

## Annex III: Initial investment framework: activity-specific sub-criteria and indicative assessment factors

The Accredited Entity will develop its funding proposal with due consideration of the investment criteria and the applicable and relevant activity-specific sub-criteria and indicative assessment factors. In the formulation of the proposal, the Accredited Entity is expected to respond to all six of the investment criteria but only the applicable and relevant sub-criteria and indicative assessment factors. Not all activity-specific sub-criteria and indicative assessment factors will be applicable or relevant for every proposal.

**Table 1: Activity-specific sub-criteria and indicative assessment factors**

| Criterion               | Definition  | Coverage area     | Activity-specific sub-criteria   | Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) <sup>1</sup>  |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|
| <b>Impact potential</b> | Potential of the programme/project to contribute to the achievement of the Fund's objectives and result areas | Mitigation impact | Contribution to the shift to low-emission sustainable development pathways | <p>Expected tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (t CO<sub>2</sub> eq) to be reduced or avoided (PMF-M Core 1)<sup>2</sup></p> <p>Degree to which activity avoids lock-in of long-lived, high-emission infrastructure</p> <p>Expected increase in the number of households with access to low-emission energy</p> <p>Degree to which the programme/project supports the scaling up of low-emission energy in the affected region by addressing key barriers</p> <p>Expected number of MW of low-emission energy capacity installed, generated and/or rehabilitated</p> <p>Expected increase in the number of small, medium and large low-emission power suppliers (PMF-M 6.0 and related indicator(s)), and installed effective capacity</p> <p>Expected decrease in energy intensity of buildings, cities, industries and appliances (PMF-M 7.0 and related indicator(s))</p> <p>Expected increase in the use of low-carbon transport (PMF-M 8.0 and related indicator(s))</p> |

<sup>1</sup> Indicative assessment factors include both quantitative indicators and qualitative factors.

<sup>2</sup> PMF-M Core 1 refers to a linkage with the first core indicator in the mitigation performance measurement framework, as contained in document GCF/B.08/07. PMF-A 5.0 refers to a linkage with the indicator 5.0 in the adaptation performance measurement framework and so on.

| Criterion | Definition | Coverage area     | Activity-specific sub-criteria                                      | Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) <sup>1</sup>   |
|-----------|------------|-------------------|---|---|
|           |            |                   |   | <p>Expected improvement in the management of land or forest areas contributing to emission reductions (PMF-M 9.0 and related indicator(s))</p> <p>Expected improvement in waste management contributing to emission reductions (e.g. the change in the share of waste managed using low-carbon strategies and/or the change in the share of waste that is recovered through recycling and composting); and/or</p> <p>Other relevant indicative assessment factors, taking into account the Fund's objectives, priorities and result areas, as appropriate on a case-by-case basis</p>   |
|           |            | Adaptation impact | Contribution to increased climate-resilient sustainable development | <p>Expected total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries, (reduced vulnerability or increased resilience); number of beneficiaries relative to total population (PMF-A Core 1), particularly the most vulnerable groups</p> <p>Degree to which the activity avoids lock-in of long-lived, climate-vulnerable infrastructure</p> <p>Expected reduction in vulnerability by enhancing adaptive capacity and resilience for populations affected by the proposed activity, focusing particularly on the most vulnerable population groups and applying a gender-sensitive approach</p> <p>Expected strengthening of institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development (PMF-A 5.0 and related indicator(s))</p> <p>Expected increase in generation and use of climate information in decision-making (PMF-A 6.0 and related indicator(s))</p> <p>Expected strengthening of adaptive capacity and reduced exposure to climate risks (PMF-A 7.0 and related indicator(s))</p> <p>Expected strengthening of awareness of climate threats and risk-reduction processes (PMF-A 8.0 and related indicator(s)); and/or</p> |

| <b>Criterion</b>                | <b>Definition</b>  | <b>Coverage area</b>   | <b>Activity-specific sub-criteria</b>  | <b>Indicative assessment factors (including indicators)<sup>1</sup></b>  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                                 |  |  |  | Other relevant indicative assessment factors, taking into account the Fund's objectives, priorities and result areas, as appropriate on a case-by-case basis   |
| <b>Paradigm shift potential</b> | Degree to which the proposed activity can catalyse impact beyond a one-off project or programme investment | Potential for scaling up and replication, and its overall contribution to global low-carbon development pathways being consistent with a temperature increase of less than 2 degrees Celsius (mitigation only) | Innovation   | Opportunities for targeting innovative solutions, new market segments, developing or adopting new technologies, business models, modal shifts and/or processes   |
|                                 |  |  | Level of contributions to global low-carbon development pathways, consistent with a temperature increase of less than 2 degrees Celsius  | Expected contributions to global low-carbon development pathways consistent with a temperature increase of less than 2 degrees Celsius as demonstrated through:  |
|                                 |  |  | Potential for expanding the scale and impact of the proposed programme or project (scalability)  | A theory of change for scaling up the scope and impact of the intended project/programme without equally increasing the total costs of implementation  |
|                                 |  | Potential for exporting key structural elements of the proposed programme or project elsewhere within the same sector as well as to other sectors, regions or countries (replicability)                        | A theory of change for replication of the proposed activities in the project/programme in other sectors, institutions, geographical areas or regions, communities or countries |  |
|                                 |  | Potential for knowledge and learning   | Contribution to the creation or strengthening of knowledge, collective learning processes, or institutions   | Existence of a monitoring and evaluation plan and a plan for sharing lessons learned so that they can be incorporated within other projects  |
|                                 |  | Contribution to the creation of an enabling environment  | Sustainability of outcomes and results beyond completion of the intervention   | Arrangements that provide for long-term and financially sustainable continuation of relevant outcomes and key relevant activities derived from the project/programme beyond the completion of the intervention |
|                                 |  |  | Market development and transformation  | Extent to which the project/programme creates new markets and business activities at the local, national or international levels   |

| <b>Criterion</b>                         | <b>Definition</b>             | <b>Coverage area</b>  | <b>Activity-specific sub-criteria</b>  | <b>Indicative assessment factors (including indicators)<sup>1</sup></b>   |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--|---|
|  |                               |   |  | <p>Degree to which the activity will change incentives for market participants by reducing costs and risks, eliminating barriers to the deployment of low-carbon and climate-resilient solutions</p> <p>Degree to which the proposed activities help to overcome systematic barriers to low-carbon development to catalyse impact beyond the scope of the project or programme</p>  |
|  |                               | Contribution to the regulatory framework and policies   | Potential for strengthened regulatory frameworks and policies to drive investment in low-emission technologies and activities, promote development of additional low-emission policies, and/or improve climate-responsive planning and development | <p>Degree to which the project or programme advances the national/local regulatory or legal frameworks to systemically promote investment in low-emission or climate-resilient development</p> <p>Degree to which the activity shifts incentives in favour of low-carbon and/or climate-resilient development or promotes mainstreaming of climate change considerations into policies and regulatory frameworks and decision-making processes at national, regional and local levels, including private-sector decision-making</p> |
|  |                               | Overall contribution to climate-resilient development pathways consistent with a country's climate change adaptation strategies and plans (adaptation only) | <p>Potential for expanding the proposal's impact without equally increasing its cost base (scalability)</p> <p>Potential for exporting key structural elements of the proposal to other sectors, regions or countries (replicability)</p>          | <p>Scaling up the scope and impact of the intended project/programme without equally increasing the total costs of implementation</p> <p>A theory of change for replication of the proposed activities in the project/programme in other sectors, institutions, geographical areas or regions, communities or countries</p> <p>Degree to which the programme or project reduces proposed risks of investment in technologies and strategies that promote climate resilience in developing countries</p>                             |
| <b>Sustainable development potential</b> | Wider benefits and priorities | Environmental co-benefits   | Expected positive environmental impacts, including in other result areas of the Fund, and/or in line with the priorities set at the national, local or sectoral level, as appropriate  | Degree to which the project or programme promotes positive environmental externalities such as air quality, soil quality, conservation, biodiversity, etc.  |

| <b>Criterion</b>              | <b>Definition</b>   | <b>Coverage area</b>   | <b>Activity-specific sub-criteria</b>  | <b>Indicative assessment factors (including indicators)<sup>1</sup></b>  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
|                               |   | Social co-benefits   | Expected positive social and health impacts, including in other result areas of the Fund, and/or in line with the priorities set at the national, local or sectoral levels, as appropriate | Potential for externalities in the form of expected improvements, for women and men as relevant, in areas such as health and safety, access to education, improved regulation and/or cultural preservation   |
|                               |   | Economic co-benefits   | Expected positive economic impacts, including in other result areas of the Fund, and/or in line with the priorities set at the national, local or sectoral level, as appropriate           | Potential for externalities in the form of expected improvements in areas such as expanded and enhanced job markets, job creation and poverty alleviation for women and men, increased and/or expanded involvement of local industries; increased collaboration between industry and academia; growth of private funds attracted; contribution to an increase in productivity and competitive capacity; improved sector income-generating capacity; contribution to an increase in energy security; change in water supply and agricultural productivity in targeted areas, etc. |
|                               |   | Gender-sensitive development impact  | Potential for reduced gender inequalities in climate change impacts and/or equal participation by gender groups in contributing to expected outcomes                                       | Explanation of how the project activities will address the needs of women and men in order to correct prevailing inequalities in climate change vulnerability and risks  |
| <b>Needs of the recipient</b> | Vulnerability and financing needs of the beneficiary country and population | Vulnerability of the country (adaptation only)                                   | Scale and intensity of exposure of people, and/or social or economic assets or capital, to risks derived from climate change   | Intensity of exposure to climate risks and the degree of vulnerability, including exposure to slow onset events<br><br>Size of population and/or social or economic assets or capital of the country exposed to climate change risks and impacts   |
|                               |   | Vulnerable groups and gender aspects (adaptation only)                           | Comparably high vulnerability of the beneficiary groups  | Proposed project/programme supports groups that are identified as particularly vulnerable in national climate or development strategies, with relevant sex disaggregation  |
|                               |   | Economic and social development level of the country and the affected population | Level of social and economic development of the country and target population  | Level of social and economic development (including income level) of the country and target population (e.g. minorities, disabled, elderly, children, female heads of households, indigenous peoples, etc.)  |

| Criterion                           | Definition   | Coverage area   | Activity-specific sub-criteria  | Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) <sup>1</sup>   |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
|                                     |  | Absence of alternative sources of financing                                     | Opportunities for the Fund to overcome specific barriers to financing   | Explanation of the existing barriers that create absence of alternative sources of financing and how they will be addressed   |
|                                     |  | Need for strengthening institutions and implementation capacity                 | Opportunities to strengthen institutional and implementation capacity in relevant institutions in the context of the proposal | Potential of the proposed programme or project to strengthen institutional and implementation capacity  |
| <b>Country ownership</b>            | Beneficiary country ownership of, and capacity to implement, a funded project or programme (policies, climate strategies and institutions) | Existence of a national climate strategy  | Objectives are in line with priorities in the country's national climate strategy   | Programme or project contributes to country's priorities for low-emission and climate-resilient development as identified in national climate strategies or plans, such as nationally appropriate mitigation actions (NAMAs), national adaptation plans (NAPs) or equivalent, and demonstrates alignment with technology needs assessments (TNAs), as appropriate   |
|                                     |  | Coherence with existing policies  | Proposed activity is designed in cognizance of other country policies   |   |
|                                     |  | Capacity of accredited entities or executing entities to deliver                | Experience and track record of the Accredited Entity or executing entities in key elements of the proposed activity           | Proponent demonstrates a consistent track record and relevant experience and expertise in similar or relevant circumstances as described in the proposed project/programme (e.g. sector, type of intervention, technology, etc.)  |
|                                     |  | Engagement with civil society organizations and other relevant stakeholders     | Stakeholder consultations and engagement  | Proposal has been developed in consultation with civil society groups and other relevant stakeholders, with particular attention being paid to gender equality, and provides a specific mechanism for their future engagement in accordance with the Fund's environmental and social safeguards and stakeholder consultation guidelines. The proposal places decision-making responsibility with in-country institutions and uses domestic systems to ensure accountability |
| <b>Efficiency and effectiveness</b> | Economic and, if appropriate, financial soundness of the programme/project   | Cost-effectiveness and efficiency regarding financial and non-financial aspects | Financial adequacy and appropriateness of concessionality   | Proposed financial structure (funding amount, financial instrument, tenor and term) is adequate and reasonable in order to achieve the proposal's objectives, including addressing existing bottlenecks and/or barriers<br><br>Demonstration that the proposed financial structure provides the least concessionality needed to make the proposal viable  |

| Criterion | Definition | Coverage area  | Activity-specific sub-criteria  | Indicative assessment factors (including indicators) <sup>1</sup>   |
|-----------|------------|--|---|---|
|           |            |  | Cost-effectiveness (mitigation only)  | <p>Demonstration that the Fund's support for the programme/project will not crowd out private and other public investment</p> <p>Estimated cost per t CO<sub>2</sub> eq (PMF-M Core 2) as defined as total investment cost/expected lifetime emission reductions, and relative to comparable opportunities</p>  |
|           |            | Amount of co-financing   | Potential to catalyse and/or leverage investment (mitigation only)  | <p>Expected volume of finance to be leveraged by the proposed project/programme and as a result of the Fund's financing, disaggregated by public and private sources (PMF-M Core 3)</p> <p>Co-financing ratio (total amount of co-financing divided by the Fund's investment in the project/programme)</p> <p>Potential to catalyse private- and public-sector investment, assessed in the context of performance on industry best practices</p> <p>Expected indirect/long-term low-emission investment mobilized as a result of the implementation of activity</p> |
|           |            | Programme/project financial viability and other financial indicators | <p>Expected economic and financial internal rate of return</p> <p>Financial viability in the long run</p> | <p>Economic and financial rate of return with and without the Fund's support (i.e. hurdle rate of return or other appropriate/relevant thresholds)</p> <p>Description of financial soundness in the long term (beyond the Fund's intervention)</p>  |
|           |            | Industry best practices  | Application of best practices and degree of innovation  | <p>Explanations of how best available technologies and/or best practices, including those of indigenous peoples and local communities, are considered and applied</p> <p>If applicable, the proposal specifies the innovations or modifications/adjustments made based on industry best practices</p>   |