes
		Capacity of accredited entities or executing entities to deliver	Experience and track record of the Accredited Entity or executing entities in key elements of the proposed activity	Proponent demonstrates a consistent track record and relevant experience and expertise in similar or relevant circumstances as described in the proposed project/programme (e.g. sector, type of intervention, technology, etc.)
		Engagement with civil society organizations and other relevant stakeholders	Stakeholder consultations and engagement	Proposal has been developed in consultation with civil society groups and other relevant stakeholders, with particular attention being paid to gender equality, and provides a specific mechanism for their future engagement in accordance with the Fund's environmental and social safeguards and stakeholder consultation guidelines. The proposal places decision-making responsibility with in-country institutions and uses domestic systems to ensure accountability
Efficiency and effectiveness	Economic and, if appropriate, financial soundness of the programme/project	Cost-effectiveness and efficiency regarding financial and non-financial aspects	Financial adequacy and appropriateness of concessionality	Proposed financial structure (funding amount, financial instrument, tenor and term) is adequate and reasonable in order to achieve the proposal's objectives, including addressing existing bottlenecks and/or barriers Demonstration that the proposed financial structure provides the least concessionality needed to make the proposal viable

Criterion	Definition	Coverage area	Activity-specific sub-criteria	Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) ¹
		Amount of co-financing	Potential to catalyse and/or leverage investment (mitigation only)	Expected volume of finance to be leveraged by the proposed project/programme and as a result of the Fund's financing, disaggregated by public and private sources (PMF-M Core 3)
		Programme/project financial viability and other financial indicators	Expected economic and financial internal rate of return	Co-financing ratio (total amount of co-financing divided by the Fund's investment in the project/programme)
		Industry best practices	Application of best practices and degree of innovation	Potential to catalyse private- and public-sector investment, assessed in the context of performance on industry best practices
			Financial viability in the long run	Expected indirect/long-term low-emission investment mobilized as a result of the implementation of activity
			Expected economic and financial internal rate of return	Economic and financial rate of return with and without the Fund's support (i.e. hurdle rate of return or other appropriate/relevant thresholds)
			Financial viability in the long run	Description of financial soundness in the long term (beyond the Fund's intervention)
			Application of best practices and degree of innovation	Explanations of how best available technologies and/or best practices, including those of indigenous peoples and local communities, are considered and applied
				If applicable, the proposal specifies the innovations or modifications/adjustments made based on industry best practices